

# Lecture 28/05/20

## Applications of BFT: Picard-Lindelöf Theorem

Reminders: -  $Z = [\alpha, \beta]$   $dz = du$ , compactness

-  $H: Z \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , jointly continuous,  $\exists \delta > 0$ :

$\forall x \in Z, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|H(\underline{x}, y_1) - H(\underline{x}, y_2)| \leq \delta |y_1 - y_2| \quad \checkmark$$

[Lipschitz continuity of  $H(x, y)$  w.r.t.  $y$  uniformly in  $x$ .]

-  $x_0 \in Z, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$* \text{ BVP: } \begin{cases} f'(x) = H(\underline{x}, f(x)), \quad \forall x \in [\alpha, \beta] \\ f(x_0) = y_0 \end{cases} \quad \checkmark$$

P-L Theorem: BVP has a unique solution in  $([\alpha, \beta], \mathbb{R})$

Proof: (We will use our BFT methodology. At first it would be helpful if we obtained an equivalent formulation of BVP that includes only  $f$  instead of  $f'$  - this way we could hope to identify some of the fixed points of which match the solutions of BVP.)

We thus integrate (\*). Then:

$$(*) \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \int_{x_0}^x f'(z) dz = \int_{x_0}^x H(z, f(z)) dz & \forall x \in [a, b] \\ f(x_0) = y_0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} f(x) - f(x_0) = \int_{x_0}^x H(z, f(z)) dz & \forall x \in [a, b] \\ f(x_0) = y_0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} f(x) = f(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^x H(z, f(z)) dz & \forall x \in [a, b] \\ f(x_0) = y_0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x H(z, f(z)) dz \quad \forall x \in [a, b] \quad (**)$$

Remark: the integral equation (\*\*) is equivalent to the BVP (BVP and (\*\*) have the same solutions). It suffices to prove that (\*\*) has a unique solution in  $C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ . (\*\*) contains  $f$  and not  $f'$  in both sides.

Remark: Consider  $y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x H(z, f(z)) dz$ . Due to the continuity of  $H$  and  $f$ ,  $\int_{x_0}^x H(z, f(z)) dz \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall x \in [a, b]$ ,  $f \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ . Hence  $y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x H(z, f(z)) dz$  is a well-defined function  $[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\forall f \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ .  $\forall x_n, x \in [a, b]$ ,  $x_n \rightarrow x$ ,  $\int_{x_0}^{x_n} H(z, f(z)) dz \rightarrow \int_{x_0}^x H(z, f(z)) dz$ , hence  $y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x H(z, f(z)) dz \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ .

Define  $\Phi$  on  $C([a,b], \mathbb{R})$  as follows:

$$\text{if } f \in C([a,b], \mathbb{R}), \quad (\Phi(f))(x) := y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x H(z, f(z)) dz, \quad \forall x \in [a,b].$$

Due to the previous remark  $\Phi(f)$  is a well-defined function  $[a,b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is also continuous. Hence  $\Phi$  is a self map on  $C([a,b], \mathbb{R})$  (i.e.  $\Phi: C([a,b], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C([a,b], \mathbb{R})$ ).

Furthermore  $f$  is a fixed point of  $\Phi \Leftrightarrow$

$$f = \Phi(f) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$f(x) = (\Phi(f))(x) \quad \forall x \in [a,b] \Leftrightarrow$$

$$f(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x H(z, f(z)) dz, \quad \forall x \in [a,b] \Leftrightarrow$$

$f$  is a solution of (\*\*).

Hence in order to prove P-L Theorem it suffices to prove that  $\Phi$  has a unique fixed point. We will use BFPT:

We have that:

$$- X = C([a,b], \mathbb{R}) \subseteq B([a,b], \mathbb{R})$$

$[a,b]$  is  
Compact

- We know from previous remarks (ii) that

$C([a,b], \mathbb{R})$ ,  $d_{\text{sup}}$  is complete. It is possible to show (exercise!!!) that  $\Phi$  is a  $d_{\text{sup}}$ -contraction under further restrictions on  $x_0, \delta, \alpha, b$  which

do not appear in the P-L Theorem. Such restrictions would constitute a "local" version of the theorem.

We will work with  $d_{\text{sup}}^*$  defined as:  $f, g \in (C[a, b], \mathbb{R})$

$$d_{\text{sup}}^*(f, g) = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} \left( e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} |f(x) - g(x)| \right)$$

$\swarrow$  depends on BVP  
 $\searrow$   
 $\circ \forall x \in [a, b]$

$d_{\text{sup}}^*$  is a "modification" of  $d_{\text{sup}}$  in a way that "reflects" properties of the BVP.

Exercise: Show that  $d_{\text{sup}}^*$  is a well-defined metric.

We thus endow  $(C[a, b], \mathbb{R})$  with  $d_{\text{sup}}^*$ .

1. Completeness:  $e^{-\delta(b-x_0)} |f(x) - g(x)| \leq e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} |f(x) - g(x)|$

$$\leq e^{-\delta(a-x_0)} |f(x) - g(x)| \quad \forall x \in [a, b]$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{x \in [a, b]} e^{-\delta(b-x_0)} |f(x) - g(x)| \leq \sup_{x \in [a, b]} e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} |f(x) - g(x)|$$

$$\leq \sup_{x \in [a, b]} e^{-\delta(a-x_0)} |f(x) - g(x)| \quad (\Leftarrow)$$

$$e^{-\delta(b-x_0)} \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x) - g(x)| \leq d_{\text{sup}}^*(f, g) \leq e^{-\delta(a-x_0)} \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x) - g(x)|$$

$\downarrow d_{\text{sup}}(f, g)$

$$\Leftrightarrow e^{-\delta(b-x_0)} d_{\text{sup}}(f, g) \leq d_{\text{sup}}^*(f, g) \leq e^{-\delta(a-x_0)} d_{\text{sup}}(f, g)$$

hence we have:  $C_1 d_{\text{sup}} \leq d_{\text{sup}}^* \leq C_2 d_{\text{sup}}$ ,  $C_1 = e^{-\delta(b-x_0)}$ ,  $C_2 = e^{-\delta(a-x_0)}$

Then we know  $C([a, b], \mathbb{R}), d_{\text{sup}}$  is complete  $\implies$

due to  
our results  
on completeness

$C([a, b], \mathbb{R}), d_{\text{sup}}^*$  is complete due to the previous inequalities.

(This essentially justifies all our previous work on Metric Comparisons).

2. Contractivity: we will use the definition, we cannot use Blackwell's Lemma since we are working with  $d_{\text{sup}}^*$ .

let  $f, g \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ , we have that

$$d_{\text{sup}}^*(\phi(f), \phi(g)) = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} \left[ e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} \left| (\phi(f))(x) - (\phi(g))(x) \right| \right]$$

$$= \sup_{x \in [a, b]} \left[ e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} \left| y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x H(z, f(z)) dz - \left( y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x H(z, g(z)) dz \right) \right| \right]$$

$$= \sup_{x \in [a, b]} \left[ e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} \left| \int_{x_0}^x (H(z, f(z)) - H(z, g(z))) dz \right| \right]$$

$$\leq \sup_{x \in [a, b]} \left[ e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} \int_{x_0}^x |H(z, f(z)) - H(z, g(z))| dz \right]$$

Lipschitz property  
+ integral monotonicity

$$\leq \sup_{x \in [a, b]} \left[ e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} \int_{x_0}^x \delta |f(z) - g(z)| dz \right]$$

$$= \sup_{x \in [\alpha, \beta]} \left[ e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} \int_{x_0}^x \delta e^{-\delta(z-x_0)} e^{\delta(z-x_0)} |f(z)-g(z)| dz \right]$$

take sup w.r.t. z

$$= \sup_{x \in [\alpha, \beta]} \left[ e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} \int_{x_0}^x e^{-\delta(z-x_0)} |f(z)-g(z)| \delta e^{\delta(z-x_0)} dz \right]$$

/ Monotonicity of integral

$$\leq \sup_{x \in [\alpha, \beta]} \left[ e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} \int_{x_0}^x \left( \sup_{z \in [\alpha, \beta]} (e^{-\delta(z-x_0)} |f(z)-g(z)|) \right) \delta e^{\delta(z-x_0)} dz \right]$$

$$= \sup_{x \in [\alpha, \beta]} \left[ e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} \int_{x_0}^x d_{\sup}^*(f, g) \delta e^{\delta(z-x_0)} dz \right]$$

$\geq d_{\sup}^*(f, g)$

$d_{\sup}^*(f, g) \geq 0$   
and independent of  $z, x$

$$= d_{\sup}^*(f, g) \sup_{x \in [\alpha, \beta]} \left[ e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} \int_{x_0}^x \delta e^{\delta(z-x_0)} dz \right]$$

$(e^{\delta(z-x_0)})' = \delta e^{\delta(z-x_0)}$

$$= d_{\sup}^*(f, g) \sup_{x \in [\alpha, \beta]} \left[ e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} e^{\delta(z-x_0)} \Big|_{x_0}^x \right] =$$

$$= d_{\sup}^*(f, g) \sup_{x \in [\alpha, \beta]} \left[ e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} (e^{\delta(x-x_0)} - 1) \right]$$

$$= d_{\sup}^*(f, g) \sup_{x \in [\alpha, \beta]} (1 - e^{-\delta(x-x_0)}) = (1 - e^{-\delta(b-x_0)}) d_{\sup}^*(f, g)$$

We have shown that:  $\forall f, g \in (L, b], \mathbb{R}$

$$d_{\text{sup}}^*(\phi(f), \phi(g)) \leq (1 - e^{-s(b-x_0)}) d_{\text{sup}}^*(f, g)$$

We have that  $0 < e^{-s(b-x_0)} \leq 1 \Leftrightarrow 0 \leq 1 - e^{-s(b-x_0)} < 1$

Hence the  $d_{\text{sup}}^*$ -Lipschitz coefficient of  $\phi$  is  $< 1$

and  $\phi$  is a  $d_{\text{sup}}^*$ -contraction.

Hence due to the BFPT  $\phi$  has a unique fixed point  $\Leftrightarrow (**)$  has a unique solution  $\Leftrightarrow$  BVP has a unique solution.  $\square$

