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## Lecture 1: Self-study exercises

(Adopted by Horngren, C.T., Bhimani, A., Datar, S.M. and Foster, G. (2012). Management and cost accounting. Prentice Hall, $5^{\text {th }}$ eds.)

### 2.12. Total costs and unit costs

George Mathenge is well-known motivational speaker. The Europe Speaker's Bureau (ESB) wants Mathenge to be the sole speaker at an all-day seminar. Mathenge's agent offers ESB the choice of three possible fee arrangements:

- Schedule 1: € 8000 fee
- Schedule 2: € 20 per person + € 2000 fixed fee
- Schedule 3: € 50 per person

Each attendee will be charged a $€ 200$ fee for the all-day seminar.

## Required:

1 What is ESB's fixed cost and variable cost for hiring Mathenge under each alternative schedule?
2 For each schedule, calculate the total cost and unit cost per seminar attendee if (a) 50 attend, (b) 200 attend, and (c) 500 attend. Comment on the results.

Suggested Solution

| 1 |  |  | Fixed costs |  | Variable costs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schedule 1 |  | $€ 8000$ |  | - |
|  | Schedule 2 |  | € 2000 |  | $€ 20$ per person |
|  | Schedule 3 |  | - |  | $€ 50$ per person |
| 2 |  | 50 people |  | 200 people | 500 people |
|  | Schedule 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total costs | € 8000 |  | € 8000 | € 8000 |
|  | Unit costs | 160 |  | 40 | 16 |
|  | Schedule 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total costs | € 3 000* |  | € $6000+$ | € 12 000\% |
|  | Unit costs | 60 |  | 30 | 24 |
|  | Schedule 3 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total costs | $€ 2500$ |  | € 10000 | $€ 25000$ |
|  | Unit costs | 50 |  | 50 | 50 |

* $(€ 20 \times 50)+$ € 2000 .
$+(€ 20 \times 200)+€ 2000$.
$\ddagger(€ 20 \times 500)+€ 2000$.
Schedule 1 has $€ 8000$ fixed costs: as the attendance increases, the unit cost decreases. Schedule 2 has both a fixed cost component ( $€ 2000$ ) and a variable cost component ( $€ 20$ ); the spreading of the $€ 2000$ amount over more units as attendance increases causes the unit cost to decrease. Schedule 3 has only a variable cost component; there is no change in unit cost as attendance increases.


### 2.24 Variable costs and fixed costs.

Lutukka Oy owns the rights to extract minerals from beach sands in Enare Lappmark. Lutukka has costs in three areas:
a. Payment to a mining subcontractor who charges $€ 80$ per tonne of beach sand mined and returned to the beach (after being processed on the mainland to extract three minerals: ilmenite, rutile and zircon).
b. Payment of a government mining and environmental tax of $€ 50$ per tonne of beach sand mined.
c. Payment to a barge operator. This operator charges $€ 150000$ per month to transport each batch of beach sand - up to 100 tonnes per batch per day - to the mainland and then return to Enare Lappmark (that is, 0-100 tonnes per day $=€ 150000$ per month: 101-200 tonnes $=$ $€ 300000$ per month, and so on). Each barge operates 25 days per month. The $€ 150000$ monthly charge must be paid even if less than 100 tonnes is transported on any day and even if Lutukka requires fewer than 25 days of barge transportation in that month.
Lutukka is currently mining 180 tonnes of beach minerals per day for 25 days per month.

## Required:

1 What is the variable cost per tonne of beach sand mined? What is the fixed cost to Lutukka per month?
2 Plot one graph of the variable costs and another graph of the fixed costs of Lutukka. Is the concept of relevant range applicable to your plots?
3 What is the unit costs per tonne of beach sand mined (a) if 180 tonnes are mined each day, or (b) if 220 tonnes are mined each day? Explain the difference in the unit-cost figures.

## Suggested Solution

1. Variable cost per tonne of beach sand mined:

| Subcontractor | $€ 80$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Government tax | $\underline{50}$ |
| Total | $\underline{\underline{130}}$ |

Fixed costs per month:
0-100 tonnes of capacity per day $=€ 150000$
101-200 tonnes of capacity per day $=€ 300000$
201-300 tonnes of capacity per day $=€ 450000$
2.



The concept of relevant range is potentially relevant for both graphs. However, the question does not place restrictions on the unit variable costs. The relevant ranges for the total fixed costs are
from 0 to 100 tonnes from 101 to 200 tonnes from 201 to 300 tonnes and so on. Within these ranges, the fixed costs do not change in total.

| Tonnes <br> mined per <br> day <br> $(1)$ | Tonnes mined per <br> month (2)=(1) $\times 25$ | Fixed unit cost per tonne <br> $(3)=\mathrm{FC} \div(2)$ | Variable unit cost <br> per tonne <br> $(4)$ | Total unit cost per tonne <br> $(5)=(3) \div(4)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a 180 | 4500 | $€ 300000 \div 4500$ <br> $=€ 66.67$ | $€ 130$ | $€ 196.67$ |
| b 220 | 5500 | $€ 450000 \div 5500$ <br> $=€ 81.82$ | $€ 130$ | $€ 211.82$ |

3. The unit cost for 220 tonnes mined per day is 8211.82 , while for 180 tonnes it is only $€ 196.67$. This difference is caused by the fixed cost increment from 101 to 200 tonnes being spread over an increment of 80 tonnes, while the fixed cost increment from 201 to 300 tonnes is spread only over an increment of 20 tonnes.

### 3.17 Job costing, normal and actual costing.

Idergard $A B$ assembles residential homes. It uses a job-costing system with two direct-cost categories (direct materials and direct labour) and one indirect-cost pool (assembly support). Direct labour-hours is the allocation base for assembly support costs. In December 2009, Peterson budgets 2010 assembly support costs to be SKr 8000000 and 2010 direct labour-hours to be 160 000. At the end of 2010, Idergard is comparing the costs of several jobs that were started and completed in 2010.

| Construction period | Mora model <br> February-June 2010 | Solna model <br> May-October 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Direct materials | SKr 106 450 | SKr 127 604 |
| Direct labour | SKr 36 276 | SKr 41410 |
| Direct labour-hours | 900 | 1010 |

Direct materials and direct labour are paid for on a contract basis. The costs of each are known when direct materials are used or direct labour-hours are worked. The 2010 actual assembly support costs were SKr 6888000 while the actual direct labour-hours were 164000.

## Required:

1 Calculate the (a) budgeted and (b) actual indirect-cost rates. Why do they differ?
2 What is the job cost of the Mora model and the Solna model using (a) normal costing and (b) actual costing?
3 Why might Idergard Construction prefer normal costing over actual costing?

## Suggested Solution

1. 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
& \text { Budgeted indirect }=\frac{\text { Budgeted indirect costs }}{\text { costrate }} \\
& \text { Budgeted direct labour }- \text { hours }
\end{aligned}=\frac{\text { SKr } 8000000}{160000 \text { hours }} \\
&=\text { SKr } 50 \text { per direct labour }- \text { hour } \\
& \text { Actual indirect }=\frac{\text { Actual indirect costs }}{\text { cost rate }}=\frac{\text { SKr } 8000000}{164000 \text { hours }} \\
&=\text { SKr 42 per direct labour -hour }
\end{aligned}
$$

2. 

|  | Mora model | Solna model |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a Normal costing |  |  |
| Direct costs |  |  |
| Direct materials | Skr 106450 | SKr 127604 |
| Direct labour | 36276 | 41410 |
|  | 142726 | 169014 |
| Indirect costs |  |  |
| Assembly support (SKr $50 \times 900$; 1010) | 45000 | 50500 |
|  | 45000 | 50500 |
| Total costs | SKr 187726 | SKr 219514 |
| b Actual costing |  |  |
| Direct costs |  |  |
| Direct materials | SKr 106450 | SKr 127604 |
| Direct labour | 36276 | 41410 |
|  | 142726 | 169014 |
| Indirect costs |  |  |
| Assembly support (SKr $42 \times 900 ; 1010$ ) | 37800 | 42420 |
|  | 37800 | 42420 |
| Total costs | SKr 180526 | SKr 211 |

Normal costing enables Idergard to report a job cost as soon as the job is completed, assuming that both the direct materials and direct labour costs are known at the time of use/work. Once the 900 direct labour-hours are known for the Mora model (June 2011), Idergard can calculate the SKr 187726 cost figure using normal costing. In contrast, Idergard has to wait until the December 2011 year-end to calculate the SKr 180526 cost figure using actual costing.
3.


### 3.20 Job costing, engineering consulting firm

Solucions SA, an engineering consulting firm, specialized in analyzing the structural causes of major building catastrophes. Its job-costing system in 2011 had a single direct-cost category (professional labour) and a single indirect-cost pool (general support). The allocation base for indirect costs is professional labour-costs. Actual costs for 2011 were:

| Direct costs |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Professional labour | €100 million |
| Indirect costs |  |
| General support | $\underline{190 \text { million }}$ |
| Total costs | $€ 290$ million |

The following costs were included in the general support indirect-cost pool:

| Technical specialists' costs | $€ 8$ million |
| :--- | ---: |
| Telephone/fax machine | 6 million |
| Computer time | 37 million |
| Photocopying | $\underline{4 \text { million }}$ |
| Total costs | $\underline{£ 55 \text { million }}$ |

The firm's data-processing capabilities now make it feasible to trace these costs to individual jobs. The managing partner is considering whether more costs than just professional labour should be traced to each job as a direct cost. In this way, the firm would be better able to justify billings to clients.
In late 2011, arrangements were made to expand the number of direct-cost categories and to trace them to seven client engagements. Two of the case records showed the following:

|  | Client case <br> 304 | Client case <br> 308 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Professional labour | $€ 20000$ | $€ 20000$ |  |
| Technical specialists' costs | 2000 | 6000 |  |
| Telephone/fax machine | 1000 | 2000 |  |
| Computer time | 2000 | 4000 |  |
| Photocopying | 1000 | $\underline{2000}$ |  |
| Total direct costs | $\underline{\underline{€ 26000}}$ | $\underline{\underline{~} 34000}$ |  |

## Required:

1 Present an overview diagram of the 2011 job-costing system. What was the actual indirect-cost rate per professional labour-euro?
2 Assume that the $€ 55$ million of costs included in the 2011 general-support indirect-cost pool were reclassified as direct costs. The result is a system with five direct-cost categories. Calculate the revised indirect-cost rate as a percentage of:
a. Professional labour-costs
b. Total direct costs.

3 Calculate the total costs of jobs 304 and 308 using:
a. The 2011 costing system with a single direct-cost category and a single indirect-cost pool (professional labour-costs as the allocation base)
b. A costing system with five direct-cost categories and a single indirect-cost pool (professional labour-costs as the allocation base)
c. A costing system with five direct-cost categories and a single indirect-cost pool (total direct costs as the allocation base).
4 Assume that clients are billed at $120 \%$ of total job costs (that is, a mark-up on cost of $20 \%$ ). Calculate the billings in requirement 3 for jobs 304 and 308 for the (a), (b) and (c) costing systems.
5 Which method of job costing in requirement 3 do you favour? Explain.

## Suggested Solution

1 Actual indirect cost rate $=190 \%$ of professional labour-euros.

2. a Actual indirect costs rate $=135 \%$ of professional labour-euros
b Actual indirect cost rate $=87,1 \%$ of total direct costs.
3.
a Direct costs
Indirect costs, 190\% x €20 000
Total costs
b Direct costs
Indirect costs 135\% x €20 000
Total costs
c Direct costs
Indirect costs $87.1 \% \mathrm{x}$ direct costs
Total costs

4
a Total costs
Billings, $120 \%$ x total costs
b Total costs
Billings, $120 \%$ x total costs
c Total costs
Billings, $120 \%$ x total costs

Client 304
€ 20000
38000
$€ 58000$
$€ 26000$
$\underline{27000}$

$€$| $€ 3000$ |
| :---: |

$€ 26000$
$\underline{22646}$
€ 48646

Client 304
€58 000
69600
€53 000
63600
€48 646
58375

Client 308
€20 000
38000
$€ 58000$
€34 000
$\underline{27000}$
€61000
€ $€ 4000$
$\underline{29614}$
€63614

Client 308
€58 000
69600
€61 000
73200
€63 614
76337
5.

These guidelines for costing system refinement are:
(i) Direct cost tracing. Costing systems (b) and (c) increase the percentage of total costs that are directly traced to the cost object. Other things being equal, (b) or (c) is preferred to (a).
(ii) Indirect cost pools. Costing systems (b) and (c) have the same single indirect costs pool and hence this guideline does not assist in choosing between them.
(iii) Cost allocation bases. Analysis could be made of whether professional labour costs or this analysis may lead to more than one indirect cost pool being selected. That is, costing system (b) and (c) may be further refined.

### 3.22 Overview of general-ledger relationships

Budenmayer BV is a small machine shop that uses highly skilled labour and a job-costing system (using normal costing). The total debits and credits in certain accounts just before year-end are as follows:

30 December 2011

|  | Total debits | Total credits |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Materials Control | $€ 100000$ | $€ 70000$ |
| Work-in-Progress Control | 320000 | 305000 |
| Manufacturing Department Overhead Control | 85000 | $-\overline{3}$ |
| Finished Goods Control | 325000 | 300000 |
| Cost of Goods Sold | 300000 | $-\overline{3}$ |
| Manufacturing Overhead Allocated | - | 90000 |

All materials purchased are for direct materials. Note that 'total debits' in the stock accounts would include beginning stock balances, if any.

The preceding accounts do not include the following:
A The manufacturing labour costs recapitulation for the 31 December working day: direct manufacturing labour, €5000 and indirect manufacturing labour, €1000.
b Miscellaneous manufacturing overhead incurred on 30 December and 31 December: $€ 1000$.

## Additional information

* Manufacturing overhead has been allocated as a percentage of direct manufacturing labour costs through 30 December.
* Direct materials purchased during 2011 were €85 000.
* There were no returns to suppliers.
* Direct manufacturing labour costs during 2011 totalled $€ 150000$, not including the 31 December working day described previously.


## Required

1. Calculate the stock (31 December 2010) of Materials Control, Work-in-Progress Control and Finished Goods Control. Show T-accounts.
2. Prepare all adjusting and closing journal entries for the preceding accounts. Assume that all under- or overallocated manufacturing overhead is closed directly to Cost of Goods Sold.
3. Calculate the ending stock (31 December 2011), after adjustments and closing, of Materials Control, Work-in-Progress Control and Finished Goods Control.

## Suggested Solution

1 and 3 An effective approach to this problem is to draw T-accounts and insert all the known figures. Then, working with T-account relationships, solve for the unknown figures (here coded by the letter $X$ for opening stock figures and $Y$ for closing stock figures).

| Materials control |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $X$ | $15,000 \mid$ | (1) | 70,000 |
| Purchases | $85,000 \mid$ |  | 70,000 |
| $Y$ | 100,000 |  |  |


|  | Work in progress control |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $X$ | $10,000 \mid$ | $(4)$ | 305,000 |
| (1) DM | $70,000 \mid$ |  |  |
| (2) DL | $150,000 \mid$ | 305,000 |  |
| (3) Overhead | $90,000 \mid$ |  |  |
|  | $320,000 \mid$ |  |  |
| (a) | $5,000 \mid$ |  |  |
| (c) | $3,000 \mid$ |  |  |
| $Y$ | 23,000 |  |  |

Finished goods control

| Finished goods control |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $X$ | 20,000 | (5) | 300,000 |
| $(4)$ | 305,000 |  | 300,000 |
| $Y$ | 325,000 |  |  |
|  | 25,000 |  |  |
|  | Cost of goods sold |  |  |
| $(5)$ | 300,000 | (d) | 6,000 |


| Manufacturing department overhead control |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | 85,000 | (d) | 87,000 |
| (a) | $1,000 \mid$ |  |  |
| (b) | 1,000 |  |  |

Manufacturing overhead allocated

| Manufacturing overhead allocated |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| (d) $93,000 \mid$ | (3) | 90,000 |  |
|  |  | (c) | 3,000 |

Manufacturing overhead cost rate $=€ 90,000 \div € 150,000=60 \%$

| Wages payable control |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\|$Various accounts |  | (a) | 6,000 |
| 1 | (b) | 1,000 |  |


| a Work in progress control | $€ 5,000$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | Manufacturing Department overhead control |  |  |
|  | Wages payable control | 1,000 |  |
|  | To recognise payroll costs |  |  |
| b | Manufacturing Department overhead control |  |  |
|  | Various accounts |  | $€ 1,000$ |
|  |  |  |  |

To recognise miscellaneous manufacturing overhead

| c Work in progress control |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Manufacturing overhead allocated | $€ 3,000$ |
| $€ 3,000$ |  |

To allocate manufacturing overhead
d Manufacturing overhead allocated €93,000
Manufacturing Department overhead control
$€ 87,000$
To close manufacturing overhead accounts and overallocated overhead to cost of goods sold

An overview of the product-costing system is:


