

Exercise 1

(X, d_x) being a metric space means that $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a suitable metric function on the set X . So d_x satisfies the following properties:

- i) $d_x(x, y) \geq 0, \forall x, y \in X$ (**positivity**)
- ii) $d_x(x, y) = 0 \iff x = y, \forall x, y \in X$ (**separateness**)
- iii) $d_x(x, y) = d_x(y, x), \forall x, y \in X$ (**symmetry**)
- iv) $d_x(x, y) \leq d_x(x, z) + d_x(z, y), \forall x, y, z \in X$ (**subadditivity/triangle inequality**)

f being injective means that $f(x) = f(y) \iff x = y, \forall x, y \in Z$.

The necessary and sufficient condition to prove that (Z, d_f) is a metric space is that d_f be a suitable metric on Z , i.e. that d_f satisfies the required properties i-iv on Z . So we have:

i) $d_f(x, y) = d_x(f(x), f(y)) \stackrel{i}{\geq} 0, \forall f(x), f(y) \in X$.

Because f is a 1-1 correspondence from Z to X , we get that $d_f(x, y) \geq 0, \forall x, y \in Z$.

ii) $d_f(x, y) = 0 \iff d_x(f(x), f(y)) = 0 \stackrel{ii}{\iff} f(x) = f(y) \stackrel{f^{1-1}}{\iff} x = y, \forall x, y \in Z$

iii) $d_f(x, y) = d_x(f(x), f(y)) \stackrel{iii}{=} d_x(f(y), f(x)) \stackrel{f^{1-1}}{=} d_f(y, x), \forall x, y \in Z$

iv) $d_f(x, y) = d_x(f(x), f(y)) \stackrel{iv}{\leq} d_x(f(x), f(z)) + d_x(f(z), f(y)) \stackrel{f^{1-1}}{=} d_f(x, z) + d_f(z, y), \forall x, y, z \in Z$

We have shown that d_f satisfies properties i-iv on Z . So d_f is a metric on Z and (Z, d_f) is a metric space.

Exercise 2

It holds for all $x, y, z \in X$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |d(x, z) - d(z, y)| &\stackrel{iv}{\leq} |d(x, y) + d(y, z) - d(z, y)| \\ &\stackrel{iii}{=} |d(x, y)| \\ &\stackrel{i}{=} d(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 4

To show that e is a metric on X , we must show that it has the properties i-iv on X :

i) $d(x, y) \geq 0 \Rightarrow 1 + d(x, y) > 0, \forall x, y \in X$ so e is a well defined real function and $e(x, y) = \frac{d(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)} \geq 0, \forall x, y \in X$ as the ratio of a non-negative number over a positive number.

ii) $e(x, y) = 0 \iff \frac{d(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)} = 0 \iff d(x, y) = 0 \stackrel{ii}{\iff} x = y, \forall x, y \in X$

iii) $e(x, y) = \frac{d(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)} \stackrel{iii}{=} \frac{d(y, x)}{1 + d(y, x)} = e(y, x), \forall x, y \in X$

iv) Consider the functions $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(x) = \frac{x}{1+x}$ and $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g(x) = \frac{\alpha}{1+x}, \alpha \geq 0$. Because f and g are differentiable we can study their monotonicity by taking their first derivatives

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x) = \frac{1(1+x) - x \cdot 1}{(1+x)^2} = \frac{1}{(1+x)^2} > 0, \forall x > 0$$

So f is strictly increasing. And

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x) = \frac{0(1+x) - \alpha \cdot 1}{(1+x)^2} = \frac{-\alpha}{(1+x)^2} \leq 0, \forall x > 0$$

So g is weakly decreasing.

By subadditivity of d we know that $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 e(x, y) &= \frac{d(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)} \\
 &\stackrel{f \text{ st. inc.}}{\leq} \frac{d(x, z) + d(z, y)}{1 + d(x, z) + d(z, y)} \\
 &= \frac{d(x, z)}{1 + d(x, z) + d(z, y)} + \frac{d(z, y)}{1 + d(x, z) + d(z, y)} \\
 &\stackrel{g \text{ dec.}}{\leq} \frac{d(x, z)}{1 + d(x, z)} + \frac{d(z, y)}{1 + d(z, y)} \\
 &= e(x, z) + e(z, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X
 \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that e has the properties i-iv on X . So e is a metric on X .

Exercise 5

We need to show that ν satisfies conditions i-iv on X , in order to prove it is a metric on X :

- i) $\nu(x, y) = d(x, y) + e(x, y) \stackrel{i}{\geq} 0, \forall x, y \in X$ as the sum of two non-negative values.
- ii) $\nu(x, y) = 0 \iff d(x, y) + e(x, y) = 0 \stackrel{i}{\iff} d(x, y) = 0$ and $e(x, y) = 0 \stackrel{ii}{\iff} x = y, \forall x, y \in X$
- iii) $\nu(x, y) = d(x, y) + e(x, y) \stackrel{iii}{=} d(y, x) + e(y, x) = \nu(y, x), \forall x, y \in X$
- iv) We have that for all $x, y, z, \in X$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nu(x, y) &= d(x, y) + e(x, y) \\
 &\stackrel{iv}{\leq} d(x, z) + d(z, y) + e(x, z) + e(z, y) \\
 &= d(x, z) + e(x, z) + d(z, y) + e(z, y) \\
 &= \nu(x, z) + \nu(z, y)
 \end{aligned}$$

So ν is a metric on X .

Exercise 7

We will examine the cases of the **discrete metric** (d_δ) on any arbitrary set (say X) and **exponential distance** (d_e) on the real numbers, with $d_e(x, y) = |e^x - e^y|, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Feel free to examine alternative distance functions (such as $d_{||}, d_I,$ and d_{max} seen in class) on appropriate carrier sets (!).

Discrete Metric

For all $x, y, z \in X$

$$\begin{aligned}d_{\delta}(x+z, y+z) &= \begin{cases} 0, & x+z = y+z \\ 1, & x+z \neq y+z \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & x = y \\ 1, & x \neq y \end{cases} \\ &= d_{\delta}(x, y)\end{aligned}$$

So d_{δ} is translation invariant.

Exponential Distance

We have that $\forall x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}d_e(x+z, y+z) &= |e^{x+z} - e^{y+z}| \\ &= |e^x e^z - e^y e^z| \\ &= |e^z(e^x - e^y)| \\ &= e^z |e^x - e^y| \\ &= e^z d_e(x, y)\end{aligned}$$

which generally does not equal $d_e(x, y)$ for every possible $z \in \mathbb{R}$. So d_e is **not** translation invariant.

Exercise 8

The function d_p is called the Minkowski distance. It is a more general metric function on $\mathbb{R}^k, k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, which covers the metrics discussed in class ($d_{| |}, d_I$, and d_{max}) as special cases (for $p = 1, p = 2$, and as $p \rightarrow \infty$, respectively).

To prove that d_p is a metric on \mathbb{R}^k , we need to show that it satisfies the properties of metric functions, i-iv, on \mathbb{R}^k . To show subadditivity we will employ Hölder's inequality.

i) For all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ the i -th elements of x and y , respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|x_i - y_i| \geq 0, \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\} &\iff \\
|x_i - y_i|^p \geq 0, \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\} &\iff \\
\sum_{i=1}^k |x_i - y_i|^p \geq 0 &\iff \\
\left(\sum_{i=1}^k |x_i - y_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq 0 &\iff \\
d_p(x, y) \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

ii) For all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^k$

$$\begin{aligned}
d_p(x, y) = 0 &\iff \\
\left(\sum_{i=1}^k |x_i - y_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = 0 &\iff \\
\sum_{i=1}^k |x_i - y_i|^p = 0 &\iff \\
|x_i - y_i|^p = 0, \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\} &\iff \quad (\text{sum of non-negative real numbers}) \\
|x_i - y_i| = 0, \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\} &\iff \\
x_i = y_i, \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\} &\iff \\
x = y
\end{aligned}$$

iii) $d_p(x, y) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k |x_i - y_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k |y_i - x_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = d_p(y, x), \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^k$

iv) Consider Hölder's inequality for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N |x_i y_i| \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |x_i|^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |y_i|^\beta \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$$

with $\alpha, \beta \in (1, +\infty)$ such that $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 1$. For $\alpha = \beta = 2$ we get the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we call $\|x\|_p := \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |x_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ the p -norm of x .

Because of the restriction on $\alpha \neq 1$ and $\beta \neq 1$, we need to consider the case of $p = 1$ separately.

Case: $p = 1$

If for d_p we have $p = 1$, then $d_p = d_{||}$ and subadditivity can be shown easily. For $p > 1$, we proceed as follows.

Case: $p > 1$

For any $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^k$ such that $x = y$ we want to show that

$$d_p(x, y) \leq d_p(x, z) + d_p(z, y) \stackrel{ii}{\iff} 0 \leq d_p(x, z) + d_p(z, y) \stackrel{i}{\iff} d_p(x, z) \geq 0 \text{ and } d_p(z, y) \geq 0$$

which trivially holds for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^k$.

For any $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^k$ such that $x \neq y$, consider the value of $d_p(x, y)$ raised to the power p

$$\begin{aligned} (d_p(x, y))^p &= \sum_{i=1}^k |x_i - y_i|^p \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k |x_i - z_i + z_i - y_i|^p \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k |x_i - z_i + z_i - y_i| |x_i - z_i + z_i - y_i|^{p-1} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k (|x_i - z_i| + |z_i - y_i|) |x_i - z_i + z_i - y_i|^{p-1} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k |x_i - z_i| |x_i - z_i + z_i - y_i|^{p-1} + \sum_{i=1}^k |z_i - y_i| |x_i - z_i + z_i - y_i|^{p-1} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k |x_i - z_i| |x_i - y_i|^{p-1} + \sum_{i=1}^k |z_i - y_i| |x_i - y_i|^{p-1} \end{aligned}$$

We can apply Hölder's inequality for each of the two sums above. Choose $\alpha = p$ and find β as

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 1 \iff \frac{1}{\beta} = 1 - \frac{1}{p} \iff \beta = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p}} \iff \beta = \frac{p}{p-1}$$

So now by Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(d_p(x, y))^p &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^k |x_i - z_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (|x_i - y_i|^{p-1})^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\
&+ \left(\sum_{i=1}^k |z_i - y_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (|x_i - y_i|^{p-1})^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\
&= \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^k |x_i - z_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^k |z_i - y_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (|x_i - y_i|^{p-1})^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\
&= \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^k |x_i - z_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^k |z_i - y_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k |x_i - y_i|^p \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\
&= (d_p(x, z) + d_p(z, y)) (d_p(x, y))^{p-1}
\end{aligned}$$

Because $x \neq y \iff d_p(x, y) \neq 0$, by multiplying both sides by $(d_p(x, y))^{1-p}$ we get

$$d_p(x, y) \leq d_p(x, z) + d_p(z, y)$$

as required.

We have proven that the Minkowski distance, d_p , satisfies conditions i-iv on $\mathbb{R}^k, k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, so d_p is a metric on $\mathbb{R}^k, k \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Exercise 12

To define a metric, a carrier set is needed, which the exercise does not provide. So the answer is no.

If we were to choose as a carrier the set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can show that the proposed distance function (henceforth d) does not possess the properties of metric functions (even if A a positive definite matrix). For example:

$$X = \mathbb{R}^2, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$d(x, y) = (x - z)'A(x - z) = (0 - 2)^2 + (0 - 0)^2 = 4$$

$$d(x, z) = (x - y)'A(x - y) = (0 - 1)^2 + (0 - 0)^2 = 1$$

$$d(z, y) = (z - y)'A(z - y) = (1 - 2)^2 + (0 - 0)^2 = 1$$

which give $d(x, y) > d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ (because $4 > 1 + 1$), which violates the triangle inequality.