

Consumers:  $A, B$

Commodities:  $1, \dots, N$

Consumption sets:  $X_A = X_B = \mathbb{R}_+^L$

Endowments:  $\bar{A} = (\bar{A}_1, \dots, \bar{A}_L); \bar{B} = (\bar{B}_1, \dots, \bar{B}_L)$

Consumption vectors (notation)

$A = (A_1, \dots, A_L), B = (B_1, \dots, B_L)$

Utility functions  $u_A(A, B), u_B(A, B)$ , assumed to be strictly increasing.

A Competitive equilibrium is

- A consumption vector  $A^*$  for consumer A
- A consumption vector  $B^*$  for consumer B
- A price vector  $p^* \in \mathbb{R}^L$  such that

(MAX<sub>A</sub>):  $A^*$  solves the maximization problem

$$\max u_A(A, B^*), \text{ subject to}$$

$$p^* A \leq p^* \bar{A}, \quad A \geq 0$$

(MAX<sub>B</sub>)  $B^*$  solves the maximization problem

$\max u_B(A^*, B)$ , subject to

$$p^* B \leq p^* \bar{B}, \quad B \geq 0$$

$$(EQ) \quad A^* + B^* = \bar{A} + \bar{B}$$

The auctioneer game associated with the economy  $\langle u_A, u_B, \bar{A}, \bar{B} \rangle$  is defined by

• Players:  $A, B, W$

• Strategy spaces

$$S_W = \{ p \geq 0 : p_1 + \dots + p_L = 1 \}$$

$$S_A(p) = \{ A \geq 0 : pA \leq p\bar{A} \}$$

$$S_B(p) = \{ B \geq 0 : pB \leq p\bar{B} \}$$

• Payoff functions

$$V_A : S_A(p) \times S_B(p) \times S_W \rightarrow R$$

$$V_A(A, B, p) = U_A(A, B)$$

$$V_B : S_A(p) \times S_B(p) \times S_W \rightarrow R$$

$$V_B(A, B, p) = U_B(A, B)$$

$$V_W : S_A(p) \times S_B(p) \times S_W \rightarrow R$$

$$V_W(A, B, p) = p(A + B - \bar{A} - \bar{B})$$

A NASH EQUILIBRIUM of the auctioneer game is

- a consumption vector  $\hat{A}$  for consumer A
  - a consumption vector  $\hat{B}$  for consumer B
  - a price vector  $\hat{p}$  for player W
- such that

$$\text{MAX}_A : \hat{A} \text{ solves the maximization problem}$$

$$\max U_A(\hat{A}, \hat{B}), \text{ subject to}$$

$$\hat{A} \in S_A(\hat{p})$$

MAX<sub>B</sub>:  $\hat{B}$  solves the maximization problem

$$\max u_B(\hat{A}, B), \text{ subject to } B \in S_B(\hat{P})$$

MAX<sub>W</sub>:  $\hat{P}$  solves the maximization problem

$$\max P(\hat{A} + \hat{B} - \bar{A} - \bar{B}), \text{ subject to } P \in S_W$$

Lemma: If  $(\hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{P})$  is a Nash equilibrium of the auctioneer game then

$$(1) \hat{P} > 0$$

$$(2) \hat{P} \hat{A} = \hat{P} \bar{A}$$

$$(3) \hat{P} \hat{B} = \hat{P} \bar{B}$$

Proof: By monotonicity of  $u_A, u_B$

Theorem: If  $(\hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{P})$  is a Nash equilibrium of the auctioneer game associated with the economy  $\langle u_A, u_B, \bar{A}, \bar{B} \rangle$ , then it is also a competitive equilibrium of this economy.

Proof: We only need to show that  $\hat{A} + \hat{B} = \bar{A} + \bar{B}$ .

By the lemma

$$\hat{p}(\hat{A} + \hat{B} - \bar{A} - \bar{B}) = 0 \quad (4)$$

By MAXw if  $\hat{A}_i + \hat{B}_i - \bar{A}_i - \bar{B}_i < 0$  then  $\hat{p}_i = 0$ ,  
contradicting (1). Hence

$$\hat{A} + \hat{B} - \bar{A} - \bar{B} \geq 0 \quad (5)$$

By (1), (4), (5)

$$\hat{A} + \hat{B} = \bar{A} + \bar{B}$$