

MSc in Banking and Finance.
Quantitative Methods in Finance
Please answer all questions. Time 2 Hours

Table 1 represents the results of a regression of the excess returns of a portfolio (EXR1) on a constant, a January Dummy JANDUM, the excess returns of an Index (RMRF), 2 factors HML and SMB, $RMJAN=RMRF*JANDUM$ and $HMJAN=HML*JANDUM$.

Table 1 (Regression Results)

Dependent Variable: EXR1
 Method: Least Squares
 Sample: 1960M01 2003M12
 Included observations: 528

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-0.195860	0.075063	-2.609278	0.0093
JANDUM	3.125605	0.309967	10.08366	0.0000
RMRF	0.891656	0.019155	46.55023	0.0000
RMJAN	-0.069405	0.051735	-1.341531	0.1803
HML	0.244881	0.030713	7.973313	0.0000
HMJAN	-0.259879	0.082013	-3.168764	0.0016
SMB	1.212854	0.024675	49.15281	0.0000
R-squared	0.936031	Mean dependent var	0.791042	
Adjusted R-squared	0.935294	S.D. dependent var	6.384388	
S.E. of regression	1.624015	Akaike info criterion	3.820849	
Sum squared resid	1374.098	Schwarz criterion	3.877447	
Log likelihood	-1001.704	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.843006	
F-statistic	1270.598	Durbin-Watson stat	1.918973	
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

- 1 Are the coefficients significant at 5%? Taking into account the significance of the coefficients, what is the estimated excess return of EXR1 if the excess return of the Index is 10%, the 2 factors are 5% and the month is January?
- 2 Test, at 5% level, the hypothesis that the coefficient of the Index stock is 1 versus less than 1. What is the F-statistic testing?

Table 2 (Regression Residuals- Correlogram)

Sample: 1960M01 2003M12
 Included observations: 528

Autocorrelation	Partial Correlation	AC	PAC	Q-Stat	Prob	
. .	. .	1	0.040	0.040	0.8311	0.362
. .	. .	2	0.055	0.054	2.4596	0.292
. .	. .	3	-0.032	-0.036	2.9906	0.393
. *	. *	4	0.074	0.074	5.9047	0.206
* .	* .	5	-0.070	-0.073	8.5567	0.128
. .	. .	6	0.072	0.070	11.318	0.079
. .	. .	7	-0.003	0.003	11.323	0.125
. *	. *	8	0.117	0.102	18.729	0.016
* .	* .	9	-0.087	-0.086	22.830	0.007
. .	. .	10	0.071	0.058	25.565	0.004

- 3 According to the results presented above, are the residuals autocorrelated of order 1 at 5% level? According to the results presented above (from the whole Table) are the residuals autocorrelated?

Table 3 (Squared Residuals- Correlogram)

Sample: 1960M01 2003M12

Included observations: 528

Autocorrelation	Partial Correlation	AC	PAC	Q-Stat	Prob	
. *	. *	1	0.160	0.160	13.608	0.000
. **	. **	2	0.250	0.231	46.969	0.000
. *	. *	3	0.187	0.129	65.518	0.000
. *	. .	4	0.140	0.053	75.928	0.000
. *	. .	5	0.102	0.013	81.449	0.000
. *	. .	6	0.077	0.003	84.616	0.000
. *	. *	7	0.166	0.120	99.427	0.000
. *	. *	8	0.191	0.145	119.09	0.000
. *	. .	9	0.143	0.051	130.10	0.000
. *	. *	10	0.195	0.085	150.62	0.000

- 4 According to the results presented above, are the squared residuals autocorrelated of order 1 at 5% level? What is the Q statistic testing?
- 5 What is the value of the statistic for testing the autocorrelation of the squared residuals of up to (including the) 6th order? What is the value of the statistic for testing the autocorrelation of the squared residuals of the 6th order?

Table 4 (AR(1)-GARCH (1,1) Estimation)

Dependent Variable: EXR1

Method: ML - ARCH

Sample: 1960M01 2003M12

Included observations: 528

Convergence achieved after 27 iterations

Coefficient covariance computed using outer product of gradients

Presample variance: backcast (parameter = 0.7)

GARCH = C(7) + C(8)*RESID(-1)^2 + C(9)*GARCH(-1)

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	z-Statistic	Prob.
C	-0.215375	0.065730	-3.276666	0.0011
JANDUM	2.754053	0.289026	9.528735	0.0000
RMRF	0.886684	0.015190	58.37222	0.0000
HML	0.230113	0.025710	8.950268	0.0000
HMJAN	-0.224371	0.072333	-3.101931	0.0019
SMB	1.210181	0.019789	61.15546	0.0000
Variance Equation				
C	0.059279	0.029110	2.036364	0.0417
RESID(-1)^2	0.093629	0.024686	3.792828	0.0001
GARCH(-1)	0.884937	0.027799	31.83333	0.0000
R-squared	0.935627	Mean dependent var	0.791042	
Adjusted R-squared	0.935010	S.D. dependent var	6.384388	
S.E. of regression	1.627581	Akaike info criterion	3.667354	
Sum squared resid	1382.788	Schwarz criterion	3.740123	
Log likelihood	-959.1815	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.695842	
Durbin-Watson stat	1.933592			

- 6 Are the coefficients significant (at 5%)? What is meant by “positivity restrictions”? Do the estimated coefficients (Table 4) comply with these restrictions?

Table 5 (AR(1)-EGARCH (1,1)-symmetric Estimation)

Dependent Variable: EXR1

Method: ML ARCH - Normal distribution (BFGS / Marquardt steps)

Sample: 1960M01 2003M12

Included observations: 528

Convergence achieved after 60 iterations

Coefficient covariance computed using outer product of gradients

Presample variance: backcast (parameter = 0.7)

LOG(GARCH) = C(8) + C(9)*ABS(RESID(-1)/@SQRT(GARCH(-1))) + C(10)
*LOG(GARCH(-1))

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	z-Statistic	Prob.
C	-0.227359	0.071749	-3.168832	0.0015
JANDUM	2.797658	0.275024	10.17242	0.0000
RMRF	0.883466	0.014739	59.93962	0.0000
HML	0.227558	0.025096	9.067327	0.0000
HMJAN	-0.218976	0.067183	-3.259392	0.0011
SMB	1.190848	0.019506	61.05021	0.0000
AR(1)	0.154748	0.044944	3.443145	0.0006
Variance Equation				
C(8)	-0.128325	0.031606	-4.060184	0.0000
C(9)	0.189902	0.043551	4.360467	0.0000
C(10)	0.975554	0.010612	91.93324	0.0000
R-squared	0.934970	Mean dependent var		0.791042
Adjusted R-squared	0.934221	S.D. dependent var		6.384388
S.E. of regression	1.637428	Akaike info criterion		3.654327
Sum squared resid	1396.890	Schwarz criterion		3.735181
Log likelihood	-954.7422	Hannan-Quinn criter.		3.685979
Durbin-Watson stat	2.222093			

7 Is the above model stationary?**8 Which of the two models, presented in Table 4 and Table 5, fit the data best?**

Critical Value of the Standard Normal leaving 10% at the right tail is 1.285, leaving 5% is 1.645, and 2.5% is 1.960.

Critical Values leaving 5% at the right tail of χ_1^2 is 3.84.

August 2020
A. Demos