

Spurious Regression

We have seen that the asymptotic behavior of the OLS estimator in the context of an AR(1) model, changes dramatically when $(y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a random walk process. It is then reasonable to expect that the OLS asymptotics would also be quite different if both the dependent and the independent variables of a regression model, are random walk processes.

Consider two independent random walk processes $(y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(x_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ as a solution of the relevant unit root recursions:

$$y_t = \alpha_{10} y_{t-1} + u_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (u_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad \alpha_{10} = 1, \quad y_0 = 0$$

and

$$x_t = \alpha_{20} x_{t-1} + v_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (v_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad \alpha_{20} = 1, \quad x_0 = 0$$

where $(u_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(v_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ are independent, strictly stationary and ergodic, s.m.d with respect to some filtration, processes say:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} \sim \text{iid } \mathcal{N} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_u^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_v^2 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

Thereby, y_t with all leads and lags, is independent of x_t with all leads and lags.

Now, consider the OLS regression of y_t on x_t :

$$Y = b_0 X + \epsilon$$

$$\text{such that } Y = (y_t)_{t=1}^T, \quad X = (x_t)_{t=1}^T$$

We would expect that the OLSE of b_0 , b_T should tend to 0 as $T \rightarrow \infty$, since (y_t) and (x_t) are uncorrelated with each other by construction.

However, the above regression will have a tendency to "discover" a relationship between y_t and x_t despite the fact that there is none. This is known as the spurious regression phenomenon.

$$\text{The OLS estimator of } b_0 \text{ is denoted by } b_T = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T x_t y_t}{\sum_{t=1}^T x_t^2}$$

For operational reasons, in order to examine the asymptotic behavior of the OLSE, we can consider $b_{T-1} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T-1} x_t y_t}{\sum_{t=1}^{T-1} x_t^2}$, which asymptotically will converge to the same limit as b_T .

Note that,

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T-1} x_t y_t \stackrel{t^*=t+1}{=} \sum_{t^*=2}^T x_{t^*-1} y_{t^*-1} \stackrel{\substack{x_0=0 \\ y_0=0}}{=} \sum_{t^*=1}^T x_{t^*-1} y_{t^*-1}$$

and

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T-1} x_t^2 \stackrel{t^*=t+1}{=} \sum_{t^*=2}^T x_{t^*-1}^2 \stackrel{x_0=0}{=} \sum_{t^*=1}^T x_{t^*-1}^2$$

Given the process $(u_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $T \geq 1$, $r \in [0, 1]$ we can define the partial sum process of $(u_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ over $[0, 1]$, $W_{v_T}(\cdot)$ where $W_{v_T}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor rT \rfloor} u_i$.

For $1 \leq t \leq T$ and $t-1 \leq rT < t$:

$$W_{v_T}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor rT \rfloor} u_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} u_i = W_{v_T}\left(\frac{t-1}{T}\right)$$

But when $r = \frac{t}{T}$:

$$W_{v_T}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor rT \rfloor} u_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{i=1}^t u_i = W_{v_T}\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)$$

Hence, $\forall \omega \in \Omega$, $W_{v_T}(\cdot, \omega)$ is right continuous with finite left limit.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 W_{v_T}^2(r) dr &= \sum_{t=1}^T \int_{\frac{t-1}{T}}^{\frac{t}{T}} W_{v_T}^2(r) dr = \sum_{t=1}^T W_{v_T}^2\left(\frac{t-1}{T}\right) \int_{\frac{t-1}{T}}^{\frac{t}{T}} dr = \sum_{t=1}^T W_{v_T}^2\left(\frac{t-1}{T}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{T} \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T W_{v_T}^2\left(\frac{t-1}{T}\right) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{t-1}{T} T \rfloor} u_i \right)^2 = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{T} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} u_i \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} u_i \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, given the process $(v_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $T \geq 1$, $r \in [0, 1]$, we can define the partial sum process of $(v_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ over $[0, 1]$, $W_{x_T}(\cdot)$ where $W_{x_T}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor rT \rfloor} v_i$.

For $1 \leq t \leq T$ and $t-1 \leq rT < t$, $W_{x_T}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} v_i = W_{x_T}\left(\frac{t-1}{T}\right)$

But when $r = \frac{t}{T}$, $W_{x_T}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{i=1}^t v_i = W_{x_T}\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)$.

Hence, $\forall \omega \in \Omega$, $W_{x_T}(\cdot, \omega)$ is right continuous with finite left limit. Then,

$$\int_0^1 W_{x_T}^2(r) dr = \sum_{t=1}^T \int_{\frac{t-1}{T}}^{\frac{t}{T}} W_{x_T}^2(r) dr = \sum_{t=1}^T W_{x_T}^2\left(\frac{t-1}{T}\right) \int_{\frac{t-1}{T}}^{\frac{t}{T}} dr = \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} v_i \right)^2$$

Also,

$$\int_0^1 W_{x_T}(r) W_{v_T}(r) dr = \sum_{t=1}^T \int_{\frac{t-1}{T}}^{\frac{t}{T}} W_{x_T}(r) W_{v_T}(r) dr = \sum_{t=1}^T W_{x_T}\left(\frac{t-1}{T}\right) W_{v_T}\left(\frac{t-1}{T}\right) \int_{\frac{t-1}{T}}^{\frac{t}{T}} dr =$$

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{t-1}{T} T \rfloor} v_i \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{t-1}{T} T \rfloor} u_i \right) = \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} v_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} u_i \right)$$

So, if we consider the vector process $(z_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$, $z_t = \begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix}$ where $(u_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(v_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are independent, we can construct,

$$W_T(r) = \begin{pmatrix} W_{y_T}(r) \\ W_{x_T}(r) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor Tr \rfloor} u_i \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor Tr \rfloor} v_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} u_i \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} v_i \end{pmatrix} = W_T\left(\frac{t-1}{T}\right), \forall r \in \left[\frac{t-1}{T}, \frac{t}{T}\right]$$

and $W_T(r) = W\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)$, for $r = \frac{t}{T}$.

Given the way we defined the partial sum processes and thereby the vector of partial sum processes, from the integral properties,

$$\int_0^1 W_T(r) W_T(r)' dr = \int_0^1 \begin{pmatrix} W_{y_T}(r) \\ W_{x_T}(r) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W_{y_T}(r) & W_{x_T}(r) \end{pmatrix} dr =$$

$$\int_0^1 \begin{bmatrix} W_{y_T}^2(r) & W_{y_T}(r) W_{x_T}(r) \\ W_{x_T}(r) W_{y_T}(r) & W_{x_T}^2(r) \end{bmatrix} dr = \begin{bmatrix} \int_0^1 W_{y_T}^2(r) dr & \int_0^1 W_{y_T}(r) W_{x_T}(r) dr \\ \int_0^1 W_{x_T}(r) W_{y_T}(r) dr & \int_0^1 W_{x_T}^2(r) dr \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$\frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T \begin{bmatrix} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} u_i\right)^2 & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} u_i\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} v_i\right) \\ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} v_i\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} u_i\right) & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} v_i\right)^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since $(z_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a strictly stationary and ergodic, s.m.d. (w.r.t. some filtration) vector process, according to the multivariate Functional Central Limit Th^m,

$$\mathcal{J}^{-1/2} W_T(r) = \mathcal{J}^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} W_{y_T}(r) \\ W_{x_T}(r) \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{d} \begin{pmatrix} W_y(r) \\ W_x(r) \end{pmatrix} = W(r) \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty$$

where $\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_u^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_v^2 \end{pmatrix}$.

Thereby, using the (Functional) Continuous Mapping Th^m:

$$\int_0^1 W_T(r) W_T(r)' dr \xrightarrow{d} \int_0^1 \mathcal{J}^{1/2} W(r) W(r)' \mathcal{J}^{1/2} dr =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_u^2 \int_0^1 W_y^2(r) dr & \sigma_u \sigma_v \int_0^1 W_y(r) W_x(r) dr \\ \sigma_u \sigma_v \int_0^1 W_y(r) W_x(r) dr & \sigma_v^2 \int_0^1 W_x^2(r) dr \end{bmatrix} \quad (*)$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

In order to examine the asymptotic behavior of the OLS, we are interested in the joint asymptotic distribution of $\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T-1} x_t y_t\right)$ and $\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T-1} x_t^2\right)$.

$$\triangleright \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} x_t y_t = \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t^*=1}^T x_{t^*-1} y_{t^*-1} = \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t^*=1}^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t^*-1} v_i\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t^*-1} u_i\right)$$

$$= \int_0^1 W_x(r) W_y(r) dr$$

$$\triangleright \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} x_t^2 = \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t^*=1}^T x_{t^*-1}^2 = \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t^*=1}^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t^*-1} v_i\right)^2 = \int_0^1 W_x^2(r) dr$$

Therefore, given (*) and the CMT:

$$b_{T-1} = \frac{\frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} x_t y_t}{\frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} x_t^2} \xrightarrow{d} \frac{\sigma_u \sigma_v \int_0^1 W_x(r) W_y(r) dr}{\sigma_v^2 \int_0^1 W_x^2(r) dr} \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty$$

Hence, the OLS does not converge in probability to 0. It converges in distribution to a non-normal random variable.

Cointegration

As we saw, regressing two unit root processes can produce nonsense. So, does it make sense to regress two non-stationary processes, which in many time series are considered together and they form equilibrium relationships?

Yes, if there exists a linear combination of them which is stationary.

So, if we set $w_t = \begin{pmatrix} y_t \\ x_t \end{pmatrix}$ which is a non-stationary vector process and define $b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -b_2 \end{pmatrix}$, we can consider the linear combination of y_t and x_t ,

$b'w_t = (1 - b_2) \begin{pmatrix} y_t \\ x_t \end{pmatrix} = y_t - b_2 x_t = \tilde{y}_t$. If there exists a vector b such that \tilde{y}_t is stationary, then we say that x_t and y_t are cointegrated.

- ▶ The intuition behind cointegration is that $\hat{\beta}_T$ forms somehow a long-run equilibrium. It cannot deviate too far from the equilibrium otherwise economic forces will operate to restore the equilibrium. So it implies a set of dynamic long-run equilibrium between the variables.
- ▶ Estimates of the cointegrating relationships are super consistent i.e. they converge at rate T rather than \sqrt{T} .