

Postgraduate Program - MSc in Economic Theory
Course: *Mathematical Economics (Mathematics II)*
Lemma on Bounded Functional Spaces and Completeness
Prof: Stelios Arvanitis
TA: Dimitris Zaverdas

Semester: Spring 2018-2019

Lemma

If (X, d) is a complete metric space and $Y \neq \emptyset$ is a non-empty set, then the structured set $(\mathcal{B}(Y, X), d_{sup}^d)$ is a complete metric space, with $d_{sup}^d(f, g) = \sup_{x \in Y} d(f(x), g(x)), \forall f, g \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$.

Proof

We need to show that:

$\alpha)$ $(\mathcal{B}(Y, X), d_{sup}^d)$ is a metric space, which requires that:

- $\mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ be a non-empty set.
- d_{sup}^d be a metric function on $\mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ (properties i-iv).

$\beta)$ Every d_{sup}^d -Cauchy sequence on $\mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ has a d_{sup}^d -limit in $\mathcal{B}(Y, X)$, which requires that for all $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : f_n \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a function, f , such that:

- $f = d_{sup}^d - \lim f_n$
- $f \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$

$\alpha)$ Since $Y \neq \emptyset$ we can define at least one function (if not more) that maps elements of Y to elements of X (also non-empty). For example, the constant function $f_c : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $\forall y \in Y, f_c(y) = x_c$ for some $x_c \in X$.

Also, d_{sup}^d is a metric function on $\mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ since:

$$\text{i) } \forall f, g \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X), d_{sup}^d(f, g) = \sup_{x \in Y} d(f(x), g(x)) \stackrel{i}{\geq} 0$$

ii) $\forall f, g \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{sup}^d(f, g) = 0 & \iff \\
\sup_{x \in Y} d(f(x), g(x)) = 0 & \iff^i \\
d(f(x), g(x)) = 0, \forall x \in Y & \iff^{ii} \\
f(x) = g(x), \forall x \in Y & \iff \\
f = g &
\end{aligned}$$

iii) $\forall f, g \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$, $d_{sup}^d(f, g) = \sup_{x \in Y} d(f(x), g(x)) \stackrel{iii}{=} \sup_{x \in Y} d(g(x), f(x)) = d_{sup}^d(g, f)$

iv) $\forall f, g, h \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{sup}^d(f, g) &= \sup_{x \in Y} d(f(x), g(x)) \\
&\leq \sup_{x \in Y} (d(f(x), h(x)) + d(h(x), g(x))) \\
&\leq \sup_{x \in Y} d(f(x), h(x)) + \sup_{x \in Y} d(h(x), g(x)) \\
&= d_{sup}^d(f, h) + d_{sup}^d(h, g)
\end{aligned}$$

So d_{sup}^d is a metric function on $\mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ and $(\mathcal{B}(Y, X), d_{sup}^d)$ is a metric space.

β) Consider an arbitrary d_{sup}^d -Cauchy sequence on $\mathcal{B}(Y, X)$, $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : f_n \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X) \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists n(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{sup}^d(f_n, f_m) &< \varepsilon, \forall n, m > n(\varepsilon) \\
\sup_{x \in Y} d(f_n(x), f_m(x)) &< \varepsilon, \forall n, m > n(\varepsilon) \\
\forall x \in Y, d(f_n(x), f_m(x)) &< \varepsilon, \forall n, m > n(\varepsilon)
\end{aligned}$$

so that $(f_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a d -Cauchy sequence on X , $\forall x \in Y$. Furthermore, because (X, d) is complete, $(f_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a d -limit in X , say $\phi_x \in X$, for all $x \in Y$.

So starting from a d_{sup}^d -Cauchy sequence on $\mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ we can generate a collection of d -convergent sequences on X (one sequence for each $x \in Y$). That is, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\forall (f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : (f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ } d_{sup}^d\text{-Cauchy on } \mathcal{B}(Y, X) \\
&\exists \{(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : y_n = f_n(x) \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ } d\text{-convergent on } X, \forall x \in Y\}
\end{aligned}$$

We can define a function, $f : Y \rightarrow X$, for any such starting sequence and subsequent collection such that $f(x) := \phi_x, \forall x \in Y$. To show that $(\mathcal{B}(Y, X), d_{sup}^d)$ is complete, it suffices to show that $d_{sup}^d - \lim f_n = f$ and that $f \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$.

Firstly, consider $d(f_n(x), f_m(x))$ and think of it as a sequence, $(z_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ given. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{sup}^d(f_n, f) &= \sup_{x \in Y} d(f_n(x), f(x)) \\
&= \sup_{x \in Y} d(f_n(x), d - \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} f_m(x)) \\
&\stackrel{d \text{ is}}{=} \sup_{x \in Y} d - \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} d(f_n(x), f_m(x)) \\
&\leq \sup_{x \in Y} \sup_{m \geq n} d(f_n(x), f_m(x)) \\
&\leq \sup_{x \in Y} \sup_{m \geq n} \sup_{x \in Y} d(f_n(x), f_m(x)) \\
&= \sup_{m \geq n} \sup_{x \in Y} d(f_n(x), f_m(x)) \\
&= \sup_{m \geq n} d_{sup}^d(f_n, f_m)
\end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned}
&(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is } d_{sup}^d\text{-Cauchy} \\
&\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n(\varepsilon) : d_{sup}^d(f_n, f_m) < \varepsilon && \forall n, m \geq n(\varepsilon) \\
&\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n(\varepsilon) : \sup_{m \geq n} d_{sup}^d(f_n, f_m) < \varepsilon && \forall n \geq n(\varepsilon) \\
&\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n(\varepsilon) : d_{sup}^d(f_n, f) < \varepsilon && \forall n \geq n(\varepsilon)
\end{aligned}$$

thus as $n \rightarrow +\infty, f_n \rightarrow f$ with respect to d_{sup}^d .

Secondly, for any two points $f(x), f(y) \in X$ and some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{x,y \in Y} d(f(x), f(y)) &\leq \sup_{x,y \in Y} d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}(x), f(x)) + \sup_{x,y \in Y} d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}(x), f(y)) \\
&\leq \sup_{x,y \in Y} d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}(x), f(x)) + \sup_{x,y \in Y} d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}(y), f(y)) + \sup_{x,y \in Y} d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}(x), f_{n(\varepsilon)}(y)) \\
&\leq \sup_{x \in Y} d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}(x), f(x)) + \sup_{y \in Y} d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}(y), f(y)) + \sup_{x,y \in Y} d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}(x), f_{n(\varepsilon)}(y)) \\
&= 2 \sup_{x \in Y} d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}(x), f(x)) + \sup_{x,y \in Y} d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}(x), f_{n(\varepsilon)}(y)) \\
&= 2d_{sup}^d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}, f) + \sup_{x,y \in Y} d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}(x), f_{n(\varepsilon)}(y))
\end{aligned}$$

where $n(\varepsilon)$ is such that $d_{sup}^d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}, f) < \varepsilon$. This $n(\varepsilon)$ exists since $f_n \rightarrow f$ with respect to d_{sup}^d . Thus the first additive term is bounded by 2ε . The second additive term is the maximum distance between all values of $f_{n(\varepsilon)}$ on X . Since $f_{n(\varepsilon)} \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ this number is also bounded. Thus, $\sup_{x,y \in Y} d(f(x), f(y)) < +\infty$, which establishes that $f \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$, i.e. f is a bounded X -valued function.

So for (X, d) complete space, every d_{sup}^d -Cauchy sequence on $\mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ is d_{sup}^d -convergent in $\mathcal{B}(Y, X)$.

Thus, if (X, d) is a complete metric space, then $(\mathcal{B}(Y, X), d_{sup}^d)$.