

We can extend an already known example in order to see that if A is not d -totally bounded, then this does not imply the existence of one element balls.

Remember that when $X = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R})$ and $B = \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X, x_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \neq i \\ 1 & \text{if } n = i \end{cases}, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ then we have concluded that B is not d_{sup} -totally bounded.

Notice that $B \subseteq O_{d_{\text{sup}}}(\mathbf{0}, \varepsilon)$, where $\mathbf{0} = (0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$ and $\varepsilon > 1$. This and the hereditary properties we have already proven for total boundedness imply that $O_{d_{\text{sup}}}(\mathbf{0}, \varepsilon)$ is also not d_{sup} -totally bounded for any $\varepsilon > 1$.
But if $x \in O_{d_{\text{sup}}}(\mathbf{0}, \varepsilon)$, then, $O_{d_{\text{sup}}}(x, \delta) \subseteq O_{d_{\text{sup}}}(\mathbf{0}, \varepsilon)$ for $\delta \leq \varepsilon - d_{\text{sup}}(\mathbf{0}, x)$, while $O_{d_{\text{sup}}}(x, \delta)$ does not only contain $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, \dots)$ since it for example contains also $x^* := (x_1 + \delta/2, x_2 + \delta/2, \dots, \dots)$. \square