

Math. Econ. II - TA 3

Definition: d-openness

Let (X, d) m.s. and $A \subseteq X$. A is termed a d-open subset of X iff

$$\forall x \in A \exists \varepsilon > 0 : O_d(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq A$$

Definition: d-closedness

Let (X, d) m.s. and $A \subseteq X$. A is termed a d-closed subset of X iff

$$A' := X \setminus A \text{ is d-open}$$

Examples in (X, d)

X is d-open since $\forall x \in X \exists \varepsilon > 0 : O_d(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq X$

\emptyset is d-open since it has no elements that violate the definition.

X is d-closed since $X' \equiv \emptyset$ which is d-open.

\emptyset is d-closed since $\emptyset' \equiv X$ which is d-open.

Any $O_d(x, \varepsilon)$ is d-open (see PS 2 Exercise 3).

Any $O_d[x, \varepsilon]$ is d-closed (see notes on topologies).

Furthermore: (see notes on topologies)

- arbitrary unions of d -open sets are d -open.
- finite intersections of d -open sets are d -open.
- finite unions of d -closed sets are d -closed.
- arbitrary intersections of d -closed sets are d -closed.

Remark: The above motivate the desired properties that are included in the definition of what constitutes a topology on a carrier set, X .

Example in (\mathbb{R}, d_n)

$(0, 1]$ is neither d_n -open, nor d_n -closed in \mathbb{R} .

Proof

$$\nexists \varepsilon > 0 : O_{d_n}(1, \varepsilon) \subseteq (0, 1]$$

$$\nexists \varepsilon > 0 : O_{d_n}(0, \varepsilon) \subseteq (-\infty, 0] \cup (1, +\infty) = (0, 1)^c$$

Exercises:

- Show that $(0, 1)$ is a d_n -open subset of \mathbb{R} .
- Show that $[0, 1]$ is a d_n -closed subset of \mathbb{R} .

Remark:

Notice that $[0, 1]$ is $-d_n$ -open in $[0, 1]$.

Definition: Sequence

Let $X \neq \emptyset$, then $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with

$$x_n \in (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : x_n \in X \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

is termed an X -valued sequence.

A sequence can be thought of as a vector which has a first element, but no last element, and has the same number of elements as the set of natural numbers, \mathbb{N} .

Equivalently, a sequence can be thought of as a function from the natural numbers to the set in question, $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$.

We can also say that $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$, which
(relates to the function characterization above.)

Definition: Sequential Convergence

Let (X, d) m.s. and $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ an X -valued sequence. $x \in X$ is termed a d -limit of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ iff

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists n_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \in D_d(x, \epsilon) \quad \forall n \geq n_\epsilon$$

and we can write $x = d\text{-}\lim (x_n)$, or equivalently
 $x_n \xrightarrow{d} x$.

If a sequence has a d -limit, it is called d -convergent. If it has no d -limit, it is called d -divergent.

Lemma: Limit Uniqueness in Metric Spaces

If a sequence, $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, in a metric space, (X, d) , has a d -limit, then that limit is unique.

Proof:

Let $x_n \xrightarrow{d} x$, $x_n \xrightarrow{d} y$, with $x \neq y$. Then, by the separateness property $d(x, y) > 0$. Thus, $\exists \epsilon_x > 0, \epsilon_y > 0$ s.t. $\epsilon_x + \epsilon_y < d(x, y)$ and $O_d(x, \epsilon_x) \cap O_d(y, \epsilon_y) = \emptyset$.

Since $x = d\text{-lim}(x_n)$ almost all elements of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ lie in $O_d(x, \epsilon_x)$. This leaves only a finite number of elements that may lie in $O_d(y, \epsilon_y)$.

But $y = d\text{-lim}(x_n)$. Contradiction!

Remark: This is not necessarily true for pseudo-metric spaces.

Example in (\mathbb{R}, d_u)

Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a real-valued sequence s.t. $x_n = \frac{1}{1+n} \in \mathbb{R}$. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $x_n \xrightarrow{d_u} 0$.

Proof:

For some $\epsilon > 0$ let $n_\epsilon := \arg\min_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{n : \frac{1}{1+n} < \epsilon\}$.

Notice that $\exists n_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall \epsilon > 0$. Consider $O_{d_u}(0, \epsilon) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : d_u(x, 0) < \epsilon\}$. Then $x_{n_\epsilon} = \frac{1}{1+n_\epsilon} \in O_{d_u}(0, \epsilon)$ since $d_u(0, x_{n_\epsilon}) = \left| \frac{1}{1+n_\epsilon} - 0 \right| = \frac{1}{1+n_\epsilon} < \epsilon$.

But also $d(x_m, 0) = \frac{1}{1+m} < \varepsilon \quad \forall m \geq n_\varepsilon$ and

there exist infinitely many such $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Thus, at least almost all of the elements of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ lie inside $D_{d_c}(0, \varepsilon)$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ was chosen arbitrarily, so this holds $\forall \varepsilon > 0$.

So $0 = d_c - \lim (x_n)$. \blacksquare

Definition: Eventually Constant Sequences

Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be some X -valued sequence s.t.

$$(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-1}, c, c, c, \dots)$$

i.e., $x_n = c \quad \forall n \geq m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called an eventually constant sequence.

Lemma: Convergence in Discrete Spaces

Let (X, d_c) be a discrete metric space. Then, only eventually constant X -valued sequences have a d_c -limit.

Proof:

Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ not be eventually constant, but $x_n \xrightarrow{d_c} x$. Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists n_\varepsilon : x_n \in D_{d_c}(x, \varepsilon) \quad \forall n \geq n_\varepsilon$. But

$$x_n \in D_{d_c}(x, \varepsilon) = \{x_n \in X : d(x, x_n) < \varepsilon\} = \{x_n \in X : c < \varepsilon\}$$

Choose $\varepsilon < \epsilon$ and we arrive at a contradiction. III

Lemma: d -convergence and d -boundedness

Let (X, d) m.s. and $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be d -convergent.

Then $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a d -bounded subset of X .

Proof:

Let $x_n \xrightarrow{d} x$, then $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists n_\varepsilon : x_n \in O_d(x, \varepsilon) \forall n \geq n_\varepsilon$.
 $\exists \varepsilon^* > 0 : n_{\varepsilon^*} = 0$, thus $x_n \in O_d(x, \varepsilon^*) \forall n \geq 0$ or
equivalently $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq O_d(x, \varepsilon^*)$.

So $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is d -bounded. ■

Exercise:

Show that d -convergent sequences in metric spaces are d -totally bounded.

Remark:

The converse to the above does not always hold.
That is, d -bounded and d -totally bounded sequences are not always d -convergent.

Lemma: Sequential Convergence and Metrics Comparison

Let $X \neq \emptyset$ and d_1, d_2 metrics on X s.t.

$$\exists c > 0 : d_1 \leq c d_2$$

then $(x_n \xrightarrow{d_2} x) \Rightarrow (x_n \xrightarrow{d_1} x)$

Sketch of Proof:

Remember that

$$d_1 \leq c d_2 \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{d_2}(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{d_1}(x, c\varepsilon) \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0, \forall x \in X$$

You can use this to prove the Lemma's statement

Lemma: Sequential Characterization of Closedness

Let (X, d) m.s. and $A \subseteq X$. A is a d -closed subset of X iff

$$(\forall (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in A, \exists x : x_n \xrightarrow{d} x) \Rightarrow (x \in A)$$

Proof:

(see notes on topologies)

Definition: "Point-wise" Continuity of Functions
Between Metric Spaces

Let (X, d_X) , (Y, d_Y) m.s., $f: X \rightarrow Y$, and $x \in X$.

f is called d_Y/d_X -continuous at x iff

$$\forall (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}: x_n \xrightarrow{d_X} x \Rightarrow (f(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{d_Y} f(x)$$

Definition: Continuity of Functions Between M.S.

Let (X, d_X) , (Y, d_Y) m.s., and $f: X \rightarrow Y$.

f is a d_Y/d_X -continuous function iff it is
 d_Y/d_X -continuous at all $x \in X$.

Example with Discrete Domain

Let (X, d_c) discrete m.s. and (Y, d) general m.s.

Notice that only eventually constant $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$
in X are d_c -convergent. But then $(f(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$
are also eventually constant and converge in Y
for any metric, d (trivially). So for any function
between X and Y convergence is preserved.

So all functions with discrete domains
are d/d_c -continuous.