

TECHNIQUES OF DYNAMIC OPTIMIZATION IN CONTINUOUS AND DISCRETE TIME MODELS (GENERAL SPECIFICATION)

References:

- [1] Kamien, M.I., and N.L. Schwartz (1991): *"Dynamic Optimization"*. North Holland Elsevier. Second Edition. (Cited "K+S").
- [2] Sargent, Thomas J. (1987): *"Macroeconomic Theory"*. Academic Press. (Cited "Sargent, 1987a").
- [3] Chapter 1 - "Dynamic Programming", in Thomas J. Sargent (1987), *Dynamic Macroeconomic Theory*, 11-56. Cambridge: Harvard University Press. Second Edition. (Cited "Sargent, 1987b, Ch. 1").

Notation:

Let a function of two variables $\phi[u(t), v(t)]$.

Its time derivative will be denoted ϕ' where $\phi' \equiv \frac{d\phi}{dt} \equiv \dot{\phi}$.

Its partial derivatives will be denoted ϕ_u, ϕ_v where $\phi_u \equiv \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial u}, \phi_v \equiv \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial v}$.

To simplify notation, time-varying variables $u(t), v(t)$ will be denoted u, v in continuous-time and u_t, v_t in discrete-time problems.

CONTINUOUS-TIME PROBLEMS

Suppose (today at time zero) we want to choose paths $\{x, u\}_0^\infty$ so as to solve the following (infinite-horizon) optimization problem:

$$\max \int_0^\infty e^{-rt} f(x, u) dt \quad (1)$$

subject to

$$x' = g(x, u) \quad (2)$$

given the initial condition $x(0) = x_0$,

where,

r is the continuous-time *discount rate*,

e^{-rt} is the continuous-time *discount factor*,

x is the *state* variable, and

u is the *control* variable.

(2) is called the *state* or *transition* equation.

Both x and u (and the associated functions f and g) are *time-varying*.

This problem may be solved in any of three ways:

- calculus of variations
- optimal control (the Hamiltonian equation)
- dynamic programming (the Bellman equation).

I. OPTIMAL CONTROL (THE HAMILTONIAN EQUATION)

Define the *present-value* Hamiltonian

$$H \equiv e^{-rt} f(x, u) + \lambda g(x, u) \quad (3)$$

or, the *current-value* Hamiltonian (see K+S, pp. 164-6)

$$e^{rt} H \equiv \mathcal{H} = f(x, u) + e^{rt} \lambda g(x, u) \quad (4)$$

letting $e^{rt} \lambda \equiv m$ so that (4) becomes

$$\mathcal{H}(x, u, m) = f(x, u) + mg(x, u) \quad (5)$$

where λ and m are *time-varying* multipliers associated with constraint (2).

Specifically, m_t is the *marginal value* of state variable x at time t while λ_t is the *marginal value* of state variable x at time t *discounted* back to time zero where the problem is being solved.

First-order conditions (FOCs):

$$\mathcal{H}_u = f_u(x, u) + mg_u(x, u) = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$m' \left(\equiv \frac{dm}{dt} \equiv \dot{m} \right) = rm - \mathcal{H}_x = rm - f_x(x, u) - mg_x(x, u) \quad (7)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_m = g(x, u) = x' \left(\equiv \frac{dx}{dt} \equiv \dot{x} \right) \quad (8)$$

Thus, the FOCs make up a system of three equations in three unknowns, namely (x, u, m) .

Condition (7) is derived as follows (see K+S, p. 165):

We defined $m \equiv e^{rt} \lambda$.

Taking the time derivative of this expression, we obtain $m' = re^{rt} \lambda + e^{rt} \lambda' = m\lambda + e^{rt} \lambda'$.

At the optimum $\lambda' + H_x = 0$ ie the change in the marginal value of the state variable, λ' , plus the marginal utility of the state variable, H_x , must equal zero (see K+S, pp. 136-141).

Thus $\lambda' = -H_x$.

Using this, we may write: $m' = rm - e^{rt} H_x$ since $H_x \equiv e^{-rt} \mathcal{H}_x \Leftrightarrow m' = rm - e^{rt} e^{-rt} \mathcal{H}_x \Leftrightarrow m' = rm - \mathcal{H}_x$. QED

II. DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING (THE BELLMAN EQUATION)

(See K+S, pp. 259-263).

Define the optimal value function $J(t_0, x_0)$ as the best value function that can be obtained starting at time t_0 in state x_0 where $0 \leq t_0 \leq \infty$:

$$J(t_0, x_0) \equiv \max \int_{t_0}^{\infty} e^{-rt} f(t, x, u) dt \quad (9a)$$

subject to $x' = g(x, u)$
given the initial condition $x(0) = x_0$,

or, equivalently:

$$J(t_0, x_0) \equiv \max \left\{ e^{-rt_0} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} e^{-r(t-t_0)} f(x, u) dt \right\} \quad (9b)$$

Defining

$$V(x_0) \equiv \max \int_{t_0}^{\infty} e^{-r(t-t_0)} f(x, u) dt \quad (10)$$

as the value of the integral, we may write the optimal value function $J(t_0, x_0)$, as follows:

$$J(t_0, x_0) \equiv \max \{ e^{-rt_0} V(x_0) \} \quad (11)$$

Note that the value, $V(x_0)$, of the integral on the RHS of equation (9b), $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} e^{-r(t-t_0)} f(x, u) dt$, depends on the initial state, x_0 , but is independent of the initial time, t_0 , ie it only depends on elapsed time, $(t - t_0)$.

The partial derivatives of $J(t_0, x_0)$ are $J_{t_0}(t_0, x_0) = -re^{-rt_0} V(x_0)$ and $J_{x_0}(t_0, x_0) = e^{-rt_0} V'(x_0)$. Dropping the time subscripts, $J(t, x) = e^{-rt} V(x)$, $J_t(t, x) = -re^{-rt} V(x)$ and $J_x(t, x) = e^{-rt} V'(x)$.

We can prove (see K+S, p. 260) that

$$-J_t(t, x) \simeq \max_u [e^{-rt} f(x, u) + J_x(t, x) g(x, u)] \quad (12)$$

or, substituting for the partial derivatives

$$re^{-rt} V(x) \simeq \max_u [e^{-rt} f(x, u) + e^{-rt} V'(x) g(x, u)] \quad (13)$$

and multiplying both sides by e^{rt} , we obtain the *Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation*

$$rV(x) \simeq \max_u [f(x, u) + V'(x) g(x, u)] \quad (14)$$

which is the fundamental partial differential equation obeyed by the optimal value function $J(t, x)$ (see K+S, p. 261).

FOCs:

The first order conditions of the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation are:

$$0 = f_u(x, u) + V'(x) g_u(x, u) \quad (15)$$

$$rV'(x) = f_x(x, u) + V''(x) g(x, u) + V'(x) g_x(x, u) \quad (16)$$

where $V'(x)$ is the derivative of $V(x)$ with respect to x , $V'(x) \equiv \frac{dV(x)}{dx}$.

Equation (15) is the marginal condition resulting from differentiation of the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation with respect to control variable u , while equation (16) is the so-called *envelope* or *Benveniste-Scheinkman condition* resulting from taking the derivative of the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation with respect to state x , after substituting for the optimal solution of control variable u , which we obtain by solving equation (15) together with the constraint $x' = g(x, u)$ for u . The optimal solution for u thus obtained is a function of x .

The conditions given by equations (15) – (16) are similar to those given by equations (6) – (7) in the optimal control solution above. This becomes obvious if we set $\lambda = J_x(t, x)$ and, given the fact that $J_x(t, x) = e^{-rt} V'(x)$, substitute for λ in the definition of the current-value multiplier, $e^{rt} \lambda \equiv m$, which yields $m = V'(x)$ ie if the current-value multiplier equals the marginal value of the state variable, x . Moreover, if $m = V'(x)$, then $\dot{m} = V''(x) \dot{x}$, where $\dot{x} = g(x, u)$ ie the optimization constraint. Thus, the dynamic programming solution coincides with the optimal control solution.

Given the above FOCs, we then work as usually by linearizing and studying the long-run and the transition (dynamic stability) (see K+S, pp. 175-7).

DISCRETE-TIME PROBLEMS

We will consider three solutions:

- dynamic programming (the Bellman equation)
- optimal control (the Hamiltonian equation)
- the Lagrangean equation.

I. DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING

(See Sargent, 1987b, Ch. 1).

The Bellman equation is:

$$V(x_t) = \max_{\{u_t, x_{t+1}\}} [f(x_t, u_t) + \delta V(x_{t+1})] \quad (1)$$

subject to

$$x_{t+1} - x_t = g(x_t, u_t) \quad (2)$$

or, equivalently:

$$V(x_t) = \max_{u_t} \{f(x_t, u_t) + \delta V[x_t + g(x_t, u_t)]\} \quad (3)$$

where δ is the one-period discrete-time *discount factor*.

FOCs:

$$0 = f_u(.t) + \delta V'(x_{t+1}) g_u(.t) \quad (4)$$

$$V'(x_t) = f_x(.t) + \delta V'(x_{t+1}) [1 + g_x(.t)] \quad (5)$$

where equation (5) is the envelope condition for the state variable at time t , x_t .

We can use equation (4) to substitute out $V'(x_t)$ or $V'(x_{t+1})$, so that equations (5) and (2) are a system of two equations in two unknowns, namely x_t, u_t .

Application

In the basic optimal growth model, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_t, u_t) &= v(c_t)^1 \\ g(x_t, u_t) &= f(k_t) - c_t \text{ or } k_{t+1} - k_t = f(k_t) - c_t \end{aligned}$$

The Bellman equation is:

$$\begin{aligned} V(k_t) &= \max_{\{c_t, k_{t+1}\}} [v(c_t) + \delta V(k_{t+1})] \text{ s.t. } k_{t+1} - k_t = f(k_t) - c_t \\ \text{or, equivalently } V(k_t) &= \max_{k_{t+1}} \{v[f(k_t) - k_{t+1} + k_t] + \delta V(k_{t+1})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, equation (4) becomes $0 = v'[f(k_t) - k_{t+1}](-1) + \delta V'(k_{t+1}) \Leftrightarrow v'(c_t) = \delta V'(k_{t+1})$, and equation (5) becomes $V'(k_t) = v'(c_t) [f'(k_t) + 1] \stackrel{v'(c_t) = \delta V'(k_{t+1})}{\Leftrightarrow} V'(k_t) = \delta V'(k_{t+1}) [1 + f'(k_t)]$ which is the envelope condition for k_t .

Now, using these two conditions we obtain the usual Euler equation:

$$\left[\frac{v'(c_{t-1})}{\delta} \right] = \delta \left[\frac{v'(c_t)}{\delta} \right] [1 + f'(k_t)] \Leftrightarrow v'(c_t) = \delta v'(c_{t+1}) [1 + f'(k_t)]$$

where

$$V'(k_{t+1}) = \frac{v'(c_t)}{\delta} = v'(c_{t+1}) \Rightarrow V'(k_t) = \frac{v'(c_{t-1})}{\delta} = v'(c_t).$$

¹We use v instead of u to denote the utility function in order to avoid confusion with the notation used for the control variable, u .

II. OPTIMAL CONTROL

Define the current-value Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H}_t(x_t, u_t, m_t) \equiv f(x_t, u_t) + m_t g(x_t, u_t) \quad (6)$$

FOCs:

$$\mathcal{H}_u = 0 \Leftrightarrow f_u(\cdot) + m_t g_u(\cdot) = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$m_t - \delta \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{t+1}}{\partial x_{t+1}} \right) = \delta m_{t+1} \quad (8a)$$

Since $\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{t+1}}{\partial x_{t+1}} = f_x(\cdot, t+1) + m_{t+1} g_x(\cdot, t+1)$, equation (8a) becomes

$$m_t = \delta \{ f_x(\cdot, t+1) + m_{t+1} [1 + g_x(\cdot, t+1)] \} \quad (8b)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_m = g(x_t, u_t) = x_{t+1} - x_t \quad (9)$$

The conditions given by equations (7), (8b) and (9) are a system of three equations in three unknowns, namely x, u, m .

Equation (8a) may be derived as follows:²

Since we are solving a discrete time problem, $\lambda_t = \delta^t m_t$ and $\lambda_{t+1} = \delta^{t+1} m_{t+1}$ (one period ahead) where $\delta^t = \frac{1}{(1+r)^t}$ is the t-period discount factor (starting at time 0).

Taking differences, $\lambda_{t+1} - \lambda_t = \delta^{t+1} m_{t+1} - \delta^t m_t \Leftrightarrow \lambda_{t+1} - \lambda_t = \delta^t (\delta m_{t+1} - m_t)$.

Similar to continuous time optimization, $\lambda_{t+1} - \lambda_t = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{t+1}}{\partial x_{t+1}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{t+1}} (\delta^{t+1} \mathcal{H}_{t+1})$.

Therefore, $\lambda_{t+1} - \lambda_t = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{t+1}} (\delta^{t+1} \mathcal{H}_{t+1}) = \delta^t (\delta m_{t+1} - m_t) \Leftrightarrow -\delta^t \delta \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{t+1}}{\partial x_{t+1}} \right) = \delta^t (\delta m_{t+1} - m_t) \Leftrightarrow$

$\Leftrightarrow -\delta \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{t+1}}{\partial x_{t+1}} \right) = \delta m_{t+1} - m_t \Leftrightarrow m_t - \delta \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{t+1}}{\partial x_{t+1}} \right) = \delta m_{t+1}$. QED

The optimal control solution coincides with the dynamic programming solution, if we let $m_t = \delta V'(x_{t+1})$.

²See also the derivation offered on page 10.

III. THE LAGRANGEAN EQUATION

"Sargent, 1987a" uses this method a lot.

Define the Lagrangean equation:

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \max_{\{u_t, x_{t+1}\}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \delta^t \{f(x_t, u_t) + \mu_t [-x_{t+1} + x_t + g(x_t, u_t)]\}$$

where μ_t is the Lagrangean multiplier.

FOCs:

$$f_u(.t) + \mu_t g_u(.t) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta f_x(.t+1) - \mu_t + \delta \mu_{t+1} + \delta \mu_{t+1} g_x(.t+1) = 0 &\iff \mu_t = \delta [f_x(.t+1) + \mu_{t+1} + \mu_{t+1} g_x(.t+1)] \iff \\ \iff \mu_t = \delta \{f_x(.t+1) + \mu_{t+1} [1 + g_x(.t+1)]\} \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that this solution coincides with the optimal control and dynamic programming ones.

COMPARISON OF THE DYNAMIC EQUATIONS IN DISCRETE AND CONTINUOUS TIME

If δ is the discrete-time discount factor and r is the continuous-time discount factor, then

$r = \frac{1-\delta}{\delta}$ given $\delta = \frac{1}{1+r}$, namely $\frac{1-\delta}{\delta} = \frac{1-\frac{1}{1+r}}{\frac{1}{1+r}} = r$. Hence equation (7) in the continuous-time model implies $\frac{1-\delta}{\delta}m - \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial x} = m'$ or, in discrete-time, $\frac{1-\delta}{\delta}m_t - \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{t+1}}{\partial x_{t+1}} = m_{t+1} - m_t \Leftrightarrow \frac{m_t}{\delta} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{t+1}}{\partial x_{t+1}} = m_{t+1} \Leftrightarrow \Leftrightarrow m_t - \delta \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{t+1}}{\partial x_{t+1}} = \delta m_{t+1}$ which is equation (8a) in the discrete-time model.

Note that both $0 < \delta < 1$ and $0 < r < 1$.

Moreover, δ is a *discount factor* while r is a *discount rate*.

THE BASIC OPTIMAL GROWTH MODEL IN DISCRETE TIME

Suppose we want to choose paths of $\{c_t, k_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ so as to solve the following optimization problem:

$$\max \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \delta^t u(c_t) \text{ s.t. } k_t - k_{t-1} = f(k_{t-1}) - c_t \text{ given } k_{-1} \text{ where } 0 < \delta < 1.^3$$

We will solve the problem in two ways:

- dynamic programming
- optimal control.

³Alternatively, we could have used constraint $k_{t+1} - k_t = f(k_t) - c_{t+1}$ given k_0 . This is the constraint used in the application on page 7.

I. DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING

Write the Bellman equation:

$$V(k_{t-1}) = \max_{\{c_t, k_t\}} [u(c_t) + \delta V(k_t)] \quad (1a)$$

or, equivalently:

$$V(k_{t-1}) = \max_{k_t} \{u[f(k_{t-1}) + k_{t-1} - k_t] + \delta V(k_t)\} \quad (1b)$$

FOC (wrt k_t):

$$0 = u'(c_t)(-1) + \delta V'(k_t) \iff u'(c_t) = \delta V'(k_t) \quad (2)$$

Envelope condition (wrt state k_{t-1}):

$$\begin{aligned} V'(k_{t-1}) &= u'(c_t) \left[f'(k_{t-1}) + 1 - \left(\frac{\partial k_t}{\partial k_{t-1}} \right) \right] + \delta V'(k_t) \left(\frac{\partial k_t}{\partial k_{t-1}} \right) \iff \\ &\iff V'(k_{t-1}) = u'(c_t) [1 + f'(k_{t-1})] - [u'(c_t) - \delta V'(k_t)] \left(\frac{\partial k_t}{\partial k_{t-1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

But $[u'(c_t) - \delta V'(k_t)] = 0$ by the FOC. Hence: $V'(k_{t-1}) = u'(c_t) [1 + f'(k_{t-1})]$.
or, shifted one period forward:

$$V'(k_t) = u'(c_{t+1}) [1 + f'(k_t)] \quad (3)$$

Plugging (3) into (2), we obtain the Euler equation:

$$u'(c_t) = \delta u'(c_{t+1}) [1 + f'(k_t)] \quad (4)$$

II. OPTIMAL CONTROL

Define the *current-value* Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H}_t \equiv u(c_t) + m_t [f(k_{t-1}) - c_t] \quad (5)$$

where $k_t - k_{t-1} = f(k_{t-1}) - c_t$.

FOCs:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_t}{\partial c_t} = 0 \Leftrightarrow u'(c_t) = m_t \quad (6)$$

$$m_t - \delta \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{t+1}}{\partial k_t} \right) = \delta m_{t+1} \quad (7a)$$

Since $\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{t+1}}{\partial k_t} = m_{t+1} f'(k_t)$, equation (7a) becomes $m_t - \delta [m_{t+1} f'(k_t)] = \delta m_{t+1}$, or:

$$m_t = \delta m_{t+1} [1 + f'(k_t)] \quad (7b)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_t}{\partial m_t} = f(k_{t-1}) - c_t = k_t - k_{t-1}$$

Plugging equations (6) into equation (7b), we obtain the Euler equation:

$$u'(c_t) = \delta u'(c_{t+1}) [1 + f'(k_t)] \quad (8)$$

which is the same as dynamic programming equation (4).