Athens University of Economics and Business Department of Economics

Postgraduate Program - MSc in Economic Theory Course: Mathematical Economics (Mathematics II) Prof: Stelios Arvanitis TA: Dimitris Zaverdas<sup>∗</sup>

Semester: Spring 2023-2024

## Completeness and Function Spaces

by Dimitris Zaverdas

## Lemma

Let  $(Y, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $X \neq \emptyset$  a non-empty set, then the structured set  $(\mathcal{B}(X, Y), d_{sup}^d)$  with  $d_{sup}^d(f, g) = \sup_{x \in X}$  $d(f(x), g(x)), \forall f, g \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  is a complete metric space.

## Proof

We need to show that:

- 1.  $(\mathcal{B}(X, Y), d_{sup}^d)$  is a metric space, which requires that:
	- $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  be a non-empty set.
	- $d_{sup}^d$  be a metric function on  $\mathcal{B}(X,Y)$  (properties i-iv).
- 2. Every  $d_{sup}^d$ -Cauchy sequence on  $\mathcal{B}(X,Y)$  has a  $d_{sup}^d$ -limit in  $\mathcal{B}(X,Y)$ , which requires that for all  $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}: f_n \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  that are  $d_{sup}^d$ -Cauchy, there exists a function, f, such that:
	- $\bullet$   $f = d_{sup}^d \lim f_n$
	- $f \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$
- 1. Since  $X \neq \emptyset$  we can define at least one function (if not more) that maps elements of X to elements of Y (also non-empty). For example, the constant function  $f_c : X \to Y$  such that  $\forall x \in X, f_c(x) = y_c$  for some  $y_c \in Y$ .

Furthermore, observe that  $f_c(X) = \{y_c\} \subseteq Y$ , i.e. the image of X through  $f_c$  is a subset of Y (naturally) and it is also a singleton set (it has only one element). Thus  $f(X)$  is certainly a  $d$ -bounded subset of Y.

<sup>∗</sup>Please report any typos, mistakes, or even suggestions at zaverdasd@aueb.gr.

We have found at least one example of a bounded function from X to Y. So  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  is non-empty.

Also,  $d_{sup}^d$  is a metric function on  $\mathcal{B}(X,Y)$  since:

i)  $\forall f, g \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y), d_{sup}^d(f, g) = \sup_{x \in X}$  $d(f(x), g(x)) \overset{i}{\geq} 0$ ii)  $\forall f, g \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ ,

$$
d_{\sup}^d(f, g) = 0 \iff
$$
  
\n
$$
\sup_{x \in X} d(f(x), g(x)) = 0 \iff
$$
  
\n
$$
d(f(x), g(x)) = 0, \forall x \in X \iff
$$
  
\n
$$
f(x) = g(x), \forall x \in X \iff
$$
  
\n
$$
f = g
$$

iii)  $\forall f, g \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y), d_{sup}^d(f, g) = \sup_{x \in X}$  $d(f(x), g(x)) \stackrel{iii}{=} \sup$ x∈X  $d(g(x), f(x)) = d_{sup}^{d}(g, f)$ iv)  $\forall f, g, h \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ ,

$$
d_{\sup}^d(f,g) = \sup_{x \in X} d(f(x), g(x))
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \sup_{x \in X} (d(f(x), h(x)) + d(h(x), g(x)))
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \sup_{x \in X} d(f(x), h(x)) + \sup_{x \in X} d(h(x), g(x))
$$
  
\n
$$
= d_{\sup}^d(f, h) + d_{\sup}^d(h, g)
$$

So  $d_{sup}^d$  is a metric function on the non-empty  $\mathcal{B}(X,Y)$  and  $(\mathcal{B}(X,Y), d_{sup}^d)$  is a metric space.

2. Consider an arbitrary  $d_{sup}^d$ -Cauchy sequence on  $\mathcal{B}(X,Y)$ ,  $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} : f_n \in \mathcal{B}(X,Y)$   $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists n(\varepsilon)$  such that

$$
d_{sup}^d(f_n, f_m) < \varepsilon, \forall n, m > n(\varepsilon)
$$
\n
$$
\sup_{x \in X} d(f_n(x), f_m(x)) < \varepsilon, \forall n, m > n(\varepsilon)
$$
\n
$$
\forall x \in X, \, d(f_n(x), f_m(x)) < \varepsilon, \forall n, m > n(\varepsilon)
$$

so that  $(f_n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a d-Cauchy sequence on Y,  $\forall x \in X$ .

Here is an informal breakdown to facilitate your intuition. From one  $d_{sup}^d$ -Cauchy sequence

in the set of bounded Y-valued functions,  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ , we can get multiple sequences,  $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ , in Y that are d-Cauchy, one for every  $x \in X$ . For each such starting sequence,  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , there are as many such  $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  sequences as elements in the domain of those  $f_n$ , X, and their n-th element is given by  $y_n = f_n(x)$ .

Furthermore, because  $(Y, d)$  is complete,  $(f_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to a d-limit in Y, say  $\phi_x \in Y$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

So starting from a  $d_{sup}^d$ -Cauchy sequence on  $\mathcal{B}(X,Y)$  we can generate a collection of d-convergent sequences on Y (one sequence for each  $x \in X$ ). That is, we have that

$$
\forall (f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : (f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d_{sup}^d \text{-Cauchy on } \mathcal{B}(X, Y)
$$
  

$$
\exists \{ (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : y_n = f_n(x) \,\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d \text{-convergent on } Y, \forall x \in X \}
$$

Now, for any such starting sequence  $(f_n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  using the corresponding  $\phi_x$  of each  $x \in X$ , define the function  $f: X \to Y$  such that  $f(x) \coloneqq \phi_x, \forall x \in X$ . To show that  $(\mathcal{B}(X, Y), d_{sup}^d)$ is complete, it suffices to show that  $d_{sup}^d - \lim f_n = f$  and that  $f \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  (since we have taken  $(f_n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  to be d-Cauchy).

Firstly, notice that

$$
d_{sup}^d(f_n, f) = \sup_{x \in X} d(f_n(x), f(x))
$$
  
= 
$$
\sup_{x \in X} d(f_n(x), d - \lim_{m \to +\infty} f_m(x))
$$
  
= 
$$
\sup_{x \in X} \lim_{m \to +\infty} \left( d(f_n(x), f_m(x)) \right)
$$

This last equality follows by the fact that metrics are continuous functions (needs proof).

Now consider  $d(f_n(x), f_m(x))$  for some  $x \in X$  (notice that it is a real number). Choose an arbitrary fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and think of the real valued sequence  $(z_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $z_m = d(f_n(x), f_m(x))$  for any given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in X$ .

$$
d_{\sup}^d(f_n, f) = \sup_{x \in X} \lim_{m \to +\infty} z_m
$$
  

$$
\leq \sup_{x \in X} \sup_{m \geq n} z_m
$$

This holds because we are considering more  $z_m$  than just those that "tend to infinity". Keep in mind that, if we denote z as the limit here, even if  $z_m < z$ ,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$  it still holds that  $\sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} z_m = z$ . This can be generalized to cases where a finite number of  $z_m$  are greater than the limit (if they were infinite, then it wouldn't be a limit).

So we have that

$$
d_{\sup}^d(f_n, f) \le \sup_{x \in X} \sup_{m \ge n} d(f_n(x), f_m(x))
$$
  

$$
\le \sup_{x \in X} \sup_{m \ge n} \sup_{x \in X} d(f_n(x), f_m(x))
$$
  

$$
= \sup_{m \ge n} \sup_{x \in X} d(f_n(x), f_m(x))
$$
  

$$
= \sup_{m \ge n} d_{\sup}^d(f_n, f_m)
$$

So we showed that  $d_{sup}^d(f_n, f) \leq \sup_{m \geq n} d_{sup}^d(f_n, f_m)$ . Now consider the following

$$
(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is } d_{sup}^d \text{-Cauchy}
$$
  
\n
$$
\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n(\varepsilon) : d_{sup}^d(f_n, f_m) < \varepsilon \qquad \forall n, m \ge n(\varepsilon)
$$
  
\n
$$
\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n(\varepsilon) : \sup_{m \ge n} d_{sup}^d(f_n, f_m) < \varepsilon \qquad \forall n \ge n(\varepsilon)
$$
  
\n
$$
\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n(\varepsilon) : d_{sup}^d(f_n, f) < \varepsilon \qquad \forall n \ge n(\varepsilon)
$$

thus as  $n \to +\infty$ ,  $f_n \to f$  with respect to  $d_{sup}^d$ .

And because  $(f_n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  was arbitrarily chosen, any  $d_{sup}^d$ -Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{B}(X,Y)$  is  $d_{sup}^d$ convergent. It still remains to show that its  $d_{sup}^d$ -limit (say f) is in  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ .

So, for any two points  $f(x), f(y) \in Y$  and some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have that

$$
\sup_{x,y\in X} d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \sup_{x,y\in X} d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}(x), f(x)) + \sup_{x,y\in X} d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}(x), f(y))
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sup_{x,y\in X} d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}(x), f(x)) + \sup_{x,y\in X} d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}(y), f(y)) + \sup_{x,y\in X} d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}(x), f_{n(\varepsilon)}(y))
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sup_{x\in X} d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}(x), f(x)) + \sup_{y\in X} d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}(y), f(y)) + \sup_{x,y\in X} d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}(x), f_{n(\varepsilon)}(y))
$$
\n
$$
= 2 \sup_{x\in X} d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}(x), f(x)) + \sup_{x,y\in X} d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}(x), f_{n(\varepsilon)}(y))
$$
\n
$$
= 2 d_{\sup}^d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}, f) + \sup_{x,y\in X} d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}(x), f_{n(\varepsilon)}(y))
$$

where  $n(\varepsilon)$  is such that  $d_{sup}^d(f_{n(\varepsilon)}, f) < \varepsilon$ . This  $n(\varepsilon)$  exists since  $f_n \to f$  with respect to  $d_{sup}^d$ . Thus, the first additive term is bounded by  $2\varepsilon$ . The second additive term is the maximum distance between all values of  $f_{n(\varepsilon)}$  on Y. Since  $f_{n(\varepsilon)} \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  this number is also bounded. Thus,  $\sup_{x,y\in X} d(f(x), f(y)) < +\infty$ , which establishes that  $f \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ , i.e. f is a bounded Y-valued function.

So for  $(Y, d)$  complete metric space, every  $d_{sup}^d$ -Cauchy sequence on  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  is  $d_{sup}^d$ -convergent in  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ .

Thus, if  $(Y, d)$  is a complete metric space, then  $(\mathcal{B}(X, Y), d_{sup}^d)$  is also a complete metric space.