**Special Topics on Algorithms Number-theoretic problems: Exponentiation, Fibonacci numbers and GCD**

Vangelis Markakis, Ioannis Milis and George Zois

# **Exponentiation**

- **Exponentiation:**
- I: Two positive integers a,n

 $Q:$  Find  $a^n$ 

- Main operation in many cryptographic protocols (e.g., RSA)
- Very important to be able to compute this fast



Complexity: O(n)

Suppose  $a \le n$  (or that a is of the same magnitude as n)  $|I| = \Theta(\text{log} n) \Rightarrow n = \Theta(2^{|I|}), O(n)$  is  $O(2^{|I|}) = O(\exp(I))$  NOT POLYNOMIAL !  $N(I) = n$ ,  $O(n)$  is  $O(poly(N(I)))$  PSEUDO-POLYNOMIAL !

#### Is there a polynomial algorithm for EXP ?

# **Exponentiation**

Consider n in *binary*, n =  $b_k b_{k-1}$ .... $b_2 b_1 b_0$ , e.g. 29 = 11101 => 29 = 16+8+4+1  $a^{29} = a^{16} \cdot a^8 \cdot a^4 \cdot a^1$ Repeated Squaring  $\left\{\begin{array}{c} k \text{ is } O(\log n) \end{array}\right\}$ 

Idea: Compute sequentially the powers a,  $a^2$ ,  $a^4$ ,  $a^8$ ,...

and keep track which ones are needed

```
Exp2(a,n)
p=1;z = a;
for i=0 to k do 
        { if b_i=1 then p=p \cdot z;
           z=z^2 ; }
Return p;
```
Time: O(k) = **O(logn)** !  $O(poly|II)$  !

# **Exponentiation**

# Or equivalently:

#### **Exp3(a,n)**



Time: **O(logn)**

# **Exponentiation – Even more...**

- Or yet another implementation
- Based on the recurrence relation:



Complexity:  $T(n) = T(n/2) + O(1)$ 

**Solving the recurrence** (with the Master theorem)  $\Rightarrow$  **O(logn)** 

### Fibonacci Numbers

0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89...

Definition: 
$$
F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}
$$
,  $F_0 = 0$ ,  $F_1 = 1$ 



Problem **Fibonacci:** I: a natural number  $n \in N$ Q: Find  $F_n$ 

Direct Implementation of Recurrence

**Fib1(n)** if n<2 then return n else return Fib1(n-1) + Fib1(n-2)

Complexity of Fib1(n):  $T(0) = T(1) = 1$ ,  $T(n) = T(n-1) + T(n-2) + O(1)$ 

### Fibonacci Numbers



### Fibonacci Numbers / **Dynamic Programming**

```
Fib2(n)
f[0]=0; f[1]=1; for i=2 to n do 
       f[i] = f[i-1] + f[i-2];
Return f[n]
```

```
Time: \Theta(n)Space: Θ(n)
Big improvement over Fib 1 
But: NOT O(poly(|I|)), 
recall |I| = O(logn)
```
#### *Save Space: No need for an array*

```
Fib3(n); 
if n<2 then return n 
a=0; b=1;for i=2 to n do
       \{ f=b+a; a=b; \}b=f; }
Return f;}
```
Time: still  $\Theta(n)$ , *NOT*  $O(poly(11))$ Space:  $\Theta(1)$  (we only use 3 variables)

### Fibonacci Numbers / **Closed Form Formula**

• Relation to the golden ratio:

$$
F_n = \frac{\phi^n}{\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\hat{\phi}^n}{\sqrt{5}}, \text{ where } \phi = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} = 1.618 \text{ (golden ratio)}
$$
  
and  $\hat{\phi} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} = -0.618$   
(roots of  $x^2 - x - 1 = 0$ ,  $\hat{\phi} = 1 - \phi = -\frac{1}{\phi}$ ,  $\phi^2 = \phi + 1$ )

• To simplify a bit, let ε be:

$$
e = \left| \frac{\int_{\gamma}^n}{\sqrt{5}} \right| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad n \leq 0
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d}{d} \left| \int_{\gamma}^n \right| < 1 \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{5}} \right| < \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2} \left| \int_{\gamma}^n \right| < \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow \left| \int
$$

## Fibonacci Numbers / **Closed Form Formula**

Recall  $\text{F}_{\text{n}}$  is an integer number

$$
F_n = \frac{\phi^n}{\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\hat{\phi}^n}{\sqrt{5}} \implies \begin{cases} F_n = \frac{\phi^n}{\sqrt{5}} + \varepsilon, & n \text{ odd} \\ F_n = \frac{\phi^n}{\sqrt{5}} - \varepsilon, & n \text{ even} \end{cases} \implies F_n = round\left(\frac{\phi^n}{\sqrt{5}}\right)
$$
  
or 
$$
F_n = \left[\frac{\phi^n}{\sqrt{5}} + \frac{1}{2}\right] \qquad F_n \text{ is } \Theta(\phi^n)
$$

Consequences:

- 1. Better lower bound for Fib1:
	- $T(n) = T(n-1) + T(n-2) + O(1) \ge F_n$
	- $T(n) = \Omega(\varphi^n)$  that is  $\Omega(1.6^n)$
- 2. We can calculate  $F_n$  by using the Exponentiation algorithm, **Exp2(φ,n)** *Complexity:* **O(logn)**

*But we don*'*t like real (irrational) numbers!*

# Fibonacci **Numbers / Exponentiation**

- We can work only with integer/rational arithmetic
- Use the Exponentiation algorithm again, but to an array this time!

Matrix representation:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\hat{e}} & F_{n+1} & F_n & \stackrel{\leftarrow}{\hat{u}} = \stackrel{\leftarrow}{\hat{e}} 1 & 1 & \stackrel{\leftarrow}{\hat{u}}^n = A^n, \quad \text{that is } \left(-1\right)^n = F_{n+1}F_{n-1} - F_n^2\n\end{array}\n\qquad \qquad \begin{array}{ll}\n\text{Prove this by} \\
\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\hat{e}} & F_n & F_{n-1} & \stackrel{\leftarrow}{\hat{u}} = 1 & 0 & \stackrel{\leftarrow}{\hat{u}} \\
\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\hat{e}} & 1 & 0 & \stackrel{\leftarrow}{\hat{u}} \\
\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\hat{u}} & \stackrel{\leftarrow}{\hat{u}} & \stackrel{\leftarrow}{(\text{Cassini's identity})}\n\end{array}
$$

- Hence, just need to compute  $A<sup>n</sup>$
- Use the exponentiation algorithm
	- Exactly as before but replacing number multiplication by matrix multiplication (multiplications of  $2 \times 2$  matrices)
- All intermediate results in the run of the algorithm are integer numbers
- Complexity: O(logn)

- **Divisibility** 
	- $-$  d | a : d divides a (d is a divisor of a)
	- Hence,  $a = kd$  for some integer k
		- Every integer divides 0
		- If  $a > 0$  and  $d | a$ , then  $|d| \leq |a|$
	- Every integer a (with  $a \ne 0$ ) has as trivial divisors 1 and a itself
	- The non-trivial divisors of a are called factors
		- Factors of 20 : 2, 4, 5, and 10

- Simple facts:
	- $-$  a|b  $\Rightarrow$  a|bc for every integer c

$$
- a|b \Rightarrow |a| \le |b| \text{ or } b = 0
$$

$$
- \ a|b \wedge b|c \Rightarrow a|c
$$

- $-$  a|b  $\land$  a|c  $\Rightarrow$  a|(b + c) and a|(b c)
- $-$  a|b  $\land$  a|c  $\Rightarrow$  a|(bx + cy) for all integers x, y
- $-$  a|b  $\wedge$  b|a  $\Rightarrow$  |a| = |b|

- **Division theorem:** 
	- **For every pair of integers** *a, b* **with b0, there are unique integers** *q* **and** *r* **such that**

$$
a = qb + r (0 \le r < |b|)
$$

- $-$  *q* = **quotient =** $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$ **b** e<br>Ê û u<br>Ú
- *r* = *a* mod *b* = *remainder*
- Proof:
	- Existence: either by induction or by looking into the smallest nonnegative integer in the sequence

….., a-3b, a-2b, a-b, a, a+b, a+2b, a+3b,…

Uniqueness: by contradiction

- Common divisors
	- If *d* I a, and *d* I b, then *d* is a *common divisor of a* and *b*
		- e.g., the divisors of 30 are 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 15, 30
		- divisors of 24: 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24
		- Common divisors of 24 and 30: 1, 2, 3, and 6
		- 1 is a common divisor for any 2 integers
	- Every common divisor of a and b is at most min (|a|, |b|)

## **Greatest Common Divisor (GCD)**

- Greatest common divisor
	- gcd(a,b): The biggest among the common divisors (sometimes also written as (a, b)).
	- If  $a \ne 0$ , and  $b \ne 0$ , then gcd(a, b) is an integer between 1 and  $min(|a|, |b|)$
	- Convention:
		- $gcd(0, 0) = 0$
	- Simple properties:
		- $gcd(a,b) = gcd(b,a)$
		- $gcd(a,b) = gcd(|a|, |b|)$
		- $gcd(a, 0) = |a|$
		- gcd(a, ak) = |a| for every  $k \in Z$

### **Greatest Common Divisor (GCD)**

#### **GCD**

I:  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ Q: Find gcd(a,b)

### A simple algorithm: **GCD (a,b)** while a≠b do if a>b then a=a-b else b=b-a return a

### **Greatest Common Divisor (GCD)**

**Correctness of GCD(a,b) Claim 1:** if  $a > b$  then  $gcd(a,b) = gcd(a-b,b)$ **Proof:**  Let  $g = \gcd(a,b)$ , and  $g' = \gcd(a-b,b)$ Then, a=gx and b=gy for some x,  $y \Rightarrow g \mid a-b \Rightarrow g' \ge g$ 

Also, a-b =  $g'z$  and b=g'w for some z,  $w \Rightarrow a = g'(z+w) \Rightarrow$  $g' \mid a \Rightarrow g \geq g'$ . Hence  $g = g'$ 

#### **Complexity of GCD(a,b)**

Worst case (either a=1 or b=1): Complexity **O(w)**, with  $w=max\{a,b\}$  $|I| = O(loga + logb) = O(logw)$ **O(w) is not O(poly|I|)!**

# **Euclid's Algorithm**



## **Euclid's Algorithm**

#### More examples:

- $a = 1742$ ,  $b = 494$
- $1742 = 3.494 + 260$
- $494 = 1.260 + 234$
- 260 = 1.234 + 26
- $234 = 9.26$
- $gcd(1742, 494) = 26$
- $a = 132$ ,  $b = 35$
- $132 = 3.35 + 27$
- $35 = 1.27 + 8$
- $27 = 3.8 + 3$
- $8 = 2.3 + 2$
- $3 = 1.2 + 1$
- $2 = 2.1$
- $gcd(132, 35) = 1$

"We might call it the granddaddy of all algorithms because it is the oldest nontrivial algorithm that has survived to the present day", (D. Knuth)

# **Euclid Algorithm**

### **Complexity of EUCLID(a,b)**

- •One of a and b is at least halved at every call
- •Both a and b are at least halved after any two recursive calls

**Claim 3:** if a>b then a mod 
$$
b \le a/2
$$
  
**Proof**

Case 1:  $b \le a/2$ , then a mod  $b < b < a/2$ Case 2:  $b > a/2$  then a mod  $b = a-b$  $a/2$ a

 $a/2$ 

а

b.

a mod b

#### **Time complexity:**  a mod b At most  $k = log a + log b$  calls, that is  $O(log a + log b)$

### **How many Euclid calls for Fibonacci Numbers?**

Tight example on the complexity



**=k-1 recursive calls**

**Complexity: O(logFk+1+logF<sup>k</sup> )**

### **EUCLID and Fibonacci numbers**

If Euclid needs k calls, can we extract more information about a and b?



**Lemma:** For  $a > b > 0$ , if EUCLID(a,b) performs  $k \ge 1$ recursive calls, then  $a \ge F_{k+2}$  and  $b \ge F_{k+1}$ 

**Proof:** By induction on k

**Induction base:** for k=1 call:

 $b > 0 \Rightarrow b \ge 1 = F_2 \Rightarrow b \ge F_2$  $a > b \implies a \geq 2 = F_3 \implies a \geq F_3$ 

**Inductive hypothesis:** suppose true for k-1 calls:

 $a \geq F_{k+1}$ ,  $b \geq F_{k}$ 

### **EUCLID and Fibonacci numbers**

**Inductive step:** suppose the algorithm needed k calls  $-k > 0 \Rightarrow b > 0 \Rightarrow EUCLID(a,b)$  calls EUCLID(b, a mod b)

- $-b = a'$ , a mod  $b = b'$ : EUCLID $(a', b')$  performs k-1 calls
- By hypothesis  $a' \ge F_{k+1} \Rightarrow b \ge F_{k+1}$  and  $b' \ge F_k \Rightarrow a \mod b \ge F_k$ Also,  $a > b$  and by the division theorem  $\Rightarrow$  a  $\geq$  b + (a mod b)  $\Rightarrow$  a  $\geq$  b +  $F_k \geq F_{k+1} + F_k = F_{k+2} \Rightarrow$  a  $\geq F_{k+2}$

#### **Corollary: Lame**'**s Theorem**

For  $k \geq 1$ , if  $a > b > 0$ , and  $b < F_{k+1}$ EUCLID(a,b) performs at most k-1 recursive calls

### **EUCLID and Fibonacci numbers**

#### k calls  $\Rightarrow$  $\Rightarrow$



$$
\phi^{k+1} \leq b\sqrt{5} \Rightarrow
$$

 $k$  is  $O(log b)$  $k \leq \log_{\phi} b + 0.672 \implies$  $\phi^{k+1} \le b\sqrt{5}$   $\Rightarrow$ <br> $k+1 \le \log_{\phi}(b\sqrt{5}) = \log_{\phi} b + \log_{\phi} \sqrt{5} = \log_{\phi} b + 1.672$   $\Rightarrow$ 

- Hence, even better complexity than **O(loga+logb)**
- The smallest of the two numbers determines the number of calls

## **Extended Euclid**'**s Algorithm**

- Let a, b be "large" integers
- It is useful to understand further how gcd(a, b) looks like
- If someone claims that  $gcd(a, b) = d$ , how can we check this?
- It is not enough to check if dla and dlb!
	- (this would show that d is a divisor of a and b, but not necessarily the greatest)

### **Extended Euclid**'**s Algorithm**

**Claim 3:** If d|a, d|b and d = xa+yb, x,y 
$$
\in \mathbb{Z}
$$
, then  
\n $gcd(a,b) = d$   
\n**Proof:**  
\nd|a and d|b  $\Rightarrow$  { $gcd(a,b) \ge d$   
\n $gcd(a,b) | xa+yb=d \Rightarrow gcd(a,b) \le d$  }

Even further: **Claim 4:** gcd(a, b) is the smallest positive integer from the set {ax +by : x, y є Z} of the linear combinations of *a* and *b*

Useful in certain applications to compute these coefficients (e.g., cryptosystems)

### **Extended Euclid**'**s Algorithm –Correctness**

**Example:**  $gcd(13,4) = 1$ , since  $13*1 + 4*(-3) = 1$ 

Existence of integer coefficients x, y for every pair of integers a, b, a>b:

Proof by strong induction on b:

Base: For b=0, we have that  $gcd(a,0) = a = a^*x + 0^*y$ , which holds for x=1 and every integer y

By induction hypothesis, assume that it holds for any integer <br/>b: let gcd(b, a mod b) =  $bx' + (a \text{ mod } b)y'$ 

Induction Then  $gcd(a, b) = gcd(b, a \text{ mod } b) = bx' + (a \text{ mod } b)y'$ <br>Step:<br>=  $bx' + (a - \left| \frac{a}{b} \right| b) y' = ay' + b(x' - \left| \frac{a}{b} \right| y')$ Step:

$$
= bx'+(a - \left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor b) y' = ay'+b(x' - \left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor y')
$$

Hence,  $x = y'$  and  $y = x'$ -  $a/b$  y'

## **Extended Euclid**'**s Algorithm - Examples**

One way to think at it is to run Euclid backwards:

- $a = 1742$ ,  $b = 494$
- $1742 = 3.494 + 260$
- $494 = 1.260 + 234$
- $260 = 1.234 + 26$
- $234 = 9.26$
- $(1742, 494) = 26$
- $26 = 260 234$  $= 260 - (494 - 260)$  $= 2.260 - 494$  $= 2(1742 - 3.494) - 494$ 
	- $= 2.1742 7.494$
- $a = 132$ ,  $b = 35$
- $132 = 3.35 + 27$
- $35 = 1.27 + 8$
- $27 = 3.8 + 3$
- $8 = 2.3 + 2$
- $3 = 1.2 + 1$
- $2 = 2.1$
- $(132, 35) = 1$
- $1 = 3 2$  $= 3 - (8 - 2.3)$  $= 3.3 - 8$  $= 3(27 - 3.8) - 8$  $= 3.27 - 10.8$  $= 3.27 - 10(35 - 27)$  $= 13.27 - 10.35$  $= 13(132 - 335) - 1035$  $= 13.132 - 49.35$

### **Extended Euclid**'**s Algorithm**

**ExtEUCLID(a,b)**

Input: a, b  $\in \mathbb{N}$ ; a  $\geq b \geq 0$ ; Output:  $x, y, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ :  $\text{gcd}(a, b) = d = ax + by$ if b=0 then return  $(1, 0, a)$ else  $(x', y', d)$  = Ext EUCLID  $(b, a \mod b)$ ; return  $(y', x'-\left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor y', d)$  $a \Big|_{\sim 1}$   $\Big|_{\sim 1}$  $(y', x'-\frac{a}{b} | y', d)$  $\int$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\int$   $\frac{1}{$  $\left| \frac{\overline{}}{\overline{h}} \right|$  y, d)  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

Correctness: follows by the existence proof Complexity: O(logb) as EUCLID(a,b)

#### **Extended Euclid**'**s Algorithm**  $y'$  x'- $\frac{a}{b}$  y'  $\frac{a}{b}$  y' **Example**  $\left| \frac{\overline{}}{\overline{h}} \right|$  y  $\overline{\mathsf{L}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $a/b$ d  $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$  $\mathbf b$ a 78 3 99 1 14 3 78 21 3  $\Im$ 15  $\mathbf 1$ 3  $\beta$ 21  $ax + by = d$  $\overline{2}$ 3 15 6  $99(-11) + 78*14 =$  $3<sup>1</sup>$  $\overline{2}$ 3  $-1089+1092=3$ 6 3 3