

**Lemma A.** Suppose that  $d_1, d_2$  are both well defined metrics with which  $X$  can be endowed and for which  $\exists c > 0 : \forall x, y \in X \quad d_1(x, y) \leq c d_2(x, y)$ , i.e.  
 $d_1 \leq c d_2$  as functions.

Then if  $A \subseteq X$  is  $d_2$ -bounded it is also  $d_1$ -bounded.

**Proof.**  $A$   $d_2$ -bounded  $\Leftrightarrow \exists x \in X, \varepsilon > 0 : A \subseteq O_{d_2}(x, \varepsilon) \Leftrightarrow$   
 $(y \in A \Rightarrow d_2(x, y) < \varepsilon) \Rightarrow (y \in A \Rightarrow d_1(x, y) < c\varepsilon) \Leftrightarrow A \subseteq O_{d_1}(x, c\varepsilon)$   
 and thereby  $A$  is  $d_1$ -bounded.  $\square$

**Exercise:** Prove the dual, i.e. if  $A$  is not  $d_1$ -bounded then it is not also  $d_2$ -bounded.

Remember that for  $X = \mathbb{R}^k$ ,

$$d_{\max} \leq d_1 \leq d_{11} \quad (*)$$

$$\text{Notice that } d_{11}(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^k |x_i - y_i| \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \max_i |x_i - y_i|$$

$$= \max_i |x_i - y_i| \sum_{i=1}^k 1 = k \max_i |x_i - y_i| = k d_{\max}(x, y),$$

hence since  $x, y$  were arbitrary, we obtain

$$d_{11} \leq k d_{\max} \quad (**).$$

Hence due to  $(*) + (**)$   $d_{\max} \leq d_{11} \leq k d_{\max}$ .

Furthermore from (\*)+(\*\*),  $d_{\max} \leq d_I \leq k d_{\max}$ ,  
 $d_I \leq d_{II} \leq k d_I$ ,  $\frac{1}{k} d_{II} \leq d_{\max} \leq d_{II}$ ,  $\frac{1}{k} d_I \leq d_{\max} \leq d_I$ ,  
and  $\frac{1}{k} d_{II} \leq d_I \leq d_{II}$ . Using those inequalities with  
Lemma A we obtain the following result.

**Lemma B.**  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$  is  $d_a$ -bounded iff it is  $d_b$ -bounded  
for  $a, b = \max, II, I$ .

**Proof.** (Provide the details).

[The notes are in a state of perpetual correction. They do not substitute the lectures. Please report any typos to [stelios@aub.gr](mailto:stelios@aub.gr) or the course's e-class.]