

14/04/20 Lecture

(Continue the proof of that where A is t.b., the ball centers of the collections that cover it can be chosen to lie inside A , $\forall \varepsilon > 0$).

Reminder: For $\varepsilon > 0$, we considered $\mathcal{C}(Z)$ a finite cover of open balls of radius $\varepsilon/2$ that covers A .

$Z = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. We supposed that $x_i \notin A$.

We considered the case $\mathcal{O}_d(x_i, \varepsilon/2) \cap A$.

We have chosen $y_i \in \mathcal{O}_d(x_i, \varepsilon/2) \cap A$, and considered the ball $\mathcal{O}_d(y_i, \varepsilon)$: we have that $\mathcal{O}_d(y_i, \varepsilon) \supseteq \mathcal{O}_d(x_i, \varepsilon/2) \cap A$.

This is due to that: if $z \in \mathcal{O}_d(x_i, \varepsilon/2) \cap A$, then

$$d(y_i, z) \leq \underbrace{d(x_i, y_i)}_{\varepsilon/2} + \underbrace{d(x_i, z)}_{\varepsilon/2} < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon \Rightarrow$$

$$d(y_i, z) < \varepsilon \Leftrightarrow z \in \mathcal{O}_d(y_i, \varepsilon) \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_d(y_i, \varepsilon) \supseteq \mathcal{O}_d(x_i, \varepsilon/2) \cap A$$

Obviously we can repeat the previous procedure for any $x_i \in Z$ such that $x_i \notin A$.

Hence for any $x_i \in Z$ and $x_i \notin A$, and $\mathcal{O}_d(x_i, \varepsilon/2) \cap A \neq \emptyset$, we can find some $y_i \in A$ such that $\mathcal{O}_d(y_i, \varepsilon) \supseteq \mathcal{O}_d(x_i, \varepsilon/2) \cap A$.

For each $x_i \in Z$, for which $x_i \notin A$ we consider $\mathcal{O}_d(y_i, \varepsilon)$.

Obviously due to monotonicity we have that

$O_d(x_i, \varepsilon) \supseteq O_d(x_i, \varepsilon/2) \cap A$. Hence we have constructed a finite collection of open balls of radius ε with:
i. centers inside A and ii. the collection covers A .
The result follows since ε is arbitrary. \square

Lemma. The previous hold if instead of covers by open balls we had considered covers of closed balls.

Proof. (covers of open balls \Rightarrow covers of closed balls)

Suppose A is t.b. (based on covers of open balls) and $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists a cover of open balls, say,

$$O_2(Z) = \left\{ O_d(x_1, \varepsilon), O_d(x_2, \varepsilon), \dots, O_d(x_n, \varepsilon) \right\}$$

such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n O_d(x_i, \varepsilon) \supseteq A$. We have that $O_d(x_i, \varepsilon) \subseteq$

$O_d[x_i, \varepsilon] \quad \forall i=1, 2, \dots, n \Rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^n O_d[x_i, \varepsilon] \supseteq A$. Hence

$\{ O_d[x_1, \varepsilon], O_d[x_2, \varepsilon], \dots, O_d[x_n, \varepsilon] \}$ is the required

collection. (Hence the definition of t.b. using open

balls implies the definition of t.b. using closed balls)

(covers of closed balls \Rightarrow covers of open balls)

Suppose that A satisfies the definition of ϵ -b.

w.r.t. covers of closed balls. Let $\epsilon > 0$: we know

that there exists a ^{finite} collection of closed balls

$\{ \mathcal{O}_d[x_1, \epsilon/2], \mathcal{O}_d[x_2, \epsilon/2], \dots, \mathcal{O}_d[x_n, \epsilon/2] \}$ that covers

A . We know that $\mathcal{O}_d(x_i, \epsilon) \supseteq \mathcal{O}_d[x_i, \epsilon/2] \forall i=1, \dots, n$

$\Rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_d(x_i, \epsilon) \supseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_d[x_i, \epsilon/2] \supseteq A$ hence the

collection $\{ \mathcal{O}_d(x_1, \epsilon), \mathcal{O}_d(x_2, \epsilon), \dots, \mathcal{O}_d(x_n, \epsilon) \}$ is the

required one. Since ϵ is arbitrary the result follows. \square

Further useful results:

X, d will be considered totally bounded iff

X is totally bounded w.r.t. as a subset of itself.

$A \subseteq X$ is totally bounded w.r.t. d , iff

A, d_A is totally bounded (Proof - Exercise!).

Use the result on the choice of the ball centers - it is similar to the proof of the analogous result for boundedness).

Lemma If A is totally bounded w.r.t. d then

it is also bounded w.r.t. d .

Proof. [checks the definitions using the covers!]

Lemma. If A is finite then it is totally bounded. (this holds for any metric!)

Proof. If $A = \emptyset$ then A is trivially t.b. Suppose that $A \neq \emptyset$, i.e. it is of the form

$$A = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}, \quad x_i \in X \quad \forall i=1, \dots, n$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, consider $\{O_d(x_1, \varepsilon), O_d(x_2, \varepsilon), \dots, O_d(x_n, \varepsilon)\}$

Since $x_i \in O_d(x_i, \varepsilon) \quad \forall i=1, \dots, n$, we have that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n O_d(x_i, \varepsilon) \supseteq A. \quad \text{Since } \varepsilon \text{ is arbitrary}$$

the result follows. \square

Lemma. If A is t.b. w.r.t. d and $B \subseteq A$ then B is also t.b. w.r.t. d .

Proof. $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ the cover of open balls covers A it necessarily covers B .

Dually: if A is not t.b. w.r.t. d and $B \supseteq A$ then also B is not t.b. w.r.t. d

(A is not t.b. iff $\exists \varepsilon > 0$: every finite collection of open balls of radius ε cannot cover A).

Examples and Counter-examples

1. X, d_d (X, d_d is always bounded)

We will show that: X, d_d is totally bounded iff it is finite.

Proof a. (Finite \Rightarrow t.b.)

(see above).

b. (if X, d_d is t.b. then it is finite).

Suppose that X is infinite. Let $\varepsilon = 1/2$.

(Reminder $\bigcirc_{d_d}(x, 1/2) = \{x\} \forall x \in X$). This directly

implies that the only way to cover X

by open balls of radius $1/2$ is: $\bigcup_{x \in X} \bigcirc_{d_d}(x, 1/2)$

//

$$\bigcup_{x \in X} \{x\} = X$$

but the collection $\{\bigcirc_{d_d}(x, 1/2), x \in X\}$ is not

finite since X is infinite. The result follows. \square

Hence the previous implies via example that total boundedness is strictly stronger than boundedness.

2. Is \mathbb{R}, d_u totally bounded? No since it is not even bounded. The same holds for \mathbb{R}^d, d_I .

(the interesting question here is when $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is t.b. w.r.t. d_I).

3. Remember $B(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R}), d_{\text{sup}}$ (bounded real sequences with the uniform metric).

$$A = \left\{ f_n \in B(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R}), f_n(m) = \begin{cases} 1 & m=n \\ 0 & m \neq n, m \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases} \right\}$$

Reminder: infinite dimensional vector representation of f_n

$$(0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$$

↓
(n+1)th position

e.g. f_0 $(1, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$

We have proven that A is bounded w.r.t.

d_{sup} (we have used uniform boundedness).

Is A totally bounded w.r.t. d_{sup} ? A is not totally bounded due to that: we know that if A were t.b. then the centers of the covering balls can be chosen to belong to A .

Also we have that $d_{\text{sup}}(f_n, f_m) = \begin{cases} 0, & n=m \\ 1, & n \neq m. \end{cases}$

A is obviously infinite. For $\varepsilon = 1/2$

$\bigcirc_{d_{\text{sup}}}(f_m, 1/2) \cap A = \{f_m\}$. Hence in

order to be able to cover A with open balls

of the form $\bigcirc_{d_{\text{sup}}}(f_m, 1/2)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we need

as many of those balls as there are elements of.

But A is infinite. Hence A is not totally bounded w.r.t. d_{sup} . \square

In order to construct examples the following notion will be useful:

Covering Numbers and Metric Entropy.

We will use without loss of generality covers by closed balls.

Frameworks: $X, d, A \subseteq X, \varepsilon > 0$

Definition: The covering number of A w.r.t. ε and d , $N(\varepsilon, d, A) := \min \#$ of closed balls of radius ε that cover A .

Remark: it is possible to prove that $N(\varepsilon, d, A)$ is unique $\forall \varepsilon, d, A$.

$\ln N(\varepsilon, d, A)$ is the metric entropy number w.r.t. ε and d .

• It is easy to show that A is t.b. w.r.t. d iff $N(\varepsilon, d, A) \in \mathbb{N}$ (i.e. it is $< \infty$) $\forall \varepsilon > 0$.

(dually A is not t.b. w.r.t. d iff $\exists \varepsilon > 0: N(\varepsilon, d, A) = \infty$)

• It is easy to see that $N(\varepsilon, d, A)$ is decreasing

w.r.t. ε for fixed d, A .

- Generally, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, $N(\varepsilon, d, A) \rightarrow +\infty$
 $(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0)$
 $\varepsilon > 0$ for fixed d, A
 Even when A is t.b.

- What is very informative on the complexity of A as a metric (sub-)space is the rate at which $N(\varepsilon, d, A)$ (or equivalently $\ln N(\varepsilon, d, A)$) diverges as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.

E.g. $X = \mathbb{R}$, $d = d_u$ $[a, b] = \mathcal{O}_{d_u} \left[\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{b-a}{2} \right]$

$$N(\varepsilon, d_u, [a, b]) = \begin{cases} 1, & \varepsilon \geq \frac{b-a}{2} \\ \text{o γυπότατος} \\ \text{ποσιν} \geq \frac{b-a}{2\varepsilon}, & \varepsilon < \frac{b-a}{2} \end{cases} < +\infty$$

$\forall \varepsilon > 0$. Hence $[a, b]$ is t.b. w.r.t. d_u

Hence we have shown that $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon > 0, \mathcal{O}_{d_u} [x, \varepsilon]$

is totally bounded w.r.t. d_u . Hence if $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$

is bounded w.r.t. d_u then it is also t.b. w.r.t. d_u .

Hence **Boundness \Leftrightarrow Total Boundness inside \mathbb{R}, d_u**