

Lecture 26/05/20

Reminder:

* BFPT: If X admits a d , such that i. (X, d) is complete, and ii. $f: X \rightarrow X$ is a d -contraction, then f has a unique fixed point in X , say x^* , and $\forall y \in X$, $x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ (w.r.t. d), where $x_n = f^{(n)}(y)$.

Corollary [Further locating x^*]. If A is a closed and non empty subset of X , and $\forall x \in A, f(x) \in A$, then $x^* \in A$.

$\hookrightarrow f$ remains a self-map when restricted to A .

Proof. (X, d) is complete and A is closed (w.r.t. d) subset of X . Hence (A, d) is a complete metric subspace of X . When f is restricted to A it remains a self-map. $f: X \rightarrow X$ is a d -contraction, hence it is also a d -contraction when restricted to A . Hence due to BFPT f restricted to A has a unique fixed point inside A . But we also know that f has a unique fixed point, x^* , in $X \supseteq A$. Hence $x^* \in A$. \square

Corollary. If A is closed and $x^* \notin A$ then $\exists x \in A: f(x) \notin A$.

Applications of BFPT:

General Framework:

- X will be generally a subset of $B(Z, \mathbb{R})$ for Z some set and d will be either d_{sup} or some "modification" of d_{sup} .
- ϕ will denote the respective self-map on X . (i.e. if f lies in the aforementioned function space, $\phi(f)$ will also lie there).
- We will study problems of existence and uniqueness of solutions of equations on such function spaces (functional equations)
- The crucial step will be to represent the issue of existence and uniqueness of solutions by the issue of the existence and uniqueness of fixed points of a suitable ϕ . In this respect we will have to:
 - * identify ϕ as the self-map the fixed points of which are the solutions of the equations
 - * show that ϕ is well-defined (i.e. that it is a self-map)
 - * ensure that BFPT is applicable in this framework.

- One possible difficulty is to show that ϕ is a contraction.

One way to show contractivity by avoiding the definition goes through Blackwell's lemma. This runs as follows:

— $d = d_{\text{sup}}$

— $X \subseteq B(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$ contains every constant function.

Furthermore, if $f \in X$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ then $f + \alpha \in X$.
(α can be perceived as a constant function $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha(x) = \alpha \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}$)
 $(f + \alpha)(x) = f(x) + \alpha(x) = f(x) + \alpha$

(e.g. $B(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the above)

— if $f, g \in X$ then $f \succeq g \Leftrightarrow f(x) \geq g(x) \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(partial order on X)

Blackwell Hypotheses:

BL1. if $f \succeq g \Rightarrow \phi(f) \succeq \phi(g)$ (Monotonicity of ϕ)
 $\left[\begin{array}{l} \phi(f), \phi(g): \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \in X \\ (\phi(f))(x) \geq (\phi(g))(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{Z} \end{array} \right]$

BL2. $\exists \delta \in (0, 1): \forall f \in X, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\phi(f) + \delta \alpha \succeq \phi(f + \alpha)$$

\downarrow $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ \downarrow constant function
 \downarrow $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

Hence $\phi(f) + \delta \alpha \in X$

Blackwell's Lemma: Under BL1 and BL2 ϕ is a d_{sup} -contraction.

Proof. Let $f, g \in X$. $f(x) - g(x) \leq |f(x) - g(x)| \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(x) - g(x)| = d_{\text{sup}}(f, g) \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\Rightarrow f(x) \leq g(x) + d_{\text{sup}}(f, g) \quad \forall x \in Z \quad (*)$$

Define $g^*: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, by $g^*(x) := g(x) + d_{\text{sup}}(f, g)$, $\forall x \in Z$

then $g^* \in X$.

Due to $(*)$ $g^* \geq f$.

Analogously we can show that:

$$g(x) \leq f(x) + d_{\text{sup}}(f, g) \quad \forall x \in Z$$

Define $f^*: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, by $f^*(x) := f(x) + d_{\text{sup}}(f, g)$, $\forall x \in Z$

and $f^* \in X$.

Analogously $f^* \geq g$.

Hence by the above constructions we have:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} g^* \geq f \\ f^* \geq g \end{array} \right. \xrightarrow[\text{by BLZ}]{f, g, f^*, g^* \in X} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \phi(g^*) \geq \phi(f) \\ \phi(f^*) \geq \phi(g) \end{array} \right. \quad (*)$$

$$\phi(g^*) = \phi(g + d_{\text{sup}}(f, g)) \stackrel{\text{BLZ}}{\leq} \phi(g) + \delta d_{\text{sup}}(f, g)$$

$$\phi(f^*) = \phi(f + d_{\text{sup}}(f, g)) \stackrel{\text{BLZ}}{\leq} \phi(f) + \delta d_{\text{sup}}(f, g) \quad (**)$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \phi(g) + \delta d_{\text{sup}}(f, g) \geq \phi(g^*) \geq \phi(f) \\ \phi(f) + \delta d_{\text{sup}}(f, g) \geq \phi(f^*) \geq \phi(g) \end{array} \right\} = \square$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \phi(g) + \delta d_{\text{sup}}(f, g) &\geq \phi(f) \\ \phi(f) + \delta d_{\text{sup}}(f, g) &\geq \phi(g) \end{aligned} \right\} \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (\phi(g))(x) + \delta d_{\text{sup}}(f, g) &\geq (\phi(f))(x) \\ (\phi(f))(x) + \delta d_{\text{sup}}(f, g) &\geq (\phi(g))(x) \end{aligned} \right\} \forall x \in Z \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (\phi(f))(x) - (\phi(g))(x) &\leq \delta d_{\text{sup}}(f, g) \\ (\phi(g))(x) - (\phi(f))(x) &\leq \delta d_{\text{sup}}(f, g) \end{aligned} \right\} \forall x \in Z \Rightarrow$$

$$|(\phi(f))(x) - (\phi(g))(x)| \leq \delta d_{\text{sup}}(f, g) \quad \forall x \in Z$$

and since $\delta d_{\text{sup}}(f, g)$ is independent of x , we have

that

$$\sup_{x \in Z} |(\phi(f))(x) - (\phi(g))(x)| \leq \delta d_{\text{sup}}(f, g) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$d_{\text{sup}}(\phi(f), \phi(g)) \leq \delta d_{\text{sup}}(f, g)$$

Since $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and f, g are arbitrary the previous

shows that ϕ is a d_{sup} -contraction as requested. \square

Further Structure:

- i. We will assume that Z is endowed with the metric d_Z , w.r.t. which it is totally bounded and complete (Z is then termed compact w.r.t. the topology generated by d_Z).
- ii. It is possible to prove that if Z, d_Z is compact then $C(Z, \mathbb{R}) := \{f: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f \text{ } d_Z\text{-continuous}\}$ is a closed subset of $B(Z, \mathbb{R})$ w.r.t. d_{sup} . Since $B(Z, \mathbb{R}), d_{\text{sup}}$ is complete, this implies that $(C(Z, \mathbb{R}), d_{\text{sup}})$ is a complete, metric subspace.
- iii. If Z, d_Z is compact and $f \in C(Z, \mathbb{R})$ then $\arg \max_{x \in Z} f(x) \neq \emptyset$.

Application: Bellman Equation

Z, d_Z is compact. $w: Z \times Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is jointly continuous, $\delta \in (0, 1)$.

Definition: [Bellman Equation]

$$(*) \quad f(x) = \sup_{y \in Z} [w(x, y) + \delta f(y)], \quad f \in C(Z, \mathbb{R}).$$

Lemma. Bellman equation has a unique solution inside $C(Z, \mathbb{R})$.

Remarks: $\omega(x,y) + \delta f(y)$ is jointly continuous due to the continuity of ω, f . For any x , $\omega(x,y) + \delta f(y)$ is then continuous w.r.t. y . $y \in Z$, and Z is compact hence due to iii

$\arg \max_{y \in Z} [\omega(x,y) + \delta f(y)] \neq \emptyset \quad \forall x \in X$ and thereby not only the

Supremum in the equation exists and $\sup_{y \in Z} [\omega(x,y) + \delta f(y)] = \max_{y \in Z} [\omega(x,y) + \delta f(y)] \quad \forall x \in Z$. Since sup in this context is

Continuous (Remember our first application!) $\max_{y \in Z} [\omega(x,y) + \delta f(y)]$ is a continuous function of x , i.e. $\max_{y \in Z} [\omega(x,y) + \delta f(y)] \in C(Z, \mathbb{R})$. \square

Proof of Lemma.

$X = C(Z, \mathbb{R})$ and $d = d_{\text{sup}}$. (this makes sense due to ii).

Consider ϕ defined on $C(Z, \mathbb{R})$ as:

$$(\phi(f))(x) := \max_{y \in Z} [\omega(x,y) + \delta f(y)], \quad f \in C(Z, \mathbb{R}), \quad x \in Z.$$

Due to the previous remarks ϕ is well-defined as a function $C(Z, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(Z, \mathbb{R})$ (i.e. it is a self map on $C(Z, \mathbb{R})$).

Furthermore $(x) \Leftrightarrow f(x) = \max_{y \in Z} [\omega(x,y) + \delta f(y)] \quad \forall x \in Z$
 $\Leftrightarrow f(x) = (\phi(f))(x) \quad \forall x \in Z$

$$\in) f = \Phi(f)$$

Hence f satisfies Bellman Equation iff it is a fixed point of Φ .

Hence in order to prove the Lemma it suffices to prove that Φ has a unique fixed point. We will use the BPTT.

a. we have that (\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}) , d_{sup} is complete due to ii above.

b. we will use Blackwell's lemma in order to show that Φ is a d_{sup} -contraction:

I. constant functions $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are obviously continuous and if f continuous and α constant then $f + \alpha$ continuous $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

II. B.L.: Let $f, g \in (C(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}))$ and $f \geq g \Leftrightarrow$

$$f(y) \geq g(y) \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow \delta f(y) \geq \delta g(y) \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$\delta > 0$

$$\Rightarrow w(x, y) + \delta f(y) \geq w(x, y) + \delta g(y) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} [w(x, y) + \delta f(y)] \geq \sup_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} [w(x, y) + \delta g(y)] \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Monotonicity of sup

$$\Rightarrow (\Phi(f))(x) \geq (\Phi(g))(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow \Phi(f) \geq \Phi(g).$$

Since f, g are arbitrary, Φ is monotone and BLR holds.

III. Blk. For the δ that appears in the equation,

if $f \in (Z, R)$, $\alpha \in R$ we have

$$(\Phi(f+\alpha))(x) = \sup_{y \in Z} [w(x, y) + \delta(f(x) + \alpha)] \quad \forall x \in Z$$

$$= \sup_{y \in Z} [w(x, y) + \delta f(y) + \delta \alpha] \quad \forall x \in Z$$

$$= \sup_{y \in Z} [w(x, y) + \delta f(y)] + \delta \alpha \quad \forall x \in Z$$

$\delta \alpha$ is
independent
of y

$$= (\Phi(f))(x) + \delta \alpha \quad \forall x \in Z$$

Hence we have shown that: $(\Phi(f+\alpha))(x) = (\Phi(f))(x) + \delta \alpha \quad \forall x \in Z$

$$\Leftrightarrow \Phi(f+\alpha) = \Phi(f) + \delta \alpha$$

Hence BLR holds as equality.

Hence Blackwell's lemma applies and thereby Φ is a sup contraction.

Hence BFPT applies and thereby Φ has a unique fixed point, and thereby Bellman equation has a unique solution. \square

Application: Picard - Lindelöf Theorem

- $Z = [\alpha, \beta]$, $\alpha < \beta$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Z is compact w.r.t. da .

- $H: [\alpha, \beta] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is jointly continuous, $\exists \delta > 0$:

$\forall x \in [\alpha, \beta]$, $\forall y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ we have:

$$|H(x, y_1) - H(x, y_2)| \leq \delta |y_1 - y_2|$$

($H(x, y)$ is Lipschitz continuous w.r.t. y , $\forall x \in X$ and the Lipschitz coefficient δ , does not depend on x .)

- $x_0 \in [\alpha, \beta]$, $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Consider the following Boundary Value Problem (BVP):

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} f'(x) = H(x, \underline{f(x)}) , \quad \forall x \in [\alpha, \beta] \\ f(x_0) = y_0 \end{cases}$$

Picard - Lindelöf Theorem. There exists a unique

$f \in C([\alpha, \beta], \mathbb{R})$ that solves (*).

— $X = C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$

— To be continued...

