# **Special Topics on Algorithms Modular Arithmetic, Primality Testing**

# Vangelis Markakis Ioannis Milis and George Zois

- Deals with restricted ranges of integers, e.g.,  $Z_N = \{0, 1, 1\}$ ..., N-1} for some large N
- Reset a counter to zero when an integer reaches a max value  $N > 0$

If 
$$
x= qN + r
$$
,  $0 \le r \le N-1$ ,  $N>0$   
x mod  $N = r$ 

 $x \equiv y \pmod{N} \Leftrightarrow x \mod{N} = y \mod{N}$ x and y are congruent modulo N

#### **Examples:**

•  $1 \equiv (9+4) \mod 12$ 



•  $253 \equiv 13 \pmod{60}$ , since  $253 = 4*60+13$ (253 minutes is 4 hours + 13 min)

**Claim 1:**  $x \equiv y \pmod{N}$  iff N|x-y **Proof :**  $\Rightarrow$ : x=pN+r, y=qN+r  $\Rightarrow$  x-y=(p-q)N  $\Rightarrow$  N | x-y  $\Leftrightarrow: N \mid x-y \Rightarrow x-y = kN \Rightarrow x=y+kN$ 

Let  $r = y \mod N$ , that is,  $y=qN+r$ }

 $\Rightarrow$  x=qN+r+kN  $\Rightarrow$  x=(q+k)N+r  $\Rightarrow$ r= x mod N

### $mod N$  is an equivalence relation

-  $a \equiv a \pmod{N}$  Reflexivity  $-a \equiv b \pmod{N} \Rightarrow b \equiv a \pmod{N}$  Symmetry  $-a \equiv b \pmod{N}$ ,  $b \equiv c \pmod{N} \Rightarrow a \equiv c \pmod{N}$  Transitivity

### Modulo N arithmetic divides Z into N equivalence classes each one of the form  $[a] = \{x \mid x \equiv a \pmod{N}\}\,$ ,  $0 \le a \le N-1$ or [a]= {kN+a | k  $\in$  Z }, since x=kN+a,  $0 \le a \le N-1$

#### **Example:**

There are 5 equivalence classes modulo 5  $Z_5 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  $[0] = \{..., -15, -10, -5, 0, 5, 10, 15, ...\}$  $[1] = \{..., -14, -9, -4, 1, 6, 11, 16, ...\}$  $[2] = \{..., -13, -8, -3, 2, 7, 12, 17, ...\}$  $[3] = \{..., -12, -7, -2, 3, 8, 13, 18, ...\}$  $[4] = \{..., -11, -6, -1, 4, 9, 14, 19, ...\}$ 

All numbers in [a] are congruent mod N (any of them is substitutable by any other)

## **Modular Addition and Multiplication**

### **Substitution Rule**

Let  $x \equiv x' \pmod{N}$  and  $y \equiv y' \pmod{N}$ , then,  $x+y \equiv x'+y' \pmod{N}$  and  $xy \equiv x'y' \pmod{N}$ 

The following properties also hold: i)  $x+(y+z) \equiv (x+y)+z \pmod{N}$  Associativity ii)  $xy \equiv yx \pmod{N}$  Commutativity iii)  $x(y+z) \equiv xy+xz \pmod{N}$  Distributivity

#### Hence:

in performing a sequence of additions and multiplications (mod N) we can reduce intermediate results to their remainders mod N in any stage

**Example:**  $2^{345} \equiv (2^5)^{69} \equiv 32^{69} \equiv 1^{69} \equiv 1 \pmod{31}$ 

### **Common arithmetic:** inverse of  $\alpha \neq 0$ :  $x=1/\alpha$ ,  $\alpha x=1$

**Modular arithmetic:** multiplicative inverse of α, modulo N:

- $x \in Z$  such that  $\alpha x \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$
- We can also write  $x \equiv \alpha^{-1} \pmod{N}$
- does not always exist!

**Claim 2:** For  $1 \le a \le N$ , a has a multiplicative inverse mod N iff  $gcd(a, N) = 1$ 

i)Assume a has a multiplicative inverse mod N. By contradiction, if  $\text{gcd}(a,N) > 1$ , it must hold that  $\text{gcd}(a,N)$  ax mod N, for every x. Thus, it does not hold that  $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ ii)If  $gcd(a,N) = 1$ , then by applying  $ExtEUCLID(a,N)$  ...

**Example:**  $2x \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$  $gcd(2,6) = 2 \Rightarrow 2$  does not have an inverse mod 6

How can we find multiplicative inverses when they exist? If  $gcd(a,N)=1$  then ExtEUCLID returns integers  $x,y$  such that  $ax + Ny = 1 \Rightarrow ax \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ 

**Example:**  $11x \equiv 1 \pmod{25}$ 

ExtEUCLID(11, 25) returns  $x = -34$  (=16 mod 25),  $y = 15$ , gcd(11,  $(25) = 1$ , and thus  $11^*(-34) \equiv 1 \pmod{25}$ 

If  $gcd(a,N)=1$  we say that a, N are relatively primes or coprimes **Hence:**  $\alpha$  has a multiplicative inverse modulo N iff a, N are coprimes.

## **Prime Numbers**

- A number p is prime iff its only divisors are the trivial divisors 1 and p
- $\sharp$  N: N|p,  $2 \le N \le p-1$
- By convention, 1 is not a prime
- $P = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, \ldots \}$
- Prime numbers play a special role in number theory and its applications
- A number that is not prime is called composite

### Goldbach conjecture:

 Any even integer greater than 3 can be written as the sum of two primes

## **Prime Numbers**

- Some big prime numbers:
	- $(333+10^{793})10^{791} + 1$  (1585 digits, identified in 1987)
	- 2<sup>1257787</sup> 1 (378.632 digits, 1996)
	- $\cdot$  2<sup>77,232,917</sup>-1 (around 23 million digits, Dec 2017)
	- Mersenne primes: prime numbers in the form  $2^m 1$ 
		- Not all numbers of this form are primes
	- Fermat primes: prime numbers in the form  $2^{2^n}$  +  $1$ 
		- Again, not all numbers of this form are primes

Fundamental theorem of arithmetic (or unique factorization theorem):

Every natural number  $\geq 2$ , can be written in a unique way as a product of prime powers:

$$
n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} ... p_r^{e_r}
$$

- where each  $p_i$  is prime,  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_r$  and each  $e_i$  is a positive integer
- $-$  6000 is uniquely decomposed as 2<sup>4</sup>  $\cdot$  3  $\cdot$  5<sup>3</sup>
- Proof by (strong) induction
- Corollary: If p is prime and p|ab → p|a or p|b (not true when p is not prime)

### **CLAIM 1 (Euclid's theorem):** There are infinitely many primes

**<u>Proof:</u>** Suppose that  $P = \{p_1, p_2, ..., p_n\}$  for some  $n$ 

Let  $p = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot p_3 \cdot ... \cdot p_n + 1$ 

- If p is prime, contradiction, since we assumed no other primes
- If p is not prime

By the fundamental theorem, there exists a prime that divides p

But p mod  $p_i = 1$ ,  $\forall i, 1 \le i \le n$ again a contradiction.

- Relatively prime numbers
	- Two integers a, b are relatively prime (or coprimes) if  $gcd(a, b) = 1$ .
		- E.g., 8 and 15 are relatively prime,
		- By Euclid's algorithm we can decide in polynomial time if 2 numbers are relatively prime with each other

## *Euler's phi function*

Definition: For every  $n \ge 2$ ,  $\varphi(n)$  = number of integers between 1 and n that are relatively prime with n

Properties:

- For any prime number p:  $\varphi(p) = p-1$
- $φ(p<sup>α</sup>) = p<sup>α</sup> p<sup>α-1</sup> = p<sup>α</sup> (1-1/p)$
- $\varphi$ (mn) =  $\varphi$ (m) $\varphi$ (n), iff gcd(m,n) = 1

Corollary: For every n≥2

$$
f(n) = n \bigodot_{p|n}^{n} \underset{\Theta}{\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{C}}} 1 - \frac{1}{p} \underset{p|}{\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{C}}}
$$

(where p refers to all prime numbers that divide n)

## **Prime Numbers**

### *Euler's phi function*

- The properties help in simplifying the calculations
	- $\varphi(45) = 24$ , since the prime factors of 45 are 3 and 5

– φ(45)=45\*(1-1/3)(1-1/5)=45\*(2/3)(4/5)=24

• 
$$
\varphi(1512) = \varphi(2^{3*}3^{3*}7) = \varphi(2^{3})^{*} \varphi(3^{3})^{*} \varphi(7) =
$$
  
 $(2^{3} - 2^{2})^{*} (3^{3} - 3^{2})^{*}(7 - 1) = 4^{*} 18^{*} 6 = 432$ 

• Hence there are 432 numbers between 1 and 1512 that are relatively prime with 1512

2 useful properties for simplifying calculations

**Fermat**'**s Little theorem** [around 1640] If p is prime then for every  $\alpha$  such that  $1 \le \alpha \le p-1$  $\alpha^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ 

### **A generalization: Euler's theorem**

For every integer n>1,  $\alpha^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  for every  $\alpha$ such that  $gcd(\alpha, n) = 1$  [if n is prime,  $\varphi(n) = n-1$ ]

For example: Find 2<sup>26</sup> mod 7  $2^{26} = 2^2 \cdot 2^{24} = 2^2 \cdot (2^6)^4 \equiv 2^2 \cdot 1 \mod 7 \equiv 4 \mod 7$ 

**Fermat**'**s Little theorem** [around 1640] If p is prime then for every  $\alpha$  such that  $1 \le \alpha \le p-1$  $\alpha^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ 

## **Proof:**

• Let  $S = \{1, 2, 3, ..., p-1\}$  all possible non-zero mod p integers •Main observation: By multiplying integers in S by a (mod p) we simply re-permute them!

It is an implication of the fact that  $\alpha$  has a multiplicative inverse mod p, since  $gcd(\alpha, p)=1$ 

## **Prime Numbers**

#### **Example:**

 $\alpha = 3, p = 7, \alpha^6 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ 



$$
\{1,2,3,4,5,6\} = \{\underbrace{1 \cdot 3, 2 \cdot 3, 3 \cdot 3, 4 \cdot 3, 5 \cdot 3, 6 \cdot 3 \text{ (mod 7)}\}
$$

Taking products:  $6! \equiv 3^6 \cdot 6! \pmod{7}$ 6! is relatively prime to  $7 \Rightarrow 3^6 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ 

## **Prime Numbers**

**Proof continued** (for general  $\alpha$  and prime p)

Consider 2 distinct numbers

i, j ∈ S  $\Rightarrow$  i≠j, i, j ≤ p-1, i,j≠0

The numbers resulting by multiplying the elements of S by  $\alpha$  (mod p) are:

• **Distinct**

if not:  $\alpha \cdot i \equiv \alpha \cdot j \pmod{p} \Rightarrow i \equiv j \pmod{p} \Rightarrow i \equiv j$ , contradiction

- **Non zero mod p** if  $\alpha \cdot i \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \Rightarrow i=0$ , contradiction
- **In the range [1, p-1]**

Hence, they are a permutation of S  $\Rightarrow$  (p-1)! =  $\alpha^{p-1} \cdot$  (p-1)! (mod p)  $\Rightarrow$   $\alpha^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ 

### **Problem Primes:**

- I: An integer  $N > 1$
- Q: Answer whether or not N is prime

One of the most fundamental problems in Computer Science

## **A naive approach: Trial division**

- Try to see if any of the numbers 2, 3, 4, ..., N-1 divides N
- Actually it suffices to try only with the numbers 2, 3, ...,  $\lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor$ 
	- If N is composite it has a factor, which is at most  $\sqrt{N}$
- •In fact, since N is odd, we can also remove the even numbers
- Worst case complexity:  $\sqrt{N/2}$ , hence  $O(\sqrt{N})$ , exponential since  $\sqrt{N}$  =  $2^{\log(N/2)}$
- Effective only for small values of N (for RSA, N has 512 bits or even more)

# **Primality Testing**

# A different approach

•Faster but with a small probability of error

## **Fermat Test**

Algorithm PRIME (N) Pick a positive integer  $\alpha$ <N at random if  $\alpha^{N-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$  then return YES // we hope yes else return NO // definite no

Complexity: only need to use the algorithm for exponentiation mod N (repeated squaring), hence O(logN) multiplications

# **Primality Testing**

The algorithm can make errors but only of one kind:

- If it says that  $N$  is composite, then it is correct
- If it says that N is prime then it may be wrong
- $gcd(\alpha, N) > 1$ : N is not prime, and N fails the test
- $gcd(\alpha, N) = 1$ 
	- if N is prime: passes the test
	- if N is composite: can pass the test for some  $\alpha'$  s! e.g.  $341 = 11*31$  and  $2^{340} \equiv 1 \pmod{341}$ 
		- if N is a Carmichael number: passes the test for all  $\alpha'$  s‼

e.g. 
$$
561 = 3 \times 11 \times 17
$$
 and  $\alpha^{560} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$   
for every  $\alpha$  for which:  $gcd(\alpha, n)=1!$ 

Carmichael numbers

- Actually due to Korselt
- They are the composite numbers that pass the Fermat test *for all* a's that are relatively prime to them
- Alternative definition: A number n is a Carmichael number if it is not divisible by the square of a prime and, for all prime divisors p of n, it is true that p−1 | n−1
- They are extremely rare (561, 1105, 1729, 2465,…)
- $561 = 3.11.17$
- There are only 255 of them less than  $10^8$
- There are 20,138,200 Carmichael numbers between 1 and  $10^{21}$ (approximately one in 50 billion numbers)
- Ignore them for now (see Miller-Rabin test for a better algorithm to test primality)

## **Primality Testing**

### Prime: passes the Fermat test Composite: passes or fails the test depending on  $\alpha$ , but there is an  $\alpha$  for which it fails if it is not a Carmichael number N

If N is composite and not a Carmichael number, for how many values of  $\alpha$  does it fail the test?

**CLAIM 3:** If a number N fails the Fermat test for some value of  $\alpha$ , then N also fails the test for at least half of **the choices of**  $\alpha$  **< N** 

## **Primality Testing**

$$
N\begin{cases}\n\text{Prime,} & \alpha^{N-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}, \text{ for all } \alpha < N \\
\text{not Prime, } \alpha^{N-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}, \text{ for at most half} \\
\text{of the values } \alpha \leq N\n\end{cases}
$$

Pr[Fermat test returns YES, when N is Prime]=1 Pr[Fermat test returns YES, when N is not Prime]  $\leq 1/2$ 

Repeat the algorithm k times for different  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$ ,..., $\alpha_{\rm k}$ Pr[Fermat test returns YES, when N is not Prime]  $\leq 1/2^k$ 

# Density of prime numbers

- Very important to be able to find prime numbers quickly
- How should we search for prime numbers?
- Theorem: For every  $n\geq 1$ , there is always a prime between n and 2n
- Initial proof: Chebyshev (1850)
- Simpler proof: Erdos (1932), at the age of 19!!
- Thus primes are relatively dense within the natural numbers

#### **Prime number Theorem (Conjectured by Legendre et al. ~1797-1798, proved in 1896)**

$$
Lex π(x) be the number of primes ≤ x. Then
$$
  

$$
p(x) \sim \frac{x}{\ln x} \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{x \otimes y} \frac{p(x)}{x / \ln x} = 1
$$

If N is a random integer of n bits (hence  $\leq 2^n$ ), it has roughly a one-in-n chance of being prime:

$$
p = Pr[N \text{ is prime}] = \frac{2^{n} / \ln 2^{n}}{2^{n}} = \frac{1}{\ln 2^{n}} = \frac{\log e}{\log 2^{n}} = \frac{\log e}{n} = \frac{1.44}{n}
$$

## **Algorithm**

Repeat

 Pick a random n-bit integer N Run the Fermat test on N Until N passes

How many iterations? (Waiting for the first success)

### **Analysis on the number of iterations**

- Let k= #trials until first success
- Let  $p$  = success probability of each trial =  $Pr[$  randomly chosen N is prime]
- $Pr[k=j]$  = probability that we succeed in the *j*-th trial (and hence fail in previous ones)
- Pr [k=j]= (1-p)j-1∙*p*

$$
E[k] = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j \Pr[k = j] = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j(1-p)^{j-1} p = \frac{p}{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j(1-p)^{j}
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{p}{p-1} \frac{1-p}{p^2} = \frac{1}{p} = \frac{n}{1.44}
$$



5 <sup>9</sup> passes the test]  $\approx \frac{20.000}{10^9} = 2.10^{-5}$  $10^9$   $Pr[a \text{ composite } \leq 25 \cdot 10^9 \text{ passes the test}] \approx \frac{20.000}{10^9} = 2 \cdot 10^{-5}$ 

## *Linear equations in modular arithmetic*

- Around 100 A.D.
- Question: Is there an integer x such that in a parade of x soldiers, when they align themselves in
- 1. Groups of 3, there is only 1 remaining soldier in the last row
- 2. Groups of 4, there are 3 remaining soldiers
- 3. Groups of 5, there are 3 remaining soldiers



### Theorem:

- $-$  Let  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$ , ...,  $n_k$  be positive integers that are relatively prime with each other, hence gcd( $n_i$ ,  $n_j$ ) = 1,  $\forall$  i≠j.
- $-$  Then for any integers  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ , ...,  $a_k$ , the system

$$
x \equiv a_1 \mod n_1, x \equiv a_2 \mod n_2, \ldots, x \equiv a_k \mod n_k,
$$

has a unique solution within  $Z_{n}$ , where  $n = n_{1} \cdot n_{2} \cdot ... \cdot n_{k}$ 

Corollary: If  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$ , ...,  $n_k$ , are positive integers that are relatively prime with each other, then for any x and a:  $x \equiv a \mod n$  for i = 1, 2, ..., k iff  $x \equiv a \mod n$ where  $n = n_1 \cdot n_2 \cdot ... \cdot n_k$ 

## Proof:

- Let  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$ , ...,  $n_k$  be relatively prime with each other
- Let  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_k$  be arbitrary integers
- $\forall i$  define  $c_i = n/n_i$ .
- gcd(c<sub>i</sub>, n<sub>i</sub>) = 1  $\rightarrow$  c<sub>i</sub> has an inverse mod n<sub>i.</sub>
- Let d<sub>i</sub> be the inverse, hence  $c_i$ d<sub>i</sub> mod  $n_i = 1$
- The number  $x^* = a_1c_1d_1 + a_2c_2d_2 + ... + a_kc_kd_k$ satisfies all the equations
- Complexity: polynomial since we are just using the extended Euclidean algorithm

### *Example*

- Which x satisfies the following equations?
	- $x \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$
	- $x \equiv 3 \pmod{13}$
- $a_1=2$ ,  $n_1=5$ ,  $a_2=3$ ,  $n_2=13$
- We have  $n=n_1 n_2=5 n 13=65$ ,  $c_1 = 65/5 = 13$ ,  $c_2 = 5$
- Since  $13^{-1} \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$  and  $5^{-1} \equiv 8 \pmod{13}$ ,  $d_1 = 2$ ,  $d_2 = 8$

• Then, 
$$
x = a_1c_1d_1 + a_2c_2d_2
$$
  
\n $x \equiv 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 13 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 8$  (mod 65)  
\n $\equiv 52 + 120 = 42$  (mod 65)

All the solutions are in the form  $x(t)=42+65t$ ,  $t \in Z$