

## lecture 13

### Applications of BFPT

- Bellman Equation
- Picard-Lindelöf Theorem

# Remember: [Blackwell's Lemma]

- $d = \text{dscap}$
- $X \subseteq B(Z, \mathbb{R})$  contains every constant function.

Furthermore, if  $f \in X, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  then  $f + \alpha \in X$ .  
( $\alpha$  can be perceived as a constant function  $Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \alpha(x) = \alpha \forall x \in Z$ )  
 $(f + \alpha)(x) = f(x) + \alpha(x) = f(x) + \alpha$

(e.g.  $B(Z, \mathbb{R})$  satisfies the above)

- if  $f, g \in X$  then  $f \succeq g \Leftrightarrow f(x) \geq g(x) \forall x \in Z$ . (Definition)
- (partial order on  $X$ )

Blackwell Hypotheses:

BL1. if  $f \succeq g \Rightarrow \phi(f) \succeq \phi(g)$  (Monotonicity of  $\phi$ )  
 $[\phi(f), \phi(g): Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \in X]$   
 $[(\phi(f))_x \geq (\phi(g))_x, \forall x \in Z]$

BL2.  $\exists \delta \in (0, 1): \forall f \in X, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$   
 $\phi(f) + \delta \alpha \succeq \phi(f + \alpha)$   
discounting factor?  
 $Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$        $Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$   
constant function  
 Hence  $\phi(f) + \delta \alpha \in X$

Blackwell's Lemma: Under BL1 and BL2  $\phi$  is a  $\text{dscap}$ -contraction.

## Further Structure: [Remember i, ii, iii]

- i. We will assume that  $Z$  is endowed with the metric  $d_Z$ , w.r.t. which it is totally bounded and complete ( $Z$  is then termed compact w.r.t. the topology generated by  $d_Z$ ).
- ii. It is possible to prove that if  $Z, d_Z$  is compact then  $C(Z, \mathbb{R}) := \{f: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f \text{ } d_Z\text{-continuous}\}$  is a closed subset of  $B(Z, \mathbb{R})$  w.r.t.  $d_{\text{sup}}$ . Since  $B(Z, \mathbb{R}), d_{\text{sup}}$  is complete, this implies that  $(C(Z, \mathbb{R}), d_{\text{sup}})$  is a complete, metric subspace.
- iii. If  $Z, d_Z$  is compact and  $f \in C(Z, \mathbb{R})$  then  $\arg \max_{x \in Z} f(x) \neq \emptyset$ .

## Application: Bellman Equation

$Z, d_Z$  is compact.  $w: Z \times Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is jointly continuous,  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ .

## Definition: [Bellman Equation]

$$(*) \quad f(x) = \sup_{y \in Z} [w(x, y) + \delta f(y)], \quad \forall x \in Z, f \in C(Z, \mathbb{R}).$$

Lemma. Bellman equation has a unique solution inside  $C(Z, \mathbb{R})$ .

Remarks:  $\omega(x,y) + \delta f(y)$  is jointly continuous due to the continuity of  $\omega, f$ . For any  $x$ ,  $\omega(x,y) + \delta f(y)$  is then continuous w.r.t.  $y$ .  $y \in Z$ , and  $Z$  is compact hence due to iii

$\arg \max_{y \in Z} [\omega(x,y) + \delta f(y)] \neq \emptyset \quad \forall x \in X$  and thereby not only the

Supremum in the equation exists and  $\sup_{y \in Z} [\omega(x,y) + \delta f(y)] = \max_{y \in Z} [\omega(x,y) + \delta f(y)] \quad \forall x \in Z$ . Since sup in this context is

Continuous (Remember our first application!)  $\max_{y \in Z} [\omega(x,y) + \delta f(y)]$  is a continuous function of  $x$ , i.e.  $\max_{y \in Z} [\omega(x,y) + \delta f(y)] \in C(Z, \mathbb{R})$ .  $\square$

Proof of Lemma.

$X = C(Z, \mathbb{R})$  and  $d = d_{\text{sup}}$ . (this makes sense due to ii).

Consider  $\phi$  defined on  $C(Z, \mathbb{R})$  as:

$$(\phi(f))(x) := \max_{y \in Z} [\omega(x,y) + \delta f(y)], \quad f \in C(Z, \mathbb{R}), \quad x \in Z.$$

Due to the previous remarks  $\phi$  is well-defined as a function  $C(Z, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(Z, \mathbb{R})$  (i.e. it is a self map on  $C(Z, \mathbb{R})$ ).

Furthermore  $(x) \Leftrightarrow f(x) = \max_{y \in Z} [\omega(x,y) + \delta f(y)] \quad \forall x \in Z$   
 $\Leftrightarrow f(x) = (\phi(f))(x) \quad \forall x \in Z$

$$\in) f = \Phi(f)$$

Hence  $f$  satisfies Bellman Equation iff it is a fixed point of  $\Phi$ .

Hence in order to prove the Lemma it suffices to prove that  $\Phi$  has a unique fixed point. We will use the BFP.

a. we have that  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}), d_{\text{sup}}$  is complete due to ii above.

b. we will use Blackwell's Lemma in order to show that  $\Phi$  is a  $d_{\text{sup}}$ -contraction:

I. constant functions  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are obviously continuous and if  $f$  continuous and  $\alpha$  constant then  $f + \alpha$  continuous  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

II. BLL: Let  $f, g \in (C(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}))$  and  $f \succeq g \Leftrightarrow$

$$f(y) \geq g(y) \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow \delta f(y) \geq \delta g(y) \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$\delta > 0$

$$\Rightarrow w(x, y) + \delta f(y) \geq w(x, y) + \delta g(y) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} [w(x, y) + \delta f(y)] \geq \sup_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} [w(x, y) + \delta g(y)] \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Monotonicity of sup

$$\Rightarrow (\Phi(f))(x) \geq (\Phi(g))(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow \Phi(f) \succeq \Phi(g).$$

Since  $f, g$  are arbitrary,  $\Phi$  is monotone and BLR holds.

III. **BLR**. For the  $\delta$  that appears in the equation,

if  $f \in (C(Z, \mathbb{R}), \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$(\Phi(f+\alpha))(x) = \sup_{y \in Z} [w(x, y) + \delta(f(y) + \alpha)] \quad \forall x \in Z$$

$$= \sup_{y \in Z} [w(x, y) + \delta f(y) + \delta \alpha] \quad \forall x \in Z$$

$$= \sup_{y \in Z} [w(x, y) + \delta f(y)] + \delta \alpha \quad \forall x \in Z$$

$\delta \alpha$  is independent of  $y$

$$= (\Phi(f))(x) + \delta \alpha$$

$\forall x \in Z$  [holds as equality]

Hence we have shown that:  $(\Phi(f+\alpha))(x) = (\Phi(f))(x) + \delta \alpha \quad \forall x \in Z$

$$\Leftrightarrow \Phi(f+\alpha) = \Phi(f) + \delta \alpha$$

Hence BLR holds as equality.

Hence Blackwell's lemma applies and thereby  $\Phi$  is a sup contraction.

Hence BFPT applies and thereby  $\Phi$  has a unique fixed point, and thereby Bellman equation has a unique solution.  $\square$

## Application: Picard - Lindelöf Theorem

-  $Z = [\alpha, \beta]$ ,  $\alpha < \beta$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $Z$  is compact w.r.t.  $da$ .

-  $H: [\alpha, \beta] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is jointly continuous,  $\exists \delta > 0$ :

$\forall x \in [\alpha, \beta]$ ,  $\forall y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  we have:

$$|H(x, y_1) - H(x, y_2)| \leq \delta |y_1 - y_2|$$

( $H(x, y)$  is Lipschitz continuous w.r.t.  $y$ ,  $\forall x \in X$  and the Lipschitz coefficient  $\delta$ , does not depend on  $x$ .)

-  $x_0 \in [\alpha, \beta]$ ,  $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Consider the following Boundary Value Problem (BVP):

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} f'(x) = H(x, \underline{f(x)}) , \quad \forall x \in [\alpha, \beta] \\ f(x_0) = y_0 \end{cases}$$

Picard - Lindelöf Theorem. There exists a unique

$f \in (C([\alpha, \beta], \mathbb{R}))$  that solves  $(*)$ .

# Applications of BFT: Picard-Lindelöf Theorem

Reminders: -  $Z = [\alpha, \beta]$   $dz = du$ , compactness

-  $H: Z \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , jointly continuous,  $\exists \delta > 0$ :

$\forall x \in Z, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|H(x, y_1) - H(x, y_2)| \leq \delta |y_1 - y_2| \quad \checkmark$$

[Lipschitz continuity of  $H(x, y)$  w.r.t.  $y$  uniformly in  $x$ .]

-  $x_0 \in Z, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$

\* BVP: 
$$\begin{cases} f'(x) = H(x, f(x)), & \forall x \in [\alpha, \beta] \\ f(x_0) = y_0 \end{cases} \quad \checkmark$$

**P-L Theorem:** BVP has a unique solution in  $([\alpha, \beta], \mathbb{R})$

Proof: (We will use our BFT methodology. At first it would be helpful if we obtained an equivalent formulation of BVP that includes only  $f$  instead of  $f'$  - this way we could hope to identify some of the fixed points of which match the solutions of BVP.)



We thus integrate (\*). Then:

$$(*) \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \int_{x_0}^x f'(z) dz = \int_{x_0}^x H(z, f(z)) dz & \forall x \in [a, b] \\ f(x_0) = y_0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} f(x) - f(x_0) = \int_{x_0}^x H(z, f(z)) dz & \forall x \in [a, b] \\ f(x_0) = y_0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} f(x) = f(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^x H(z, f(z)) dz & \forall x \in [a, b] \\ f(x_0) = y_0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x H(z, f(z)) dz \quad \forall x \in [a, b] \quad (**)$$

Remark: the integral equation (\*\*) is equivalent to the BVP (BVP and (\*\*) have the same solutions). It suffices to prove that (\*\*) has a unique solution in  $C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ . (\*\*) contains  $f$  and not  $f'$  in both sides.

Remark: Consider  $y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x H(z, f(z)) dz$ . Due to the continuity of  $H$  and

$f$ ,  $\int_{x_0}^x H(z, f(z)) dz \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall x \in [a, b]$ ,  $f \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ . Hence

$y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x H(z, f(z)) dz$  is a well-defined function  $[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$\forall f \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\forall x_n, x \in [a, b]$ ,  $x_n \rightarrow x$ ,  $\int_{x_0}^{x_n} H(z, f(z)) dz \rightarrow \int_{x_0}^x H(z, f(z)) dz$ ,

Hence  $y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x H(z, f(z)) dz \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ .

Define  $\Phi$  on  $C([a,b], \mathbb{R})$  as follows:

$$\text{if } f \in C([a,b], \mathbb{R}), \quad (\Phi(f))(x) := y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x H(z, f(z)) dz, \quad \forall x \in [a,b].$$

Due to the previous remark  $\Phi(f)$  is a well-defined function  $[a,b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is also continuous. Hence  $\Phi$  is a self map on  $C([a,b], \mathbb{R})$  (i.e.  $\Phi: C([a,b], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C([a,b], \mathbb{R})$ ).

Furthermore  $f$  is a fixed point of  $\Phi \Leftrightarrow$

$$f = \Phi(f) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$f(x) = (\Phi(f))(x) \quad \forall x \in [a,b] \Leftrightarrow$$

$$f(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x H(z, f(z)) dz, \quad \forall x \in [a,b] \Leftrightarrow$$

$f$  is a solution of (\*\*).

Hence in order to prove P-L Theorem it suffices to prove that  $\Phi$  has a unique fixed point. We will use BFPT:

We have that:

$$- X = C([a,b], \mathbb{R}) \subseteq B([a,b], \mathbb{R})$$

$[a,b]$  is Compact

- We know from previous remarks (ii) that

$C([a,b], \mathbb{R})$ ,  $d_{\text{sup}}$  is complete. It is possible to show (exercise!!!) that  $\Phi$  is a  $d_{\text{sup}}$ -contraction under further restrictions on  $x_0, \delta, \alpha, b$  which

do not appear in the P-L Theorem. Such restrictions would constitute a "local" version of the theorem.

We will work with  $d_{\text{sup}}^*$  defined as:  $f, g \in (C[a, b], \mathbb{R})$

$$d_{\text{sup}}^*(f, g) = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} \left( e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} |f(x) - g(x)| \right)$$

$\swarrow$  depends on BVP  
 $\searrow$   $\forall x \in [a, b]$

$d_{\text{sup}}^*$  is a "modification" of  $d_{\text{sup}}$  in a way that "reflects" properties of the BVP.

Exercise: Show that  $d_{\text{sup}}^*$  is a well-defined metric.

We thus endow  $(C[a, b], \mathbb{R})$  with  $d_{\text{sup}}^*$ .

1. **Completeness:**  $e^{-\delta(b-x_0)} |f(x) - g(x)| \leq e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} |f(x) - g(x)|$

$$\leq e^{-\delta(a-x_0)} |f(x) - g(x)| \quad \forall x \in [a, b]$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{x \in [a, b]} e^{-\delta(b-x_0)} |f(x) - g(x)| \leq \sup_{x \in [a, b]} e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} |f(x) - g(x)|$$

$$\leq \sup_{x \in [a, b]} e^{-\delta(a-x_0)} |f(x) - g(x)| \quad (\Leftarrow)$$

$$e^{-\delta(b-x_0)} \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x) - g(x)| \leq d_{\text{sup}}^*(f, g) \leq e^{-\delta(a-x_0)} \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x) - g(x)|$$

$\downarrow d_{\text{sup}}(f, g)$

$$\Leftrightarrow e^{-\delta(b-x_0)} d_{\text{sup}}(f, g) \leq d_{\text{sup}}^*(f, g) \leq e^{-\delta(a-x_0)} d_{\text{sup}}(f, g)$$

hence we have:

$$C_1 d_{\text{sup}} \leq d_{\text{sup}}^* \leq C_2 d_{\text{sup}}$$

$$C_1 = e^{-\delta(b-x_0)}$$

$$C_2 = e^{-\delta(a-x_0)}$$

Then we know  $C([a, b], \mathbb{R}), d_{\text{sup}}$  is complete  $\implies$

due to  
our results  
on completeness

$C([a, b], \mathbb{R}), d_{\text{sup}}^*$  is complete due to the previous inequalities.

(This essentially justifies all our previous work on Metric Comparisons).

2. **Contractivity:** we will use the definition, we cannot use Blackwell's Lemma since we are working with  $d_{\text{sup}}^*$ .

let  $f, g \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ , we have that

$$d_{\text{sup}}^*(\phi(f), \phi(g)) = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} \left[ e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} \left| (\phi(f))(x) - (\phi(g))(x) \right| \right]$$

$$= \sup_{x \in [a, b]} \left[ e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} \left| \left( y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x H(z, f(z)) dz \right) - \left( y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x H(z, g(z)) dz \right) \right| \right]$$

$$= \sup_{x \in [a, b]} \left[ e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} \left| \int_{x_0}^x (H(z, f(z)) - H(z, g(z))) dz \right| \right]$$

$$\leq \sup_{x \in [a, b]} \left[ e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} \int_{x_0}^x |H(z, f(z)) - H(z, g(z))| dz \right]$$

Lipschitz property  
+ integral monotonicity

$$\leq \sup_{x \in [a, b]} \left[ e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} \int_{x_0}^x \delta |f(z) - g(z)| dz \right]$$

$$= \sup_{x \in [\alpha, \beta]} \left[ e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} \int_{x_0}^x \delta e^{-\delta(z-x_0)} e^{\delta(z-x_0)} |f(z)-g(z)| dz \right]$$

take sup w.r.t. z

$$= \sup_{x \in [\alpha, \beta]} \left[ e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} \int_{x_0}^x e^{-\delta(z-x_0)} |f(z)-g(z)| \delta e^{\delta(z-x_0)} dz \right]$$

Monotonicity of integral

$$\leq \sup_{x \in [\alpha, \beta]} \left[ e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} \int_{x_0}^x \left( \sup_{z \in [\alpha, \beta]} (e^{-\delta(z-x_0)} |f(z)-g(z)|) \right) \delta e^{\delta(z-x_0)} dz \right]$$

$$= \sup_{x \in [\alpha, \beta]} \left[ e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} \int_{x_0}^x d_{\sup}^*(f, g) \delta e^{\delta(z-x_0)} dz \right]$$

$d_{\sup}^*(f, g) \geq 0$   
and independent of  $z, x$

$$= d_{\sup}^*(f, g) \sup_{x \in [\alpha, \beta]} \left[ e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} \int_{x_0}^x \delta e^{\delta(z-x_0)} dz \right]$$

$(e^{\delta(z-x_0)})' = \delta e^{\delta(z-x_0)}$

$$= d_{\sup}^*(f, g) \sup_{x \in [\alpha, \beta]} \left[ e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} e^{\delta(z-x_0)} \Big|_{x_0}^x \right] =$$

$$= d_{\sup}^*(f, g) \sup_{x \in [\alpha, \beta]} \left[ e^{-\delta(x-x_0)} (e^{\delta(x-x_0)} - 1) \right]$$

$$= d_{\sup}^*(f, g) \sup_{x \in [\alpha, \beta]} (1 - e^{-\delta(x-x_0)}) = (1 - e^{-\delta(b-x_0)}) d_{\sup}^*(f, g)$$

We have shown that:  $\forall f, g \in (L, b], \mathbb{R}$

$$d_{\text{sup}}^*(\phi(f), \phi(g)) \leq (1 - e^{-s(b-x_0)}) d_{\text{sup}}^*(f, g)$$

We have that  $0 < e^{-s(b-x_0)} \leq 1 \Leftrightarrow 0 \leq 1 - e^{-s(b-x_0)} < 1$

Hence the  $d_{\text{sup}}^*$ -Lipschitz coefficient of  $\phi$  is  $< 1$

and  $\phi$  is a  $d_{\text{sup}}^*$ -contraction.

Hence due to the BFPT  $\phi$  has a unique fixed point  $\Leftrightarrow (**)$  has a unique solution  $\Leftrightarrow$  BVP has a unique solution.  $\square$