

Lecture 12/05/20

- We have shown that if $\exists c > 0: d_1 \leq \underline{c} d_2$, and $X_n \rightarrow X$ w.r.t. $d_2 \Rightarrow X_n \rightarrow X$ w.r.t. d_1

(hence the set of convergent in X sequences w.r.t. $d_2 \subseteq$ to \parallel
 $\supset \supset \supset \supset \supset$ w.r.t. d_1)

- Thereby, (why), if $\exists c_1, c_2 > 0: c_1 d_2 \leq \underline{d_1} \leq c_2 d_2$ then $X_n \rightarrow X$ w.r.t. $d_1 \Leftrightarrow X_n \rightarrow X$ w.r.t. d_2

(hence the aforementioned sets coincide)

E.g. $X = \mathbb{R}^p$, $d = d_I, d_{\max}, d_{11}$ are not "distinguishable" w.r.t. sequential convergence.

Closeness

Definition: $A \subseteq X$ is closed (w.r.t. d) iff for any sequence of elements of A ($(x_n), x_n \in A \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$) that has a d -limit, its limit lies in A (i.e. every convergent sequence of elements of A converges inside A).

Dually: $A \subseteq X$ is open (w.r.t. d) iff A^c is closed.

- It is easy to show that: i. X, \emptyset are simultaneously open and closed.

ii. If \mathcal{T}_c denotes the collection of the closed subsets of X then $X, \emptyset \in \mathcal{T}_c$, arbitrary intersections of elements of \mathcal{T}_c lie in \mathcal{T}_c (i.e. arbitrary intersections

of closed subsets of X are closed.

ii. (dual) If τ_0 denotes the collection of the open subsets of X , then $X, \emptyset \in \tau_0$, arbitrary unions of elements of τ_0 lie in τ_0 (i.e. arbitrary intersections of open subsets of X are open).

τ_0 is then topology on X generated by d .

E.g. It is possible to show that for any $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ $O_d(x, \varepsilon)$ is open (i.e. $\in \tau_0$), and $\exists \delta, O_d[x, \delta]$ are closed (i.e. $\in \tau_c$).

Continuity of functions between Metric Spaces.

Suppose $f: X \rightarrow Y$, (X, d_x) , (Y, d_y) are Metric spaces.

- Suppose that (x_n) is a X -sequence (i.e. $x_n \in X, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$)

By evaluation via f we obtain the Y -sequence $(f(x_n))$.

Continuity of f at a point involves 'the comparison of such sequences':

Definition. Let $x \in X$, f is (d_y/d_x) -continuous at x iff $f(x_n)$, with $x_n \in X, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, that converges (w.r.t. d_x) to x , $(f(x_n))$ converges (w.r.t. d_y) to $f(x)$. (f must not destroy

the convergence of sequences in X that converge to x).

f is (d_X/d_X^-) continuous iff it is (d_Y/d_X^-) continuous at x , $\forall x \in X$.

E.g. $X, d_X = d_d$ (discrete metric). We know that

$x_n \rightarrow x$ w.r.t. d_d iff (x_n) is eventually constant at x (i.e. it is of the form $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k, x, x, x, \dots, x, \dots)$ for some k).

We then have that $(f(x_n))$ is eventually constant at $f(x)$ (since it must be of the form $(f(x_0), f(x_1), \dots, f(x_k), f(x), \dots, f(x), \dots, f(x), \dots)$).

Thereby $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$ w.r.t. d_Y (for any d_Y)

Hence if (X, d_X) is discrete **EVERY** function $X \rightarrow Y$ (with whatever d_Y) is continuous

Remark. We can characterize continuity at x using appropriately open (or closed) balls, or more generally using open (or closed) sets (see tutorial). For example it is possible to show that f is continuous at x iff

$$\forall \delta > 0, \exists \varepsilon > 0: f(\underbrace{O_{d_X}^-(f(x), \delta)}_{\{x \in X: f(x) \in O_{d_Y}^-(f(x), \delta)\}}) \subseteq O_{d_Y}^-(x, \varepsilon).$$

Application: Approximation of Optimization Problems

(or some suitable subset)

$$- X = B(z, \mathbb{R}), \quad d_X = d_{\text{sup}}$$

$$- Y = \mathbb{R}, \quad d_Y = d_u$$

$$- \text{sup}: B(z, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (\text{for any } g \in B(z, \mathbb{R}))$$

$\text{sup}(g)$ exists as a real number hence sup is well defined as a function from $B(z, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The evaluation of sup at $g \in B(z, \mathbb{R})$ is equivalent to the Maximization of g .

— Is sup (possibly restricted to some subset of $B(z, \mathbb{R})$ d_u/d_{sup} -continuous)? (Using the definition above

this is equivalent to asking whether $\forall f_n, f \in B(z, \mathbb{R})$ such that $d_{\text{sup}}(f_n, f) \rightarrow 0$, $\text{sup} f_n \rightarrow \text{sup} f$ w.r.t. d_u , i.e. $|\text{sup} f_n - \text{sup} f| \rightarrow 0$.)

If this is true then we can approximate the issue of Maximization of f by the maximization of f_n .

— Dually we can work with inf (Exercise!!!)

— Let $g \in \mathcal{B}(Z, \mathbb{R})$. It is possible that even though $\sup(g)$ is well-defined, that $\max_{x \in Z} g$ (i.e. $\arg \max_{x \in Z} g(x)$) does not exist due to that $\arg \max_{x \in Z} g(x)$ is empty.

However it is possible to prove that: if $p > 0$, $\exists x_{g,p} \in Z$:

$$\underline{g(x_{g,p})} \geq \sup_{x \in Z} g(x) - p = \sup_{x \in Z} (g(x) - p)$$

can be perceived as an optimization error.
 $x_{g,p}$ is our approximate maximizer

We denote the set of p -approximate maximizers of g with $p\text{-argmax}_{x \in Z} g(x)$.

* Obviously if we let $p=0$ then $p\text{-argmax}_{x \in Z} g(x) = \arg \max_{x \in Z} g(x)$

when it exists. Moreover if we let Z be a metric space that is also totally bounded and complete then it is

possible to prove that $\exists g \in \mathcal{B}(Z, \mathbb{R})$ such that $p\text{-argmax}_{x \in Z} g$ exist even for $p=0$.

\leftarrow next lecture

— We keep the fact that when $p > 0$, $p\text{-argmax}_{x \in Z} g(x) \neq \emptyset$

$\forall g \in \mathcal{B}(Z, \mathbb{R})$.

Theorem. Given the above the $\text{sup}: \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ function is (d_u/d_{sup}) continuous.

Proof. Suppose that continuity is not the case. This is equivalent to the existence of $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$ and $f_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $d_{\text{sup}}(f_n, f) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} |f_n(x) - f(x)| \rightarrow 0$ (as $n \rightarrow \infty$) yet $d_u(\text{sup} f_n, \text{sup} f) = \left| \underbrace{\sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n(x)} - \underbrace{\sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x)} \right| \not\rightarrow 0$.

The latter means that $\exists \delta > 0$:

$\left| \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n(x) - \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x) \right| > \delta$ for an infinite number of n (*)
[finit]

Let $p_n > 0$ such that $p_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (eg. $p_n = \frac{1}{n}$) ✓

Since $p_n > 0$ f_n , we have that p_n -argmax f_n and p_n -argmax f are not empty. $x_{f_n, p_n} \in p_n$ -argmax (f_n) , $x_{f, p_n} \in p_n$ -argmax (f) .

Consider $\left| \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n(x) - \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x) \right| = \left| \underbrace{\sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n(x)}_{A_n} - \underbrace{\sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x)}_{B_n} \right|$

We examine $|A_n - B_n|$:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha. A_n \geq B_n \quad |A_n - B_n| &= A_n - B_n = \\ &= \underbrace{\left(\sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n(x) - p_n \right)}_{\equiv} - \underbrace{\left(\sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x) - p_n \right)}_{\equiv} \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\underline{\underline{f_n(x_{f_n, p_n})}} - (\sup f - p_n) =$$

$$f_n(x_{f_n, p_n}) \pm f(x_{f_n, p_n}) - (\sup f - p_n) =$$

$$= (f_n(x_{f_n, p_n}) - f(x_{f_n, p_n})) + \underbrace{(f(x_{f_n, p_n}) - \sup f)}_{\leq 0} + p_n$$

$$\leq (f_n(x_{f_n, p_n}) - f(x_{f_n, p_n})) + p_n$$

$$= |f_n(x_{f_n, p_n}) - f(x_{f_n, p_n}) + p_n|$$

$$\stackrel{\text{tr.}}{\leq} |f_n(x_{f_n, p_n}) - f(x_{f_n, p_n})| + p_n$$

$$\leq \sup_{x \in Z} |f_n(x) - f(x)| + p_n = d_{\sup}(f_n, f) + p_n$$

$$b. B_n > A_n \Rightarrow |A_n - B_n| = B_n - A_n$$

then using a similar reasoning (exercise - swap in the previous f for f_n , f_n for f , and x_{f_n, p_n} for x_{f, p_n}) we have that $B_n - A_n \leq d_{\sup}(f_n, f) + p_n$

hence by a,b we have that:

$$|\sup f_n - \sup f| \leq \underline{d_{\sup}(f_n, f) + p_n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

hence $(*) \Rightarrow d_{\sup}(f_n, f) + p_n > \delta$ since if this is

true this implies that $d_{\sup}(f_n, f) + p_n \not\rightarrow 0$

which is impossible since $d_{\text{sup}}(f_n, f) \rightarrow 0$ and $p_n \rightarrow 0$. \square

Remark: A dual result holds for the inf function (Exe!!!)

Remark: The previous imply that uniform convergence implies the approximability of optimization problems.

(it thus pays to study uniform convergence!!!)

What about optimizers?

In order to study the issue of approximation of the (approximate) optimizers (those belong to Z) we need to be able to examine convergence in Z . Hence we now assume that

Z, d_Z is a metric space. We assume the following:

i. $f_n, f \in B(Z, \mathbb{R})$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d_{\text{sup}}(f_n, f) \rightarrow 0$.

ii. There exists a unique $x_f \in Z$ such that

$\{x_f\} = \arg \max_{x \in Z} f(x)$ and further more, x_f

is "distinguishable" which means that, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$

$$\sup_{\substack{x \in \\ d_Z(x, x_f) < \varepsilon}} f(x) < f(x_f) = \sup_{x \in Z} f(x)$$

the distinguishability assumption precludes behaviours like the following:



$$\sup_{(0, +\infty)} f(x) = \sup f$$

to be continued...

