

# Industrial Economics

## TA Session 2 — Problem Handout

Athens University of Economics and Business  
Department of International and European Economics  
Academic Year 2025–2026

Angelos Koulas (TA) [koulas@aueb.gr](mailto:koulas@aueb.gr)  
Chrysovalantou Milliou (Instructor) [cmilliou@aueb.gr](mailto:cmilliou@aueb.gr)

### Session Overview

This handout contains the problems we will work through in TA Session 2, 21 May 2026 (11:15–14:00).

- **Problem Set III (Dynamic Oligopoly):** Problems 2 and 3 (Stackelberg in prices, homogeneous and differentiated products).
- **Problem Set IV (Collusion):** Problems 1, 2, and 3 (tacit collusion in prices and in quantities).

## Problem Set III — Dynamic Oligopoly

### Exercise A (PS III, Problem 2) — Stackelberg in Prices, Homogeneous Product

Consider a duopoly market in which the firms choose their prices *sequentially*. The market demand function is  $D(p) = 1 - p$ . If a firm chooses a lower price than its competitor, then it supplies the whole market; if both firms choose the same price, they share the market equally.

- (i) Assume the two firms have the **same** cost function  $C(q_i) = c q_i$ , where  $i = 1, 2$  and  $0 < c < 1$ . Firm 1 chooses its price before firm 2 (and firm 2 observes  $p_1$  before choosing  $p_2$ ). Find the equilibrium prices.
- (ii) Assume now that firms play the same game as in part (i) but the marginal cost of firm 1 is **lower** than the marginal cost of firm 2,  $c_1 < c_2$ . Find the equilibrium prices when  $c_2 > p_1^m$  and when  $c_2 < p_1^m$ , where  $p_1^m$  is the price that firm 1 would charge if it were a monopolist.

### Exercise B (PS III, Problem 3) — Stackelberg in Prices, Differentiated Products

Consider two firms competing à la Bertrand in differentiated goods at a common marginal cost of zero. Each firm  $i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) faces the demand function

$$q_i(p_i, p_j) = 10 - p_i + g p_j, \quad 0 < g < 1, \quad j \neq i.$$

- (i) Assume the two firms choose their prices *simultaneously and separately*. Find the price and the profit of each firm in equilibrium.
- (ii) Assume now that firm 1 chooses its price before firm 2 (and firm 2 observes  $p_1$  before choosing  $p_2$ ). Find the equilibrium price and profit of each firm and compare with part (i). Does a firm want to choose its price first or second?

## Problem Set IV — Collusion

### Exercise C (PS IV, Problem 1) — Tacit Collusion in Prices (Three Firms & Mergers)

In an oligopolistic market there are initially three firms (1, 2, and 3) that choose their prices *simultaneously and independently* in each period  $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$ . The lowest-price firm supplies the whole market; if firms charge the same price, they share the market equally; a firm charging a strictly higher price than the others has zero demand. Marginal cost is 6 for each firm. The market demand for the product is  $P = 20 - Q$ . The discount factor is  $\delta \in [0, 1]$ . Assume  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .

- (i) What are the price and the profits of each firm in a period *without* tacit collusion and in a period *with* tacit collusion (when firms charge the monopoly price)?
- (ii) Under what condition does tacit collusion arise in equilibrium?
- (iii) Assume now that firms 1 and 2 merge and that the merged firm's marginal cost remains equal to 6. Would your answer in part (ii) change? Yes or no, and why?
- (iv) Assume instead that firms 1 and 2 merge and the merged firm's marginal cost is now equal to 0. Suppose that under tacit collusion firms charge the price a monopolist with marginal cost 6 would charge. Is it now *easier* or *harder* to sustain tacit collusion relative to part (iii)? Why?

### Exercise D (PS IV, Problem 2) — Tacit Collusion in Prices with Asymmetric Costs

In an oligopolistic market there are four firms (1, 2, 3, and 4) that choose their prices *simultaneously and independently* in each period  $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$ . The lowest-price firm supplies the whole market (with the usual tie-breaking rule). Marginal cost is  $c_1 = 4$  for firm 1 and  $c_i = 5$  for  $i = 2, 3, 4$ . Market demand is  $P = 20 - Q$ . Discount factor  $\delta \in [0, 1]$ , and  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . Assume that in a collusive period firms set the price that a monopolist with marginal cost 4 would set.

- (i) What are firm 1's profits in a period *without* tacit collusion, in a period in which firm 1 *deviates* from tacit collusion, and in a period in which it is *punished* for its deviation?
- (ii) Under what condition does tacit collusion arise in equilibrium?

**Exercise E (PS IV, Problem 3) — Tacit Collusion in Quantities (Cournot)**

Consider a market with two identical firms that compete in quantities and face the demand function  $p(Q) = 1 - Q$ , where  $Q$  is total quantity. Each firm  $i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) faces a constant marginal cost  $c$ , with  $0 < c < 1$ . The horizon is infinite and firms discount future profits at rate  $\delta \in [0, 1]$ .

- (i) Find the equilibrium output and profit of each firm if they (tacitly) collude.
- (ii) Under what condition does tacit collusion arise in equilibrium?

**Quick Reminders**

**Trigger strategy (infinite-horizon):** firms play the collusive price  $p^c$  as long as no one has deviated; if any firm deviates, all firms revert to the static Nash price  $p^p$  forever.

**Critical discount factor (general formula):**

$$\delta \geq \frac{\pi^d - \pi^c}{\pi^d - \pi^p},$$

where  $\pi^c$  is the collusion period payoff,  $\pi^d$  is the one-shot deviation payoff, and  $\pi^p$  is the per-period punishment payoff. Tacit collusion is more likely when  $\pi^c$  is high,  $\pi^d$  is low, and the punishment  $\pi^p$  is severe.

**In-Class Practice****Exercise F — Stackelberg in Quantities, Homogeneous Product**

Consider a duopoly market in which two firms produce a homogeneous good with zero marginal costs. Market inverse demand is  $P = 100 - Q$ , where  $Q = q_1 + q_2$ . Firm 1 is the quantity *leader*: it chooses  $q_1$  first, and firm 2 observes  $q_1$  before choosing  $q_2$ .

- (i) Find the Stackelberg equilibrium: output, price, and profit of each firm.
- (ii) Would you prefer to be the leader or the follower? Explain briefly.

**Exercise G — Tacit Collusion with Four Symmetric Firms**

Consider a market with **four identical firms** competing in prices (*Bertrand*). The good is homogeneous, each firm has zero marginal cost, and market inverse demand is  $P = 20 - Q$ . The horizon is infinite and all firms share discount factor  $\delta \in [0, 1]$ .

- (i) Find the per-period profit of each firm under: (a) the static Nash equilibrium, (b) a collusive agreement at the monopoly price shared equally, and (c) a

one-shot deviation from the collusive agreement.

- (ii) Explain in your own words how *grim trigger strategies* work. Then derive the condition on  $\delta$  under which tacit collusion arises in equilibrium.