

Very Introductory Elements of Unit Root Econometrics

Consider the AR(1) recursion

$y_t = b_0 y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$ where $(\varepsilon_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a white noise process, and $b_0 = 1$.

Hence the UDC condition is not satisfied and it is possible to prove that there doesn't exist a linear process causal solution with absolutely summable coefficients on \mathbb{Z} . We thus study solutions on \mathbb{N} . Given the initial condition $y_0 = 0$, we consider causal solutions on \mathbb{N} of

$$(*) \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} y_0 = 0, \\ y_t = b_0 y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \quad t \geq 1, \quad b_0 = 1 \end{array} \right\}$$

for which it is easy to see that we obtain the unique causal linear solution over \mathbb{N} which is $(y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$, with $y_t = \begin{cases} 0, & t=0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^t \varepsilon_i, & t>0 \end{cases}$

for $(\varepsilon_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ the restriction of the aforementioned white noise process on \mathbb{N} . Obviously $E(y_t) = 0$, $\text{Var}(y_t) = \sigma^2 t$, $t \geq 0$, where $0 < \sigma^2 := E(\varepsilon_0^2) < +\infty$. Hence $(y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ cannot be stationary (neither strictly, nor weakly-whiff!), while if $(\varepsilon_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies conditions for the validity of some CLT, then y_t/\sqrt{t} converges in distribution to some normal random variable. The framework of $(*)$ is called a unit root framework, while the $(y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ solution is called a unit root process over \mathbb{N} , w.r.t. $(\varepsilon_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$, and under the initial condition $y_0 = 0$. [△]

Given the importance of the random walk processes in several areas of empirical hypothesis (e.g. the random walk model for the log-prices of financial assets), it is important to examine the limit theory of the OLSE under $(*)$, and subsequently use it for the examination of testing procedures for hypotheses structures

$$H_0: b_0 = 1$$

$$H_1: b_0 \in (-1, 1), \text{ i.e. unit root tests.}$$

△ When $(\varepsilon_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ is iid then $(y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called random walk process.

Standard Wiener Process or Brownian Motion

We need some preparatory work. We first (without mathematical rigour) define a continuous time [on $[0,1]$] Gaussian process, the distribution of which, which is a Gaussian measure on a function space, will be the normal distribution counterpart, w.r.t. the limiting behavior of appropriate partial sum processes.

Definition. Given a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, a standard Wiener process (or Brownian Motion) $W := (W(t))_{t \in [0,1]}$ such that the following properties hold:

1. $W(0) = 0$, \mathbb{P} a.s.,
2. $\forall t, s \in [0,1]$, $h \in \mathbb{R}$: $t+h, s+h \in [0,1]$, $W(t+h) - W(s+h)$ is independent of $W(t) - W(s)$, and $W(t+h) - W(s+h)$ has the same distribution with $W(t) - W(s)$, i.e. the process has independent and stationary increments,
3. $\forall 0 < t < s < 1$, $W(t) - W(s) \sim N(0, |t-s|)$, i.e. the process has normally distributed increments.

Using among others the Daniell-Kolmogorov theorem, we can (in a variety of ways) prove that W exists. Eg. we can use the Karhunen-Loève Theorem to establish the existence of the process by representing W as a stochastic series w.r.t. sequences of normal random variables and appropriately orthogonal polynomials. It is possible to prove the following results.

Proposition. In the framework of the definition:

1. W exists,
2. $\forall t > 0$, $W(t) \sim N(0, t)$,
- * 3. $W(\cdot, \omega): [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous for \mathbb{P} almost all $\omega \in \Omega$,
- ** 4. $W(\cdot, \omega): [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue almost nowhere differentiable \mathbb{P} a.s. \square

* 3 essentially refers to versions of W something that lies outside our scope.

** Remember that $W(\cdot, \omega)$ is a function $[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for any $\omega \in \Omega$, while $W(t, \cdot)$ is a random variable $\mathcal{F}_t([0, t])$. The dependence on Ω is suppressed for notational simplicity.

Given 3, the integral $\int_0^t f(W_r) dr$, for $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous is a well defined random variable when f is continuous, since for \mathbb{P} almost all $\omega \in \Omega$, $\int_0^t f(W(r, \omega)) dr$ is a well defined Riemann integral.

E.g. when $f(x) = x^2$, $\int_0^1 W_r^2 dr$ is a well defined P.a.s. positive random variable [A].

An FCLT and the CMT for Appropriately Continuous Functionals

Given the $(\varepsilon_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ process as above, and for any $T \in \mathbb{N}^*$, consider the partial sum process (defined on $[0, T]$)

$$W_T(r) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor rT \rfloor} \varepsilon_i \text{ where}$$

$\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the integer part of $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Notice that $W_T(0) = 0$ by convention, while $W_T(1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{i=1}^T \varepsilon_i$. Furthermore, when $t \leq T$, $\frac{t-1}{T} \leq r < \frac{t}{T}$

then $W_T(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor rT \rfloor} \varepsilon_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \varepsilon_i = W_T(\frac{t-1}{T})$ but when $r = \frac{t}{T}$

then $W_T(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor rT \rfloor} \varepsilon_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{i=1}^t \varepsilon_i = W_T(\frac{t}{T})$. Hence, $\forall \omega \in \Omega$

$W_T(\cdot, \omega)$ is right continuous with finite left limit. E.g. from the integral properties, $\int_0^1 W_T^2(r) dr = \sum_{t=1}^T \int_{\frac{t-1}{T}}^{\frac{t}{T}} W_T^2(r) dr = \sum_{t=1}^T W_T^2(\frac{t-1}{T}) \int_{\frac{t-1}{T}}^{\frac{t}{T}} dr$

$$= \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \varepsilon_i \right)^2 \quad (B). \quad (B) \text{ works under the convention } \sum_{i=1}^0 := 0$$

We are interested in the asymptotic behavior of W_T as $T \rightarrow \infty$. The following functional CLT (FCLT) specifies that the latter process (properly standardized) converges weakly to W , as processes with values in an appropriate function space. The proof goes by verifying \mathbb{P} -convergence via a multivariate extension of the CLT for stationary and ergodic s.u.d. processes, and by the verification of a property for the sequence $(W_T)_{T \geq 1}$ called asymptotic tightness.

Theorem [FCLT - Stationary and ergodic s.u.d.]. Suppose that $(\varepsilon_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a strictly stationary and ergodic s.u.d. with respect to some filtration. Then if $0 < \sigma^2 := E(\varepsilon_0^2)$, as $T \rightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} W_T \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} W. \square$$

Comments. The aforementioned \mathbb{P} -convergence implies for example that $\forall r \in [0, 1]$, $\frac{1}{\sigma} W_T(r) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} W(r) \sim N(0, r)$. Hence due to the

CLT, $\frac{1}{\sigma^2} W_T^2(1) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} W(1)^2 \sim \chi_1^2$. (e.g. $\frac{1}{\sigma} W_T(1) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} W(1) \sim N(0, 1)$, which is essentially - why? - the relevant - which? - CLT).

Theorem (Functional) CLT. If G is a continuous functional defined on the aforementioned function space then as $T \rightarrow \infty$

$$G\left(\frac{1}{\sigma} W_T\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} G(W). \square$$

Example. $G(f) := \sigma f$. Then $W_T \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \sigma W$. [C]

Example. $G(f) := \sigma^2 f^2$. Then $W_T^2 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \sigma^2 W^2$. [D]

Example. $G(f) := \int_0^1 \sigma^2 f(r) dr$. Then $\int_0^1 W_T^2(r) dr \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \sigma^2 \int_0^1 W^2(r) dr$. [E]

Proposition [JC]
$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^T \varepsilon_i^2 \\ W_T^2(1) \\ \int_0^1 W_T^2(r) dr \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{d} \sigma^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ W(1) \\ \int_0^1 W(r) dr \end{pmatrix}, \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty. \square$$

Proof. Birkhoff's LLN implies (why?) $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^T \varepsilon_i^2 \rightarrow \sigma^2$ P.a.s. as

$T \rightarrow \infty$. The latter limit is non stochastic. This along with the FCLT, [D], [E], the fact that ε_i convergence is implied by the FCLT, imply the result. \square

The OLSE and a Dickey-Fuller-Type Unit Root Test.

In the context of the relevant linear statistical model consider the OLSE,
$$\beta_T = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T y_t y_{t-1}}{\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2} = 1 + \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t y_{t-1}}{\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2}.$$

Proposition [OLSE]. Suppose that the assumptions of the FCLT are satisfied and $(y_t)_{t=1, \dots, T}$ is part of the causal linear solution of (*). Then

$$T(\beta_T - 1) \xrightarrow{d} \frac{W(1) - 1}{\int_0^1 W(r) dr}. \square$$

Proof.
$$T(\beta_T - 1) = \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t y_{t-1} \right) / \left(\frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2 \right).$$

i. For the denominator we have that $\frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2 =$

$$\frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2 = \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \varepsilon_i \right)^2 \stackrel{(B)}{=} \int_0^1 W_T^2(r) dr.$$

ii. For the numerator, $\left(\sum_{t=1}^T y_t^2\right) = \sum_{t=1}^T (y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t)^2 =$
 $\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2 + 2 \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t y_{t-1} + \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t^2$, hence $\sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t y_{t-1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{t=1}^T y_t^2 - \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2 - \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t^2 \right) = \frac{1}{2} (y_T^2 - y_0^2 - \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t^2) = \frac{1}{2} y_T^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t^2$. Hence
 $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t y_{t-1} = \frac{1}{2} y_T^2 / T - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t^2 = \frac{1}{2} W_T^2(1) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t^2$.

Considering the expressions in i, ii, the result follows from Proposition [JC], [A] and the CLT (explain!). \square

Comments. 1. $b_T \rightarrow b_0$ in probability, with rate T under the current assumption framework. Thus it is called **superconsistent**.

2. The distribution of $\frac{1}{2} (W_{\lambda}^2 - 1) / \int_0^1 w_{\lambda}^2 dr$ is well defined, independent of σ^2 , and has non-zero mean. Hence $T(b_T - 1)$ is asymptotically biased.

Consider the hypothesis structure $\mathcal{H}_0: b_{\lambda_0} = 1$

$\mathcal{H}_1: b_{\lambda_0} \in (-1, 1)$

For the test statistic $d_{\lambda_T}^2: T^2 (b_T - 1)^2$ have that due to the OLS E proposition, that under the \mathcal{H}_0 , $d_{\lambda_T}^2 \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} \frac{1}{4} (W_{\lambda}^2 - 1)^2 / \left(\int_0^1 W_{\lambda}^2 dr \right)^2$.

The latter has a well defined distribution. For $q_{d_{\lambda_T}}(1-\alpha) := \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R}, P\left(\frac{1}{4} (W_{\lambda}^2 - 1)^2 / \int_0^1 W_{\lambda}^2 dr \leq x\right) \geq 1-\alpha\}$

(we can prove that $q_{d_{\lambda_T}}(1-\alpha) > 0$, $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1)$ and it is

approximable by Monte Carlo Simulations). Consider the following unit root testing procedure for significance level, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$:

Reject at α , \mathcal{H}_0 , iff $d_{\lambda_T}^2 > q_{d_{\lambda_T}}(1-\alpha)$.

Given the previous it is easy to show that the procedure is asymptotically exact (Show it!). Consider the following additional result.

Proposition. Suppose that $(\varepsilon_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the relevant part of $(\varepsilon_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ which is an ARCH(1) process, that satisfies $E(\varepsilon_t^3) = 0$, $E(\varepsilon_t^4) = \mu < +\infty$, $\alpha < \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}}$. Then the testing procedure defined above

is consistent.

Proof. Under the assumption framework above, and if H_0 is true then (explain) $\sqrt{T}(b_T - b_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, v(\omega, \alpha, \mu, b_0))$.
Hence, $T(b_T - 1) = T(b_T - b_0) + T(b_0 - 1) \xrightarrow{d} -\infty$ (why?).
Then $T^2(b_T - 1)^2 \xrightarrow{d} +\infty$, and $\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} P(T^2(b_T - 1)^2 > q_{df}^*(1-\alpha))$
 $= P(q_{df}^*(1-\alpha) < +\infty) = 1. \square$

A plethora of unit root tests with differing local power properties have been examined in the relevant literature.

[The notes are in a state of perpetual correction. They do not substitute the lectures. Please report any typos to stelios@aueb.gr or the course's e-class.]

For an excellent introductory treatment of such-like notions see *inter-alia*:

White, H. (2014). Asymptotic theory for econometricians. Academic press.