

Some further comments on the EGARCH(1,1) Process

[With Corrections - 30/07/18]

Remember that the process adheres to the general form of conditional heteroskedasticity with $(h_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ satisfying the exponential recursion

$$(*) \quad h_t = \exp[\omega + \alpha(|z_{t-1}| - \mathbb{E}|z_{t-1}|) + \gamma z_{t-1} + b \ln h_{t-1}], \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}$$

with $\omega, \alpha, \gamma, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

i. **Positivity:** the presence of the exponential ensures positivity without restrictions on the parameters.

ii. **Stationarity and ergodicity:** Define $(u_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ by $u_t := \alpha(|z_{t-1}| - \mathbb{E}|z_{t-1}|) + \gamma z_{t-1}$. It is an iid process with $\mathbb{E}(u_t) = 0$, $\mathbb{E}(u_t^2) = \alpha^2 (1 - (\mathbb{E}|z_0|)^2) + 2\gamma \mathbb{E}(z_0 |z_0|) + \gamma^2 < \infty$. Notice that when the distribution of z_0 is symmetric around zero then $\mathbb{E}(z_0 |z_0|) = 0$. Given this $(*)$ is equivalent to

$(**)$ $\ln h_t = \omega + b \ln h_{t-1} + u_t$, i.e. an AR(1) recursion with non zero constant for the logarithm of the conditional variance. Hence (why?) if $|b| < 1$ there exists a unique stationary and ergodic solution to $(**)$, given by

$$\ln h_t = \frac{\omega}{1-b} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b^i u_{t-i}, \quad \text{and thereby (why?)}$$

a unique stationary and ergodic solution to $(*)$ given by

$$h_t = \exp\left(\frac{\omega}{1-b} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b^i u_{t-i}\right) = \exp\left(\frac{\omega^*}{1-b} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b^i (\alpha|z_{t-1-i}| + \gamma z_{t-1-i})\right) \quad \text{with } \omega^* = (\omega + \alpha \mathbb{E}|z_0|).$$

Hence, if $|b| < 1$ the EGARCH(1,1) process $(y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is stationary and ergodic (why?).

iii. Measurability. The stationary solution above is obviously adapted to the filtration prescribed by our general definition (why?).

iv. Moments. In what follows suppose for simplicity that $z_0 \sim N(0,1)$ and $\alpha=0$ (the latter restriction can be relaxed at the expense of tedious calculations; more abstract considerations would be involved if the former is also relaxed).

Notice that the standard normality assumption implies that

$$E[\exp(kz_0)] = \exp(k^2/2) \quad (k \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ see the def of } N(0,1))$$

$$E(z_0 \exp(kz_0)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x e^{kx} e^{-x^2/2} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x e^{-1/2(x^2 - 2kx + k^2)} dx e^{k^2/2} =$$

$$= e^{k^2/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x e^{-(x-k)^2/2} dx \quad \begin{array}{l} u=x-k \\ du=dx \end{array}$$

$$= e^{k^2/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (u+k) e^{-u^2/2} du = k e^{k^2/2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$E(z_0^2 \exp(kz_0)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^2 e^{kx} e^{-x^2/2} dx$$

$$= e^{k^2/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^2 e^{-(x-k)^2/2} dx =$$

$$= e^{k^2/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (u+k)^2 e^{-u^2/2} du = (1+k^2) e^{k^2/2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The previous imply (compose it the GARCH(1,1) case) that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{for any } k \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathbb{E}(h_t^k) &= \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\frac{k\omega}{1-b} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{k\delta}{2} b^i z_{t-1-i}\right) \stackrel{\text{indep.}}{=} \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{k\omega}{1-b}\right) \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \exp(k\delta b^i z_{t-1-i}) = \exp\left(\frac{k\omega}{1-b}\right) \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \exp\left(k^2 \delta^2 \frac{b^{2i}}{2}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{k\omega}{1-b} + \frac{k^2 \delta^2}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b^{2i}\right) = \exp\left(\frac{k\omega}{1-b} + \frac{k^2 \delta^2}{2(1-b^2)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence for $k=1$, and in this restricted framework, we obtain

the $\mathbb{E}(h_t) = \exp\left(\frac{\omega}{1-b} + \frac{\delta^2}{2(1-b^2)}\right) < +\infty$ required by our general framework.

v. Dynamic Asymmetry

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(h_t z_{t-1}) &= \mathbb{E}(h_t z_{t-1}) = \mathbb{E} \left[\exp\left(\frac{\omega}{1-b} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\delta}{2} b^i z_{t-1-i}\right) z_{t-1} \right] \\ &\stackrel{\text{indep.}}{=} \exp\left(\frac{\omega}{1-b}\right) \mathbb{E}(z_{t-1} \exp(\delta z_{t-1})) \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[\exp(\delta b^i z_{t-1-i})] \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{\omega}{1-b}\right) \delta \exp\left(\frac{\delta^2}{2}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{\delta^2 b^{2i}}{2}\right) \\ &= \delta \exp\left(\frac{\omega}{1-b} + \frac{\delta^2}{2(1-b^2)}\right) < 0 \quad \text{iff } \delta < 0, \text{ and thereby} \end{aligned}$$

in this respect the model can reproduce the relevant stylized fact (remember that in this restricted framework $\mathbb{E}(z_0^3) = 0$).

Exercise: Derive $\text{Cov}(h_t, z_{t-k})$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

ii. Autocovariance of the squares.

Remember that due to iii-iv, $(y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a stationary ergodic s.w.d. w.r.t. the relevant filtration. What about the autocovariance function of the squares? (given that we do not have an obvious ARMA-type representation). For $k \geq 1$

$$\text{Cov}(y_t^2, y_{t-k}^2) \stackrel{\text{why?}}{=} \mathbb{E}(h_t h_{t-k} z_{t-k}^2) - [\mathbb{E}(h_t)]^2 \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(h_t h_{t-k} z_{t-k}^2) = \mathbb{E} \left[\exp\left(\frac{\omega}{1-b} + \gamma \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b^i z_{t-1-i}\right) \exp\left(\frac{\omega}{1-b} + \gamma \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b^i z_{t-1-k-i}\right) z_{t-k}^2 \right]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{why?}}{=} \exp\left(\frac{2\omega}{1-b}\right) \mathbb{E} \exp\left(\gamma \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} b^i z_{t-1-i}\right) \mathbb{E} \left(z_{t-k}^2 \exp\left(\gamma \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} b^i z_{t-k-i}\right) \right) \times$$

$$\times \mathbb{E} \left[\exp\left(\gamma \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} b^i z_{t-1-i} + \gamma \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b^i z_{t-1-k-i}\right) \right] \stackrel{j=i-k}{=} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp\left(\gamma \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} b^i z_{t-1-i} + \gamma \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b^i z_{t-1-k-i}\right) \right]$$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{2\omega}{1-b}\right) \prod_{i=0}^{k-2} \mathbb{E} \left(\exp\left(\gamma b^i z_{t-1-i}\right) \right) (1 + \gamma^2 b^{2(k-1)}) e^{\frac{\gamma^2 b^{2(k-1)}}{2}} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\exp\left(\gamma (b^j + b^{j+k}) z_{t-1-k-j}\right) \right)$$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{2\omega}{1-b}\right) \exp\left(\frac{\gamma^2}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} b^{2i}\right) (1 + \gamma^2 b^{2(k-1)}) e^{\frac{\gamma^2 b^{2(k-1)}}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{\gamma^2 (1+b)^2}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b^{2j}\right) \quad [X]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{when } k \geq 2}{=} \exp\left(\frac{2\omega}{1-b} + \frac{\gamma^2}{1-b^2}\right) (1 + \gamma^2 b^{2(k-1)}) \exp\left(\frac{\gamma^2 b^k}{1-b^2}\right)$$

and thereby when $k \geq 2$

$$\text{Cov}(y_t^2, y_{t-k}^2) = \exp\left(\frac{2\omega}{1-b} + \frac{\gamma^2}{1-b^2}\right) \left[(1 + \gamma^2 b^{2(k-1)}) e^{\frac{\gamma^2 b^k}{1-b^2}} - 1 \right]$$

and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cov}(y_t^2, y_{t-k}^2) = 0$, hence the squared process

is regular (why is it weakly stationary? - Derive $\text{Cov}(y_t^2, y_t^2)$ ($k=0$)).

Thereby the particular instance of the model is also consistent with the levels-squares autocovariance functions stylized facts.

Further Comments: Analogously to the GARCH case the model can be extended to arbitrary (finite) orders, while the Gaussian QMLE is also a "natural choice" for semi-parametric estimation.

[*] Notice that when $k=1$ due to that $\prod_{i=0}^{k-2} \exp(\gamma b^i z_{t+1-i}) = \prod_{i=0}^{-1} \exp(\gamma b^i z_{t+1-i})$ which is an empty product that by convention equals to 1 (equivalently $\prod_{i=0}^{-1} \exp(\gamma b^i z_{t+1-i}) = \prod_{i=0}^{-1} \exp(\frac{\gamma^2 b^{2i}}{2}) = \exp(\frac{\gamma^2}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{-1} b^{2i})$ which contains an empty sum which by convention equals zero), we have that

$$[*] = \exp\left(\frac{2\omega}{1-b}\right) (1+\gamma^2) \exp\left(\frac{\gamma^2}{2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{\gamma^2}{2} \frac{(1+b)^2}{1-b^2}\right) = (1+\gamma^2) \exp\left(\frac{2\omega}{1-b} + \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \left(1 + \frac{(1+b)^2}{1-b^2}\right)\right) =$$

$$(1+\gamma^2) \exp\left(\frac{2\omega}{1-b} + \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \left[1 + \frac{1+b}{1-b}\right]\right) = (1+\gamma^2) \exp\left(\frac{2\omega}{1-b} + \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \frac{1-b+1+b}{1-b}\right) = (1+\gamma^2) \exp\left(\frac{2\omega}{1-b} + \frac{\gamma^2}{1-b}\right), \text{ hence } (k=1)$$

$$\text{Cov}(y_t^2, y_{t+1}^2) = E(y_t^2 y_{t+1}^2) - E(y_t^2) E(y_{t+1}^2) =$$

$$= (1+\gamma^2) \exp\left(\frac{2\omega}{1-b} + \frac{\gamma^2}{1-b}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{\omega}{1-b} + \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \frac{1}{1-b^2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{\omega}{1-b} + \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \frac{1}{1-b^2}\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{2\omega}{1-b}\right) \left[(1+\gamma^2) \exp\left(\frac{\gamma^2}{1-b}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{\gamma^2}{1-b^2}\right) \right].$$