

## Further Non Topological Notions in Metric Spaces: Lipschitz Continuity

Remember that topological continuity is "equivalent" to "preservation" of convergence of sequences. Since  $d$ -convergent sequences are  $d$ -Cauchy, "Cauchyness" is preserved for convergent sequences by continuous functions. Is this true for general Cauchy sequences?

**Example.**  $X = (0, 1]$ ,  $Y = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ ,  $d_X$  is the usual metric restricted to  $(0, 1]$  and  $d_Y$  is the usual metric. For  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $x_n = \frac{1}{n!}$   $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that it is  $d_X$ -Cauchy (why?). Furthermore  $f$  is  $d_Y/d_X$ -continuous (why?). However,  $(f(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , with  $f(x_n) = \frac{1}{1/n!} = n!$   $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  is not  $d_Y$ -Cauchy.  $\square$

Hence "Cauchyness" is not generally preserved by topological continuity. The following stronger notion of continuity, is strong enough so as for "Cauchy-preservation" to hold.

**Definition.**  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is  $d_Y/d_X$ -Lipschitz continuous iff  $\exists \zeta > 0$  :  
 $\forall x_1, x_2 \in X$ ,  $d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \leq \zeta d_X(x_1, x_2)$ .  $\square$

Obviously when  $\zeta$  exists, it is non-unique (why?).  $\inf \{ \zeta > 0 : d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \leq \zeta d_X(x_1, x_2), \forall x_1, x_2 \in X \}$  is called the Lipschitz-coefficient of  $f$  (why does this exist?)

**Lemma.** If  $f$  is  $d_Y/d_X$ -Lipschitz, then it is  $d_Y/d_X$ -continuous.

**Proof.** Let  $x \in X$ ,  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $x_n \in X$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , with  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . Then  $d_Y(f(x_n), f(x)) \leq \zeta d_X(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ . Hence  $d_Y(f(x_n), f(x)) \rightarrow 0$  and the result follows from the arbitrariness of  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $x$ .  $\square$

Hence Lipschitz-continuity implies topological continuity. Consider the following intermediate result.

**lemma.** If  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $d_X$ -Cauchy, then  $(f(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $d_Y$ -Cauchy.

**Proof.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $d_X$ -Cauchy,  $\exists n^*(\varepsilon/c) \in \mathbb{N}$ .  
 $d_X(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon/c \quad \forall n, m \geq n^*(\varepsilon/c)$ . Since  $f$  is  $d_Y/d_X$ -Lipschitz,  
 $d_Y(f(x_n), f(x_m)) \leq c d_X(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon/c \cdot c = \varepsilon \quad \forall n, m \geq n^*(\varepsilon/c)$ . The result follows from that  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary.  $\square$

**Corollary.** Topological continuity does not imply Lipschitz continuity.

**Proof.** Consider the framework of the **Example**. Since  $f$  does not preserve Cauchy sequences it cannot be Lipschitz continuous.  $\square$

Hence Lipschitz continuity is indeed stronger than topological continuity.

## Lipschitz Continuity and Metrics Comparison.

**lemma.** If  $\exists c_1, c_2, c_1^*, c_2^* > 0$  :  $c_1 d_{X_2} \leq d_{X_1} \leq c_2 d_{X_2}$  and  $c_1^* d_{Y_2} \leq d_{Y_1} \leq c_2^* d_{Y_2}$ , then  $f$  is  $d_{Y_1}/d_{X_1}$ -Lipschitz iff it is  $d_{Y_2}/d_{X_2}$ -Lipschitz,  $L_1^*, L_2^* = L, L$ .

**Proof.** (Provide the details!)

## Examples

**A.** For  $(X, d)$  a metric space and  $z \in X$ , consider  $f_z : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f_z(x) := d(z, x)$ . Due to the triangle inequality, if  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ ,  $|f_z(x_1) - f_z(x_2)| = |d(z, x_1) - d(z, x_2)| \leq d(x_1, x_2)$ , hence

$f_z$  is  $d_{\mathbb{R}}/d$ -Lipschitz for  $d_{\mathbb{R}}$  the usual metric on  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

B. For the following example if  $A$  is a real  $p \times q$  matrix denote by  $\|A\| = \left( \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^q a_{ij}^2 \right)^{1/2}$  ( $\|\cdot\|$  is termed as Frobenius matrix norm while when  $q=1$  it reduces to the standard Euclidean norm on  $\mathbb{R}^p$ ).

If  $x$  is  $q \times 1$  real vector then via the representation of the  $Ax$  operation as a linear combination of the columns of  $A$ , it is possible to prove that

$$\|Ax\| \leq \|A\| \|x\|$$

( $\hookrightarrow$  Eucl. norm  $\hookrightarrow$  Frob. norm  $\hookrightarrow$  Eucl. norm)

Suppose now that  $X = \mathbb{R}^q$ ,  $d_X = d_I$ ,  $Y = \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $d_Y = d_I$ , and  $f$  is everywhere differentiable with bounded partial derivatives, i.e. the Jacobian function  $\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x'}$  has bounded w.r.t.  $x$  Frobenius

norm, that is  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^q} \left\| \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x'} \right\| < \infty$ . Then  $f$  is  $d_I/d_I$ -Lipschitz.   
 $\nearrow$  on  $\mathbb{R}^q$   
 $\swarrow$  on  $\mathbb{R}^p$

This is due to the fact that due to the Mean Value Theorem we have that  $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $\exists x^* \in \mathbb{R}^p$ :

$$f(x) - f(y) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x'}(x^*) (x - y), \text{ and taking}$$

norms the previous becomes

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| = \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x'}(x^*) (x - y) \right\|.$$

It is easy to see that:

$$d_I(f(x), f(y)) = \|f(x) - f(y)\|$$

while due to the submultiplicativity above:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x'}(x^*) (x - y) \right\| &\leq \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x'}(x^*) \right\| \|x - y\| \\ &= \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x'}(x^*) \right\| d_I(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

Finally  $\left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x'}(x^*) \right\| \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^p} \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x'}(x) \right\| < \infty$ , combining the above

we obtain that  $d_I(f(x), f(y)) \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^p} \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x'}(x) \right\| d_I(x, y)$

establishing the result.

As a further example consider the case where  $f(x) = Ax$ . Then  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^p} \left\| \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x'} \right\| = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^p} \|A\| = \|A\|$ .

It is possible to prove a partial converse of the above, i.e. if  $f: \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$  is  $d_1/d_1$ -Lipschitz continuous then  $f$  is **Lebesgue almost everywhere differentiable** with a bounded w.r.t.  $x$  Jacobian in the above sense. Hence for example when  $p=q=L$  and  $f(x) = e^x$  then since  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f'(x)| = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |e^x| = \infty$  the function is not Lipschitz continuous. However, notice that if  $f$  is restricted to any bounded interval then due to the previous the restrictions are Lipschitz continuous (explain the details).

This constitutes an example of a **locally Lipschitz continuous function**.  $\square$

C. Suppose that  $(X, d_X), (Y, d_Y)$  are again arbitrary, and for  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, f, f_n \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, d_{\text{sup}}(f_n, f) \rightarrow 0$ . Furthermore  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$   $f_n$  is  $d_Y/d_X$ -Lipschitz with Lipschitz coefficient  $C_n$ , such that  $\exists C > 0: \sup_n C_n < C^*$  (such a sequence can be termed as **equi-Lipschitz continuous**). Then  $f$  is also  $d_Y/d_X$ -Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz coefficient  $C \leq C^*$ . This is due to that if  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ ,  $d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \leq d_Y(f(x_1), f_n(x_1)) + d_Y(f_n(x_1), f_n(x_2)) + d_Y(f_n(x_2), f(x_2)) \leq 2 \sup_{x \in X} d_Y(f_n, f) + d_Y(f_n(x_1), f_n(x_2)) \leq 2 d_{\text{sup}}(f_n, f) + C_n d_X(x_1, x_2) \leq 2 d_{\text{sup}}(f_n, f) + C^* d_X(x_1, x_2)$ .

Hence  $d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \leq 2 d_{\text{sup}}(f_n, f) + C^* d_X(x_1, x_2)$ .

Since  $d_{\text{sup}}(f_n, f) \rightarrow 0, \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists n^*(\epsilon): 2 d_{\text{sup}}(f_n, f) < \epsilon \forall n \geq n^*(\epsilon)$



hence  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$  by choosing  $n = n^*(\varepsilon/2)$ ,

$d_Y(fx_1, fx_2) \leq \varepsilon + C^* d_X(x_1, x_2)$  hence

$d_Y(fx_1, fx_2) \leq C^* d_X(x_1, x_2)$ . The result follows from the fact that  $x_1, x_2$  are arbitrary.  $\square$

**D.** Suppose that  $(Z, d_2)$  is also a metric space and  $f: X \rightarrow Y, g: Y \rightarrow Z$  are  $d_Y/d_X$ - and  $d_Z/d_Y$ -Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz coefficients  $C_f, C_g$  respectively. Then  $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$  is  $d_Z/d_X$ -Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz coef.  $C_{g \circ f} \leq C_g C_f$ . This is due to that, if  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ ,  $d_2(g(fx_1), g(fx_2)) \leq C_g d_Y(fx_1, fx_2) \leq C_g C_f d_X(x_1, x_2)$ .

**E.** A function  $f: X \rightarrow X$  is called a self map. A  $d_X/d_X$ -Lipschitz continuous self map is called a contraction mapping iff its Lipschitz constant is less than one. For  $n > 0$ ,  $f^{(n)} = \underbrace{f \circ f \circ \dots \circ f}_n$ . If  $f$  is a contraction mapping then  $\forall n > 0$ ,  $f^{(n)}$  is also a contraction mapping due to **D** (explain!).

[The notes are in a state of perpetual correction. They do not substitute the lectures. Please report any typos to [stelios@aueb.gr](mailto:stelios@aueb.gr) or the course's e-class.]