Please answer all questions. Omitting calculations is OK. Recall that the set of efficient allocations depends **only** on preferences, technologies, and aggregate endowments

QUESTION 1

THE ECONOMY

- Two goods, *A* and *X*, written in this order.
- Two consumers,1 and 2.
- One firm.

Consumer 1

- Consumption set R_{+}^2
- Endowment vector $\omega_1 = [0, 4]$
- Profit share $\theta_1 = 0$
- Utility function $u_1 = \log A_1 + \log X_1$

Consumer 2

- Consumption set R_{+}^2
- Endowment vector $\omega_2 = [0,0]$
- Profit share $\theta_2 = 1$
- Utility function $u_2 = X_2$

The firm produces good *A* out of good *X* with technology described by the production function

$$A = \sqrt{2X}$$

Policy: The firm's profit Π is taxed at a rate t, i.e. after-tax profit is $(1-t)\Pi$. Consumer 1 receives a lump-sum transfer *T*

Parameters: t, T .Conditions on parameters: $0 \le t < 1, T \ge 0$

QUESTIONS

Answer the following questions for all allowed parameter values

- Compute all efficient allocations P. Compute and draw the Pareto frontier and the utility possibility set.
- Compute all competitive equilibria. For which values of the parameters t, T do equilibria exist? Denote the equilibrium allocation at t by $E(t) = [[A_i(t), X_i(t)]_{i=1}^2, A(t), X(t)].$
- First welfare theorem: For which values of the tax rate t, if any, is it true that $E(t) \subseteq P$?

• Is
$$P \subseteq \bigcup_{0 \le t < 1} E(t)$$
?

ANSWERS

EFFICIENT ALLOCATIONS

The set of feasible allocations

$$FA = \left\{ \left(A_1, X_1, A_2, X_2, A, X \right) \in \mathbb{R}_+^6 : A \le \sqrt{2X}, A_1 + A_2 \le A, X_1 + X_2 + X \le 4 \right\}$$
(1)

is convex, and the objective functions u_1, u_2 are concave, hence the utility possibility set is convex, and we can compute efficient points by solving, for all values of the parameter $\alpha \in [0,1]$, the following max problem

$$\max W = \alpha u_1 + (1 - \alpha) u_2$$

subject to $A \le \sqrt{2X}$, $A_1 + A_2 \le A$, $X_1 + X_2 + X \le 4$, all variables ≥ 0 (2)

The solutions are, after eliminating α

$$\frac{\text{Efficient allocations P}}{A = A_1 = \sqrt{2}\sqrt{X}, A_2 = 0, X_1 = 2X, X_2 = 4 - 3X, 0 \le X \le \frac{4}{3}}$$
(3)

$$\boxed{\frac{\text{pareto frontier}}{u_1 = -\ln(3) + \frac{\ln(-3u_2 + 12)}{2} + \frac{\ln(2)}{2} + \ln(-\frac{2u_2}{3} + \frac{8}{3}), 0 \le u_2 \le 4}$$
(4)



EQUILIBRIA

1.NAME THE PRICE OF EACH GOOD

p = price of good A, w= price of good X. Normalize w = 1

2. DEFINE CONSUMER INCOMES

$$M_1 = 4 + T, M_2 = (1 - t)\Pi$$
(5)

3. SOLVE THE OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS OF CONSUMERS

 $\max u_1 = \log A_1 + \log X_1, \text{subject to } pA_1 + X_1 \le M_1$ $\max u_2 = X_2, \text{subject to } X_2 \le M_2$

The solutions are

$$\left(A_{1}, X_{1}\right) = \left(\frac{4+T}{2p}, \frac{4+T}{2}\right) \tag{6}$$

$$(A_2, X_2) = (0, (1-t)\Pi)$$
 (7)

4. SOLVE THE OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS OF FIRMS

 $\max(1-t)\Pi = (1-t)(p\sqrt{2X} - X), X \ge 0$

The solution is

$$\left(X, A, \Pi\right) = \left(\frac{p^2}{2}, p, \frac{p^2}{2}\right) \tag{8}$$

5. SOLVE THE EQUILIBRIUM CONDITIONS

$$A = A_1 + A_2, 4 = X_1 + X_2 + X$$
(9)

$$\begin{array}{l}
\begin{array}{l}
\begin{array}{l}
\begin{array}{l}
\begin{array}{l}
\begin{array}{l}
equilibrium with profit taxation \\
\end{array} \\
\end{array} \\
p = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{4-t}}, T = \frac{4t}{4-t}, \Pi = \frac{4}{4-t} \\
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{l}
\begin{array}{l}
\begin{array}{l}
\begin{array}{l}
\begin{array}{l}
\begin{array}{l}
\begin{array}{l}
\end{array} \\
\end{array} \\
\end{array} \\
\end{array} \\
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{l}
\begin{array}{l}
\end{array} \\
\end{array} \\
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{l}
\begin{array}{l}
\end{array} \\
\end{array} \\
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{l}
\begin{array}{l}
\end{array} \\
\end{array} \\
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{l}
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{l}
\end{array} \\
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{l}
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{l}
\end{array} \\
\end{array}$$
\left(10 \\
\end{array}
\left(10 \\
\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\left(10 \\
\end{array} \\
\left(1 \\ \\
\end{array}
\left(1 \\ \\
\end{array} \\
\left(1 \\ \\
\end{array} \\
\left(1 \\ \\
\end{array} \\
\left(1 \\ \\
\end{array}
\left(1 \\ \\
\end{array}

Comparing (10) to (3) we conclude that $E(t) \subseteq P, \forall t \in [0,1)$, and that $P \not\subset \bigcup_{0 \le t < 1} E(t)$, because $P - \bigcup_{0 \le t < 1} E(t) =$ efficient points with $0 \le X < 1$

QUESTION 2

THE ECONOMY

- Two goods, *A* and *X*, written in this order.
- One consumer
- One firm.

The Consumer

- Consumption set R_{+}^2
- Endowment vector $\omega = [0, 4]$
- Profit share $\theta = 1$
- Utility function $u = 2\sqrt{A} + 2\sqrt{X}$

The firm produces good *A* out of good *X* with technology described by the production function

 $\hat{A} = \sqrt{2\hat{X}}$

Policy: The firm receives a subsidy s per unit of output. The consumer pays a lumpsum tax T

Parameters: s, T .**Conditions on parameters**: $s \ge 0, T \ge 0$

QUESTIONS

Answer the following questions for all allowed parameter values

- Compute all efficient allocations *P*
- Compute all competitive equilibria. For which values of the parameters s, T do equilibria exist? Let p be the price of good A and w the price of good X. Denote the equilibrium allocation at s by $E(s) = [A(s), X(s), \hat{A}(s), \hat{X}(s)]$, the equilibrium price vector by [p(s), w(s)], and the

equilibrium value of profit by $\Pi(s)$.

- First welfare theorem: For which values of the subsidy s, if any, is $E(s) \subseteq P$?
- Second welfare theorem: For which values of the subsidy s, if any, are all efficient allocations decentralizable, i.e. P ⊆ E(s) ?
- Draw the equilibrium value of utility v(s) = u(A(s), X(s)) against s.
- Draw the equilibrium value of the real wage w(s) / p(s) against s. Is it a good measure of welfare?
- Draw the equilibrium value of total employment X(s) against s. Is it a good measure of welfare?
- Draw the equilibrium value of total output $\hat{A}(s)$ against *s*. Is it a good measure of welfare?
- Draw the equilibrium value of total real income $\frac{w(s)\hat{X}(s) + \Pi(s)}{p(s)}$ against *s*. Is it a good measure of welfare?

measure of welfare

ANSWERS

EFFICIENT ALLOCATIONS

There is just one consumer, hence efficient allocations are the solutions of the following max problem

max
$$u = 2\sqrt{A} + 2\sqrt{X}$$

subject to $A \le \hat{A} \le \sqrt{2\hat{X}}$, $X + \hat{X} \le 4$, all variables ≥ 0 (11)

There is a unique solution, given by

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \hline \underline{Efficient allocation} \\
 P = \left\{ \left(\hat{X}, \hat{A}, X, A \right) \right\} \\
 \hat{X} = \frac{A^2}{2}, \hat{A} = A, X = A^3, A^3 + \frac{A^2}{2} = 4, A \approx 1.437
\end{array}$$
(12)

The equation $A^3 + \frac{A^2}{2} = 4$ has exactly one positive real root, hence the set P of efficient allocations contains exactly one element, given by (12).

EQUILIBRIA

1.NAME THE PRICE OF EACH GOOD

p =price of good A,w=price of good X. Normalize p = 1

2. DEFINE CONSUMER INCOMES

$$M = 4w - T + \Pi \tag{13}$$

3. SOLVE THE OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS OF CONSUMERS

 $\max u = 2\sqrt{A} + 2\sqrt{X}$, subject to $A + wX \le 4w - T + \Pi$

The solutions are

$$(A, X) = \left(\frac{wM}{(1+w)}, \frac{M}{w(1+w)}\right)$$
(14)

4. SOLVE THE OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS OF FIRMS

 $\max \Pi = (1+s)\sqrt{2\hat{X}} - w\hat{X}, \hat{X} \ge 0$

The solution is

$$(\hat{X}, \hat{A}, \Pi) = \left(\frac{(1+s)^2}{2w^2}, \frac{1+s}{w}, \frac{(1+s)^2}{2w}\right)$$
 (15)

5. SOLVE THE EQUILIBRIUM CONDITIONS

$$\hat{A} = A, \hat{X} + X = 4 \tag{16}$$

By (16),(15),(14),(13) we obtain

$$T = \frac{s^2 w + 8w^3 - 2s - w - 2}{2w^2} \tag{17}$$

$$0 = 4 - \hat{X} - X = \frac{f(w)}{2w^3}, f(w) \triangleq 8w^3 - (1+s)^2 w - 2s - 2$$
(18)

Equation (18) has a unique positive solution w = w(s), the equilibrium real wage,

because
$$f(0) = f(\frac{\sqrt{2}(1+s)}{4}) = -2s - 2 < 0, f(1+s) > 0, f'(w) = 24w^2 - (1+s)^2$$



By (17),(18) we obtain $T(s) = \frac{s(s+1)}{w(s)}$, and that the set E(s) of equilibrium allocations contains exactly one element for each $s \ge 0$, and therefore $E(s) \subseteq P$ iff E(s) = P iff

$$P \subseteq E(s).$$

$$\underbrace{ unique equilibrium allocation } \\
 w = w(s) \text{ is the unique positive solution of } 8w^{3} - (1+s)^{2}w - 2s - 2 = 0 \\
 E(s) = \left\{ \left(\hat{X}(s), \hat{A}(s), X(s), A(s) \right) \right\} \\
 \hat{X}(s) = \frac{(1+s)^{2}}{2w(s)^{2}}, A(s) = \hat{A}(s) = \frac{1+s}{w(s)}, X(s) = 4 - \frac{(1+s)^{2}}{2w(s)^{2}}$$
(19)

By (19),(12) we obtain that P = E(s) only if $X(s) = (A(s))^3$. From (19) we obtain

$$X(s) - (A(s))^{3} = -\frac{s^{3} + 3s^{2} + 2s}{w(s)^{3}} < 0 \text{, unless s=0.Hence}$$

$$s > 0 \Leftrightarrow P \cap E(s) = \emptyset, E(s) \not\subset P, P \not\subset E(s)$$

$$s = 0 \Leftrightarrow P = E(s)$$
(20)

The effect of the subsidy s on the real wage w(s) is obtained by differentiating (18)

w.r.t.s; $f(w(s),s) = 0 \ \forall s \ge 0$ implies $\frac{\partial f}{\partial w} \frac{\partial w}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} = 0$, i.e. $\frac{\partial (\text{real wage})}{\partial s} = -\frac{\partial f / \partial s}{\partial f / \partial w} = 2\frac{(1+s)w+1}{24w^2 - (1+s)^2} > 0$ (21)



The effect of the subsidy *s* on the output A(s) is obtained by differentiating

$$A(s) = \frac{1+s}{w(s)} \quad \text{w.r.t.} \ s; \frac{dA}{ds} = \frac{\partial A}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial w} \frac{\partial w}{\partial s} \text{ yields}$$
$$\frac{d(\text{output})}{ds} = \frac{4(s+1)}{w^2 \left(24w^2 - (s+1)^2\right)} > 0 \tag{22}$$

The effect of the subsidy *s* on employment $\hat{X}(s)$ is obtained by differentiating

$$\hat{X}(s) = \frac{(A(s))^{2}}{2} \quad \text{w.r.t.} \ s;$$

$$\frac{d(\text{employment})}{ds} = \frac{4(1+s)^{2}}{(24w^{2} - (1+s)^{2})w^{3}} > 0 \quad (23)$$

The effect of the subsidy *s* on total real income $w(s)\hat{X}(s) + \Pi(s) = (1+s)A(s) = \frac{(1+s)^2}{w(s)}$ is obtained by differentiating it w.r.t.*s*;

$$\frac{d(\text{real income})}{ds} = \frac{2(1+s)^2(sw+w+5)}{w^2(24w^2 - (1+s)^2)} > 0$$
(24)

The effect of the subsidy *s* on equilibrium utility $v(s) = u(A(s), X(s)) = \frac{2\sqrt{\frac{1+s}{w}(1+w)}}{w}$ is obtained by differentiating it w.r.t. *s*;



QUESTION 3

THE ECONOMY

- Two goods, *A* and *X*, written in this order.
- One consumer
- One firm.

The Consumer

- Consumption set R_{+}^2
- Endowment vector $\omega = [0, \overline{X}]$
- Profit share $\theta = 1$
- Utility function $u = \alpha \log A + (1 \alpha) \log X$

The firm produces good *A* out of good *X* with technology described by the production function

$$\hat{A} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \hat{X} \le F \\ \sqrt{2(\hat{X} - F)} & \text{if } \hat{X} \ge F \end{cases}, \hat{X} \ge 0$$

Parameters: α , *F*, \overline{X} . Conditions on parameters: $0 < \alpha < 1, 0 \le F < \overline{X}$

QUESTIONS

Answer the following questions for all allowed parameter values

- Compute all competitive equilibrium allocations *E*
- Compute all efficient allocations *P*
- First welfare theorem: For which parameter values, if any, is it true that $E \subset P$?
- Compute the set of decentralizable efficient allocations $P \cap E$
- Second welfare theorem: For which parameter values, if any, is it true that all efficient allocations are decentralizable, i.e. that *P* = *E* ?

ANSWERS

EQUILIBRIA

$$\frac{\alpha \overline{X} < 2F}{\alpha \overline{X} < 2F} \Rightarrow E = \emptyset$$

$$\frac{\alpha \overline{X} < 2F}{\omega} \Rightarrow \frac{p}{w} = \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha}{2-\alpha}} \sqrt{\overline{X} - F}, E = \left\{ \left(A, \hat{A}, X, \hat{X}\right) \right\},$$

$$A = \hat{A} = \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha}{2-\alpha}} \sqrt{\overline{X} - F}, \hat{X} = \frac{\overline{X}\alpha + 2F(1-\alpha)}{2-\alpha}, X = \frac{2(1-\alpha)(\overline{X} - F)}{2-\alpha}$$
(26)

EFFICIENT ALLOCATIONS

Efficient allocations are the solutions of the following max problem

$$\max u = \alpha \log A + (1 - \alpha) \log X$$

subject to $A \le \hat{A}, X + \hat{X} \le \overline{X}$, all variables ≥ 0 , and (27)
$$\hat{X} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \hat{A} = 0\\ F + \frac{\hat{A}^2}{2} & \text{if } \hat{A} > 0 \end{cases}$$

The unique solution is

$$P = \left\{ \left(A, \hat{A}, X, \hat{X}\right) \right\}, A = \hat{A} = \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha}{2-\alpha}} \sqrt{\overline{X} - F}, \hat{X} = \frac{\overline{X}\alpha + 2F(1-\alpha)}{2-\alpha}, X = \frac{2(1-\alpha)(\overline{X} - F)}{2-\alpha}$$
(28)

By (28),(26) we conclude that

$$\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \overline{X} < 2F \Longrightarrow E = \varnothing \subset P \neq \varnothing, P \not\subset E \\
\alpha \overline{X} \ge 2F \Longrightarrow P = E
\end{array}$$
(29)

QUESTION 4

THE ECONOMY

- Two goods, 1 and 2, written in this order.
- Two consumers, A and B

Consumer A

- Consumption set R_{+}^2
- Endowment vector $\omega_A = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2]$
- Utility function $u_A = A_1$

Consumer B

- Consumption set R_+^2
- Endowment vector $\omega_{\rm B} = [1 \alpha_1, 1 \alpha_2]$
- Utility function $u_B = B_1 + B_2$

Parameters: $\omega_A = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2]$. Conditions on parameters: $0 < \alpha_i < 1, i = 1, 2$

QUESTIONS

Answer the following questions for all allowed parameter values

- Compute all efficient allocations *P*.Compute and draw the Pareto frontier and the utility possibility set.
- Compute all competitive equilibrium allocations $E(\omega_A)$
- First welfare theorem: For which values of ω_A , if any, is it true that $E(\omega_A) \subseteq P$?
- Compute the set of decentralizable efficient allocations $P \cap \left(\bigcup_{\omega_A} E(\omega_A) \right)$. The union is taken

over all allowed values of \mathcal{O}_A

• Second welfare theorem: are all efficient allocations decentralizable, i.e. is $P \subseteq \bigcup_{\omega_A} E(\omega_A)$?

ANSWERS

EFFICIENT ALLOCATIONS

The set of feasible allocations

$$FA = \left\{ \left(A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 \right) \in R_+^4 : A_1 + A_2 \le 1, B_1 + B_2 \le 1 \right\}$$
(30)

is convex, and the objective functions u_A , u_B are concave, hence the utility possibility set is convex, and we can compute efficient points by solving, for all values of the parameter $\alpha \in [0,1]$, the following max problem

$$\max W = \alpha u_A + (1 - \alpha) u_B$$

subject to $A_1 + A_2 \le 1, B_1 + B_2 \le 1$, all variables ≥ 0 (31)

The solutions are, after eliminating α

 $\begin{array}{|} \hline \textbf{Efficient allocations} \\ \hline P = \{ \left(A_1, A_2, A_1, B_2 \right) \in R_+^4 : A_1 + B_1 = 1, A_2 = 0, B_2 = 1 \} \\ \hline \textbf{Pareto frontier} \\ \hline \textbf{PF} = \left\{ \left(v_A, v_B \right) \in R^2 : v_A + v_B = 2, 0 \le v_A \le 1 \right\} \\ \hline \textbf{Utility possibility set} \\ \hline \textbf{UPS} = \left\{ \left(v_A, v_B \right) \in R^2 : v_A + v_B \le 2, v_B \le 2, v_A \le 1 \right\} \end{array}$

(32)



EQUILIBRIA

1.NAME THE PRICE OF EACH GOOD

 $p_i = \text{price of good } i, i = 1, 2$

2. DEFINE CONSUMER INCOMES

$$M_{A} = p_{1}\alpha_{1} + p_{2}\alpha_{2}, M_{B} = p_{1}(1 - \alpha_{1}) + p_{2}(1 - \alpha_{2})$$
(33)

3. SOLVE THE OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS OF CONSUMERS

 $\max u_A = A_1, \text{subject to } p_1A_1 + p_2A_2 \le M_A$ $\max u_B = B_1 + B_2, \text{subject to } p_1B_1 + p_2B_2 \le M_B$

The solutions are

$$\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right) = \left(\frac{M_{A}}{p_{1}}, 0\right) \tag{34}$$

$$(B_{1}, B_{2}) = \begin{cases} \left(0, \frac{M_{B}}{p_{2}}\right) & \text{if } \frac{p_{2}}{p_{1}} < 1\\ \left(\beta, \frac{M_{B}}{p_{2}} - \beta\right), 0 \le \beta \le \frac{M_{B}}{p_{2}} & \text{if } \frac{p_{2}}{p_{1}} = 1\\ \left(\frac{M_{B}}{p_{1}}, 0\right) & \text{if } \frac{p_{2}}{p_{1}} > 1 \end{cases}$$
(35)

4. SOLVE THE EQUILIBRIUM CONDITIONS

$$1 = A_1 + B_1, 1 = A_2 + B_2 \tag{36}$$

By (37),(32)
$$E(\omega_A) \subseteq P, \forall \omega_A$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
E(\omega_A) \subseteq P, \forall \omega_A \\
\bigcup_{\omega_A} E(\omega_A) = P
\end{bmatrix}$$
(38)

QUESTION 5

THE ECONOMY

- Three goods, A, B, X, written in this order.
- Two consumers, 1 and 2
- Two firms, α and β

Consumer 1

- Consumption set R_+^3
- Endowment vector $\omega_1 = [0, 0, \gamma]$

- Profit shares $\theta_1 = [\theta_{1,\alpha}, \theta_{1,\beta}]$
- Utility function $U_1 = B_1$

Consumer 2

- Consumption set R^3_+
- Endowment vector $\omega_2 = [0, 0, \overline{X} \gamma]$
- Profit shares $\theta_2 = [1 \theta_{1,\alpha}, 1 \theta_{1,\beta}]$
- Utility function $U_2 = A_2$

Firm α produces good A out of good X with technology described by the production function

$$A = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } X_{\alpha} \leq F \\ \sqrt{2(X_{\alpha} - F)} & \text{if } X_{\alpha} \geq F \end{cases}, X_{\alpha} \geq 0$$

Firm β produces good B out of good X with technology described by the production function $B = X_{\beta}, X_{\beta} \ge 0$

Parameters: \overline{X} , F, γ , $\theta_1 = [\theta_{1,\alpha}, \theta_{1,\beta}]$.

Conditions on parameters: $0 \le \theta_{1,\alpha} \le 1, 0 \le \theta_{1,\beta} \le 1, 0 \le \gamma \le \overline{X}, 0 \le F < \overline{X}$

QUESTIONS

Answer the following questions for all allowed parameter values, keeping \overline{X} , *F* fixed.

- Compute all efficient allocations *P* .Compute and draw the Pareto frontier and the utility possibility set.
- Compute all competitive equilibrium allocations $E(\gamma, \theta_1)$
- First welfare theorem: for which values of the parameters, if any, is it true that $E(\gamma, \theta_1) \subseteq P$?
- Compute the set of decentralizable efficient allocations $P \cap \left(\bigcup_{\gamma} \bigcup_{\theta_1} E(\gamma, \theta_1) \right)$. The union is taken

over all allowed values of γ, θ_1

• second welfare theorem: for which values of the parameters \overline{X} , F, if any, is it true that all efficient allocations are decentralizable, i.e. that $P \subseteq \bigcup_{\gamma} \bigcup_{\theta_1} E(\gamma, \theta_1)$?

ANSWERS

EFFICIENT ALLOCATIONS

The set of feasible allocations

$$FA = FA_{0} \cup FA_{+}, x = (B_{1}, A_{2}, A, X_{\alpha}, B, X_{\beta}),$$

$$FA_{0} = \left\{ x \in R_{+}^{6} : A = 0, A_{2} \le A, B_{1} \le B, X_{\alpha} + X_{\beta} \le \overline{X}, X_{\beta} \ge B, X_{\alpha} \le F \right\}$$
(39)
$$FA_{+} = \left\{ x \in R_{+}^{6} : A > 0, A_{2} \le A, B_{1} \le B, X_{\alpha} + X_{\beta} \le \overline{X}, X_{\beta} \ge B, X_{\alpha} \ge F + \frac{A^{2}}{2} \right\}$$

is not convex, hence we can only use the general method for computing efficient allocations, i.e. we solve for all values of the parameter θ_2 , the following max problem

$$\max U_1 = B_1$$
subject to $x \in FA$, $U_2 = A_2 \ge \theta_2$
(40)

The solutions are

$$\frac{\text{candidate efficient allocations}}{x = (B_1, A_2, A, X_{\alpha}, B, X_{\beta})}$$

$$\theta_2 \le 0 \Rightarrow x = (\overline{X}, 0, 0, 0, \overline{X}, \overline{X})$$

$$\theta_2 > \sqrt{2(\overline{X} - F)} \Rightarrow \text{no max exists}$$

$$\theta_2 = \sqrt{2(\overline{X} - F)} \Rightarrow x = (0, \sqrt{2(\overline{X} - F)}, \sqrt{2(\overline{X} - F)}, \overline{X}, 0, 0)$$

$$0 < \theta_2 < \sqrt{2(\overline{X} - F)} \Rightarrow x = (\overline{X} - F - \frac{\theta_2^2}{2}, \theta_2, \theta_2, F + \frac{\theta_2^2}{2}, \overline{X} - F - \frac{\theta_2^2}{2}, \overline{X} - F - \frac{\theta_2^2}{2})$$
(41)

Since the solutions are essentially unique for each value of θ_2 , we obtain from (41), after eliminating θ_2 ,

$$\frac{\text{efficient allocations}}{\left[P = P_0 \cup P_+\right]} \\
P_0 = \left\{ \left(\overline{X}, 0, 0, 0, \overline{X}, \overline{X}\right) \right\}, x = \left(B_1, A_2, A, X_\alpha, B, X_\beta\right) \\
P_+ = \left\{ x \in R_+^6 : 0 < A \le \sqrt{2\left(\overline{X} - F\right)}, A_2 = A, B_1 = B = X_\beta = \overline{X} - F - \frac{A^2}{2}, X_\alpha = F + \frac{A^2}{2} \right\}$$
(42)

Page 17 of 28

By (42) we obtain that the set of efficient allocations is isomorphic to a simpler set

$$\frac{P \approx PP_0 \cup PP_+}{PP_0 = \{(0,0)\}, y = (A, X_{\alpha})}$$

$$PP_+ = \{y \in R_+^2 : 0 < A \le \sqrt{2(\overline{X} - F)}, X_{\alpha} = F + \frac{A^2}{2}\}$$
(43)



We obtain the pareto frontier by eliminating A from the system

$$v_1 = \overline{X} - F - \frac{A^2}{2}, v_2 = A, 0 \le A \le \sqrt{2(\overline{X} - F)}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \underline{\operatorname{Pareto\ frontier}} \\
 \operatorname{PF} = \left\{ \left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \right\} \in \mathbb{R}^{2} : v_{1} = \overline{X} - F - \frac{\left(v_{2}\right)^{2}}{2}, 0 < v_{2} \leq \sqrt{2\left(\overline{X} - F\right)} \right\} \cup \left\{ \left(\overline{X}, 0\right) \right\} \\
 \underline{\operatorname{Utility\ possibility\ set}} \\
 \underline{\operatorname{UPS}} = \operatorname{UPS}_{0} \cup \operatorname{UPS}_{+} \\
 \operatorname{UPS}_{0} = \left\{ \left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \right\} \in \mathbb{R}^{2} : v_{1} \leq \overline{X} - F - \frac{\left(v_{2}\right)^{2}}{2}, 0 < v_{2} \leq \sqrt{2\left(\overline{X} - F\right)} \right\} \\
 \operatorname{UPS}_{+} = \left\{ \left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \right\} \in \mathbb{R}^{2} : v_{1} \leq \overline{X}, v_{2} \leq 0 \right\}
\end{array}$$

$$(44)$$



EQUILIBRIA

1.NAME THE PRICE OF EACH GOOD

 p_A = price of good A, p_B = price of good B,w=price of good X. Normalize w = 1

2. DEFINE CONSUMER INCOMES

$$M_{1} = \gamma + \theta_{1,\alpha} \Pi_{\alpha} + \theta_{1,\beta} \Pi_{\beta}, M_{2} = \left(\bar{X} - \gamma\right) + \left(1 - \theta_{1,\alpha}\right) \Pi_{\alpha} + \left(1 - \theta_{1,\beta}\right) \Pi_{\beta}$$
(45)

- 3. SOLVE THE OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS OF CONSUMERS
- max $U_1 = B_1$, subject to $p_B B_1 \le M_1$, max $U_2 = A_2$, subject to $p_A A_2 \le M_2$

The solutions are

$$B_1 = \frac{M_1}{p_B}, \ A_2 = \frac{M_2}{p_A}$$
(46)

4. SOLVE THE OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS OF FIRMS

$$\max \Pi_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } A = 0 \\ \\ p_A A - \left(F + \frac{A^2}{2}\right) & \text{if } A > 0 \\ \\ \max \Pi_{\beta} = \left(p_B - 1\right) B \end{cases}$$

The solutions are

$$(B, X_{\beta}, \Pi_{\beta}) = \begin{cases} (0, 0, 0) & \text{if} & p_{B} < 1 \\ \{ (\beta, \beta, 0) : \beta \ge 0 \} & \text{if} & p_{B} = 1 \\ (\infty, \infty, \infty) & \text{if} & p_{B} > 1 \end{cases}$$
(47)

$$(A, X_{\alpha}, \Pi_{\alpha}) = \begin{cases} (0, 0, 0) & \text{if} \quad p_{A} < \sqrt{2F} \\ \{(0, 0, 0), (\sqrt{2F}, 2F, 0)\} & \text{if} \quad p_{A} = \sqrt{2F} \\ (p_{A}, F + \frac{1}{2} p_{A}^{2}, \frac{p_{A}^{2}}{2} - F) & \text{if} \quad p_{A} > \sqrt{2F} \end{cases}$$
(48)

5. SOLVE THE EQUILIBRIUM CONDITIONS

$$A_2 = A, B_1 = B, X_{\alpha} + X_{\beta} = \overline{X}$$

$$\tag{49}$$

We start the search for equilibrium prices

Hypothesis (to be accepted or rejected): there is an equilibrium with $p_{\rm B} > 1$

Consequences of the hypothesis

 $X_{\alpha} + X_{\beta} = \overline{X}$ becomes $X_{\alpha} + \infty = \overline{X}$

Consistency check: The hypothesis is rejected



Hypothesis (to be accepted or rejected): there is an equilibrium with $p_B < 1$, $p_A < \sqrt{2F}$ Consequences of the hypothesis

 $X_{\alpha} + X_{\beta} = \overline{X}$ becomes $\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0} = \overline{X}$

Consistency check: The hypothesis is rejected



Hypothesis (to be accepted or rejected): there is an equilibrium with $p_B < 1$, $p_A \ge \sqrt{2F}$ Consequences of the hypothesis

$$A_{2} = A, B_{1} = B, X_{\alpha} + X_{\beta} = \overline{X} \text{ become}$$

$$\frac{M_{2}}{p_{A}} = p_{A}, \frac{M_{1}}{p_{B}} = 0, F + \frac{1}{2} p_{A}^{2} + 0 = \overline{X},$$

$$\Pi_{\alpha} = \frac{p_{A}^{2}}{2} - F, \Pi_{\beta} = 0$$

$$M_{1} = \gamma + \theta_{1,\alpha} \Pi_{\alpha}, M_{2} = (\overline{X} - \gamma) + (1 - \theta_{1,\alpha}) \Pi_{\alpha}$$

Consistency check: The hypothesis is rejected



Hypothesis (to be accepted or rejected): there is an equilibrium with $p_{B} = 1, p_{A} < \sqrt{2F}$

Consequences of the hypothesis

$$A_{2} = A, B_{1} = B, X_{\alpha} + X_{\beta} = X \text{ become}$$

$$\frac{M_{2}}{p_{A}} = 0, \frac{M_{1}}{p_{B}} = B = X_{\beta} = \overline{X},$$

$$\Pi_{\alpha} = 0, \Pi_{\beta} = 0$$

$$M_{1} = \gamma, M_{2} = (\overline{X} - \gamma)$$

Consistency check: The hypothesis is accepted iff $\gamma = \overline{X}$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\hline \end{array} = \overline{X}\\ \hline \end{array} = 0 < p_A < \sqrt{2F}, p_B = 1, A = A_2 = X_\beta = 0, B_1 = B = X_\beta = \overline{X}\\ \hline \end{array} \quad (50)\\ \hline \end{array} \\
\hline \end{array} \\
\hline \end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array} \\
\hline \end{array} \\
\hline \end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array} \\
\end{array} \\
\end{array}$$

Hypothesis (to be accepted or rejected): there is an equilibrium with $p_B = 1, p_A \ge \sqrt{2F}$

Consequences of the hypothesis

$$A_{2} = A, B_{1} = B, X_{\alpha} + X_{\beta} = \overline{X} \text{ become}$$

$$\frac{M_{2}}{p_{A}} = p_{A}, \frac{M_{1}}{p_{B}} = B = X_{\beta}, F + \frac{1}{2}p_{A}^{2} + X_{\beta} = \overline{X},$$

$$\Pi_{\alpha} = \frac{p_{A}^{2}}{2} - F, \Pi_{\beta} = 0$$

$$M_{1} = \gamma + \theta_{1,\alpha} \left(\frac{p_{A}^{2}}{2} - F\right), M_{2} = (\overline{X} - \gamma) + (1 - \theta_{1,\alpha}) \left(\frac{p_{A}^{2}}{2} - F\right)$$

The solution is

$$p_{A} = \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{\overline{X} - \gamma - F(1 - \theta_{1,\alpha})}{1 + \theta_{1,\alpha}}}, p_{B} = 1, \Pi_{\alpha} = \frac{\overline{X} - \gamma - 2F}{1 + \theta_{1,\alpha}}$$

$$B_{1} = B = X_{\beta} = \frac{\gamma + (\overline{X} - 2F)\theta_{1,\alpha}}{1 + \theta_{1,\alpha}}, \Pi_{\beta} = 0$$

$$A = \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{\overline{X} - \gamma - F(1 - \theta_{1,\alpha})}{1 + \theta_{1,\alpha}}}, X_{\alpha} = \frac{\overline{X} - \gamma + 2\theta_{1,\alpha}F}{1 + \theta_{1,\alpha}}$$

$$M_{1} = \frac{\gamma + \theta_{1,\alpha}(\overline{X} - 2F)}{1 + \theta_{1,\alpha}}, M_{2} = \frac{2(\overline{X} - \gamma - F(1 - \theta_{1,\alpha}))}{1 + \theta_{1,\alpha}}$$
(51)

Consistency check: we substitute (51) into the system of inequalities

$$\left\{B \ge 0, \Pi_{\alpha} \ge 0, p_A \ge \sqrt{2F}\right\} \text{ yields } 0 \le \gamma \le \overline{X} - 2F, 0 \le F \le \frac{\overline{X}}{2}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} equilibria \\ \hline \gamma = \overline{X} \end{array} \Rightarrow 0 < p_{A} < \sqrt{2F}, p_{B} = 1, A = A_{2} = X_{\beta} = 0, B_{1} = B = X_{\beta} = \overline{X} \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq \gamma \leq \overline{X} - 2F, 0 \leq F \leq \frac{\overline{X}}{2} \end{array} \end{array} \Rightarrow p_{A} = \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{\overline{X} - \gamma - F\left(1 - \theta_{1,\alpha}\right)}{1 + \theta_{1,\alpha}}}, p_{B} = 1, \Pi_{\alpha} = \frac{\overline{X} - \gamma - 2F}{1 + \theta_{1,\alpha}} \end{array} (52) \\ \hline \end{array} \\ B_{1} = B = X_{\beta} = \frac{\gamma + \left(\overline{X} - 2F\right)\theta_{1,\alpha}}{1 + \theta_{1,\alpha}}, A = \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{\overline{X} - \gamma - F\left(1 - \theta_{1,\alpha}\right)}{1 + \theta_{1,\alpha}}}, X_{\alpha} = \frac{\overline{X} - \gamma + 2\theta_{1,\alpha}F}{1 + \theta_{1,\alpha}} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \left[\frac{\overline{X}}{2} < F < \overline{X} \end{array} \right] \text{ or } \left[0 \leq F \leq \frac{\overline{X}}{2} \text{ and } \overline{X} - 2F < \gamma < \overline{X} \end{array} \right] \Rightarrow ? \end{array}$$



Having exhausted the (p_A, p_B) search space, we conclude from (52) that

$$\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} equilibria \\ \hline \gamma = \overline{X} \\ \end{array} \end{array} \Rightarrow 0 < p_{A} < \sqrt{2F}, p_{B} = 1, x = \left(B_{1}, A_{2}, A, X_{\alpha}, B, X_{\beta}\right) \\ \end{array} \\ E\left(\gamma, \theta_{1}\right) = \left\{x \in R_{+}^{6} : A_{2} = A = X_{\beta} = 0, B_{1} = B = X_{\beta} = \overline{X}\right\} \\ \hline \\ \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq \gamma \leq \overline{X} - 2F, 0 \leq F \leq \frac{\overline{X}}{2} \\ \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \Rightarrow p_{A} = \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{\overline{X} - \gamma - F\left(1 - \theta_{1,\alpha}\right)}{1 + \theta_{1,\alpha}}}, p_{B} = 1, \Pi_{\alpha} = \frac{\overline{X} - \gamma - 2F}{1 + \theta_{1,\alpha}} \\ \end{array} \\ E\left(\gamma, \theta_{1}\right) = \left\{x \in R_{+}^{6} : B_{1} = B = X_{\beta} = \overline{X} - F - \frac{A^{2}}{2}, A_{2} = A = p_{A}, X_{\alpha} = F + \frac{A^{2}}{2}\right\} \\ \hline \\ \hline \left[\frac{\overline{X}}{2} < F < \overline{X}, 0 \leq \gamma < \overline{X}\right] \text{ or } \left[0 \leq F \leq \frac{\overline{X}}{2} \text{ and } \overline{X} - 2F < \gamma < \overline{X}\right] \Rightarrow E\left(\gamma, \theta_{1}\right) = \emptyset \end{array}$$





 $E \subseteq P \tag{54}$ Page 25 of 28

and therefore

Decentralizable efficient allocations	
$P \cap E = E$	(55)

By (53)

$$\frac{\overline{X}}{2} < F < \overline{X} \Longrightarrow E \approx \left\{ \left(0, 0 \right) \right\}$$
(56)

$$0 \leq F \leq \frac{\overline{X}}{2} \Longrightarrow$$

$$E = \bigcup_{0 \leq \gamma \leq \overline{X} - 2F} \bigcup_{\theta_1} \left\{ x \in R_+^6 : B_1 = B = X_\beta = \overline{X} - F - \frac{A^2}{2}, A_2 = A = \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{\overline{X} - \gamma - F(1 - \theta_{1,\alpha})}{1 + \theta_{1,\alpha}}}, X_\alpha = F + \frac{A^2}{2} \right\}$$
(57)

By (57) $\partial A / \partial \theta_{1,\alpha} < 0, \partial A / \partial \gamma < 0$, hence the equilibrium values of A are $\sqrt{2F} \le A \le \sqrt{2(\bar{X} - F)}$. Finally, for $y = (X_{\alpha}, A)$ we obtain

equilibrium allocations <i>E</i>				
<i>E</i> ≈ <		if	$\frac{\overline{X}}{2} < F < \overline{X}$	
	$PP_0 \cup EE_+$	if	$0 \le F \le \frac{\bar{X}}{2}$	
$PP_0 = \{(0,0)\}$				
$EE_{+} = \{ y \in R_{+}^{2} : \sqrt{2F} \le A \le \sqrt{2(\bar{X} - F)}, X_{\alpha} = F + \frac{A^{2}}{2} \}$				

(58)

By (58),(43) we have, for $y = (X_{\alpha}, A)$

$$\frac{\text{non-decentralizable efficient allocations}|}{P-E = \begin{cases} \{y \in R_+^2 : 0 < A \le \sqrt{2(\overline{X} - F)}, X_\alpha = F + \frac{A^2}{2}\} & \text{if } \frac{\overline{X}}{2} < F < \overline{X} \\ \{y \in R_+^2 : 0 < A < \sqrt{2F}, X_\alpha = F + \frac{A^2}{2}\} & \text{if } 0 \le F \le \frac{\overline{X}}{2} \end{cases}$$
(59)

P - E measures the extent of the tradeoff between equity and efficiency. Since $P \subseteq E$ iff $P - E = \emptyset$, we obtain by (59) that the extent of the tradeoff increases in *F*, and that it disappears iff F = 0

$$P \subseteq E \text{ iff } P - E = \emptyset \text{ iff } F = 0 \tag{60}$$



